Click Here

This website contains affiliate links. As an Amazon Associate, I earn from qualifying purchases. The content on this website was created with the help of AI. Bible translations vary widely, each with its own history and purpose. The King James Version and the Catholic Bible are two influential translations. They've shaped the Christian faith for centuries but have notable differences. Let's explore these sacred texts and uncover key differences between these revered translations. We'll examine their historical roots and impact on modern Christianity. Understanding these versions helps us appreciate their evolution and significance today. The King James Version, completed in 1611, was long considered the standard English translation. It held prominence in Protestant churches for nearly 400 years. The Catholic Bible, rooted in the 1582 Douay-Rheims translation, offers a different scriptural perspective. One of the major differences between the King James Version and the Catholic Bible is the number of books in each version. The King James Bible has 66 books. The Catholic Bible contains 73 books. This difference comes from the Catholic Bible's inclusion of deuterocanonical books, also called the Apocrypha. These additional texts are not found in the King James Version. The King James Version contains 66 books, while the Catholic Bible has 73. Seven additional books, known as deuterocanonical or Apocrypha, are included in the Catholic Bible. The Council of Trent in the 16th century affirmed the inclusion of these extra books in Catholic Scripture. Both versions share core Christian beliefs but differ in content and interpretative traditions. Modern Catholic Bible versions include the New American Bible, used in Mass, and study editions like the Didache Bible. Two major translations shaped the Christian faith for centuries: the King James Version and the Catholic Bible. Each has its own unique journey through time. These texts have deep roots in Bible history. They've influenced how Christians understand their faith for generations. King James I of England started the King James Version with a royal decree. He picked 47 scholars to make a new English translation. The scholars finished their work in 1611. It became the go-to Bible for English-speaking Protestants for many years. The Catholic canon grew differently. It used the Septuagint and Latin Vulgate, adding more texts. This method showed how the Catholic Church values tradition alongside scripture. It made their Bible distinct from other versions. In 1546, the Council of Trent helped define the Catholic canon. It kept deuterocanonical books, making the Catholic Bible different from Protestant ones. VersionYearKey FeatureKing James Version161147 scholars commissionedCatholic Canon (Council of Trent)1546Included deuterocanonical booksNew International Version1978100+ scholars, decade-long project These events show how complex Bible translations are. Reading scripture isn't just about words. It's about exploring the rich history of faith traditions. The Catholic canon includes seven extra books called deuterocanonical books. These texts are vital in Catholic scripture and biblical history. They differ from the Protestant Bible in significant ways. The Catholic Bible has seven more books than the Protestant Bible. These are Tobit, Judith, 1 and 2 Maccabees, Wisdom, Sirach, and Baruch. It also includes longer versions of Daniel and Esther. These texts offer valuable insights into Jewish history and religious beliefs. They helped shape the context of the New Testament. Protestants often call these books the Apocrypha. They were part of the Septuagint, the Greek translation of the Hebrew Bible. Early Christians used this translation. In 1546, the Catholic Church restated their divine inspiration at the Council of Trent. These books provide unique views on faith and morality. They shed light on certain Catholic doctrines and practices. Protestants usually see these books as extra reading, not canonical scripture. This difference began during the Reformation when Martin Luther removed them. Yet, the first King James Version (1611) included these texts. Today, these books still spark debates about biblical authority. They influence discussions across Christian denominations about how to interpret scripture. Catholic ViewProtestant ViewDeuterocanonical books are inspired scriptureDeuterocanonical books are ApocryphaIncluded in the Catholic canonNot part of the Protestant BibleAffirmed by Church councilsRemoved during Reformation Bible translation balances literal and dynamic equivalence methods. The King James Version (KJV) aimed for word-for-word translation. Many Catholic translations use dynamic equivalence for contemporary language. Translation methods affect accuracy and readability. The Bible version comparison revealed interesting insights. The English Standard Version (ESV) targets a 10th-grade reading level. The Christian Standard Bible (CSB) balances formal and dynamic approaches for younger readers. Translators struggle with consistency. The CSB doesn't always translate Greek terms the same way. The ESV tries to use the same English word for recurring Greek terms. The ESV's translation of John 3:16 keeps traditional wording. This honors the text's legacy while maintaining its meaning. "For God so loved the world" – This familiar phrase from John 3:16 in the ESV shows how some translations retain traditional wording to honor the text's legacy. Each translation method aims to convey the original text's meaning. Some prioritize literal accuracy, while others focus on readability. Understanding these approaches helps us appreciate different Bible translations. The King James Version (KJV) and the Catholic Bible are key Christian scriptures with unique features. Let's explore the four main differences between these translations. We'll look at their approaches to biblical canon and language. The Catholic Bible has 73 books, while the KJV has 66. Catholics include deuterocanonical texts, also called the Apocrypha. These extra books, like Tobit and Judith, are sacred to Catholics but not to most Protestants. The KJV mainly uses Greek manuscripts for translation. Catholic versions often rely on the Latin Vulgate. This difference in sources can lead to varied scriptural interpretations. Different manuscript traditions affect how certain passages are understood. The Waldensians, a pre-Reformation group, used texts similar to the KJV. Their Italic Bible, from around 150 A.D., shows how early text differences impact modern translations. The KJV is known for its grand, poetic language. It has shaped English literature for centuries. Catholic versions balance accuracy with modern readability. This affects how people engage with the text. AspectKing James VersionCatholic BibleNumber of Books6673Primary SourceGreek manuscriptsLatin VulgateApocryphaExcludedIncludedLanguage StylePoetic, archaicBalanced, contemporary Biblical translations shape Christian unity in significant ways. Different versions can highlight denominational differences. However, they also create chances for bridging gaps and nurturing shared faith. Various Bible translations reflect diverse theological perspectives. The King James Version and the Catholic Bible showcase distinct approaches to scripture. These differences can spark meaningful interfaith dialogue. Such discussions encourage Christians to explore their faith more deeply. They provide opportunities to learn from one another's interpretations. Core Christian beliefs remain consistent across denominations, despite translation variations. This shared foundation serves as a unifying force. It reminds us of our common spiritual heritage. The focus on love, compassion, and salvation through Christ transcends linguistic nuances. These universal themes connect Christians across different translations. Today's ecumenical movement sees diversity in biblical interpretation as enriching, not divisive. This approach fosters Christian unity through open dialogue. It promotes mutual respect among different faith traditions. AspectImpact on Christian UnityTranslation DifferencesSpark interfaith dialogueCore BeliefsProvide common groundEcumenical ApproachEmbraces diversityShared StudyDeepens understanding Focusing on shared faith and diverse translations can boost Christian unity. This approach respects denominational differences. It also strengthens our collective spiritual journey. Catholics rely on Scripture and tradition for biblical authority. Protestants, however, focus solely on the Bible as their ultimate guide. This difference shapes their approaches to sacred texts. Textual criticism is vital for authenticating biblical texts. Scholars study manuscript evidence to ensure accurate translations. This process has led to many changes over time. The English Revised Version, for instance, had over 30,000 changes in the New Testament alone. Such revisions show the ongoing effort to refine biblical translations. Canonical recognition varies between denominations. Catholics include seven additional books in their Old Testament, called the Deuterocanonical books. Protestants view these texts as apocryphal, not part of the official canon. This difference stems from varying views on biblical authority and tradition. It highlights the diverse interpretations within Christianity. AspectCatholic PerspectiveProtestant PerspectiveSources of AuthorityScripture and TraditionScripture AloneOld Testament Books4639InterpretationChurch MagisteriumIndividual Guided by Holy Spirit Both traditions value careful textual criticism and scholarly research. They aim to preserve the authenticity of biblical texts for future generations. This shared goal unites them despite their differences. Biblical translations have changed over time, reflecting shifts in language and scholarship. Today, we have many options for those seeking scriptural guidance. This variety helps people connect with the Bible in new ways. Catholic study Bibles have improved greatly in recent years. The New American Bible balances accuracy and readability well. Modern translations cater to today's readers while preserving Catholic theology's depth. The King James Version (KJV) remains important in Christian literature. It has influenced English literature and education beyond religious circles. Many still value its poetic style, despite its challenging archaic language. We suggest using approved Catholic editions for Catholics wanting to deepen their faith. These include deuterocanonical books and align with Church teachings. The Catholic Study Bible is an excellent choice. It offers comprehensive notes and commentary for in-depth study. Bible VersionKey FeaturesRecommended ForNew American BibleModern language, Catholic approvedGeneral Catholic readershipKing James VersionPoetic language, historical significanceLiterary study, traditional readersCatholic Study BibleExtensive notes, deuterocanonical booksIn-depth Catholic study The Church allows reading various versions, but using the Mass translation can boost understanding. The main goal is connecting deeply with scripture, no matter which translation you choose. The King James Version and the Catholic Bible offer unique paths on our faith journey. Both translations stem from distinct historical contexts. The King James Version, published in 1611, has shaped scriptural wisdom for generations. The Catholic Bible includes seven additional books. Both versions serve as vessels for divine revelation. Translation differences highlight the complexity of biblical scholarship. Yet, God's love and salvation remain central to Christian unity. These translations remind us of God's Word's living nature. The King James Version offers a more literal reading. The New American Bible provides a dynamic interpretation. Both versions offer valuable insights for spiritual growth. Embracing this diversity deepens our appreciation for Scripture. It shows the Bible's enduring relevance in our lives. It also highlights our shared Christian heritage. Four key differences exist between these Bibles. The Catholic Bible has 73 books, while the King James Version has 66. Catholic Bibles stem from the Latin Vulgate, while the King James Version comes from Greek texts. Interpretations vary due to different manuscripts and traditions. The King James Version is known for its majestic language.The Catholic Bible includes seven additional books called deuterocanonical books or Apocrypha. The Council of Trent affirmed these books in the 16th century. We view these books as part of our sacred tradition.They offer insights into Jewish history and religious beliefs. These beliefs shaped the context of the New Testament.The King James Version aimed for a literal, word-for-word translation. It focused on preserving the majesty and poetry of the language. Many Catholic Bible translations use "dynamic equivalence" instead.This method balances literal accuracy with readability. It aims to convey the original text's meaning in modern language.We don't forbid Catholics from reading other versions, including the King James Version. However, we encourage the use of Catholic Bibles. These include versions like the Catholic Study Bible or the New American Bible.Catholic Bibles contain the deuterocanonical books and align with Catholic theology. We recommend using the translation used in Mass for consistency.Both Catholic and King James Bibles contain the essential truths of the Christian faith. We believe that what unites Christians is greater than what divides them. The different translations offer opportunities to deepen our understanding of God's revelation.Modern ecumenical perspectives encourage dialogue and recognition of shared core beliefs. They view diversity as richness rather than a threat.We authenticate biblical texts through manuscript evidence, historical usage, and Church authority. Both traditions value careful textual criticism and scholarly research. The main difference lies in our sources of divine revelation.The Catholic Church relies on both Scripture and sacred tradition. Protestants adhere to Scripture alone as the ultimate authority.The deuterocanonical books provide insights into Jewish history and religious beliefs. They illuminate certain Catholic doctrines and practices. Protestants consider these books as Apocrypha and view them as supplemental reading.We include them as an integral part of our biblical canon.Contemporary Catholic Bible versions balance accuracy with readability for modern readers. The King James Version remains influential but can be challenging due to its archaic language. Our modern Catholic versions aim to convey the original text's meaning in contemporary language.This reflects our understanding of Scripture as the living Word of God. We believe it speaks to each generation anew. Christianity's Holy Book Bible, has many versions circulated these days. The original version was written in Hebrew as well as in Greek.These original manuscripts were translated into different languages over time. Primarily to make it easy for people to read.The Original Bible had the Old and the New Testament. The Old Testament was written in Hebrew, and the New Testament was written in Greek.The Old Testament had 46 books, and the New Testament had 27 books.While translating it into different languages, a few versions missed the original manuscripts as they were also unavailable.The Catholic Bible contains additional Deuterocanonical books not found in the King James Bible.The King James Bible is a Protestant translation known for its poetic language and influences on the English-speaking world.The Catholic Bible uses the Septuagint as its Old Testament source, while the King James Bible relies on the Masoretic Text.The difference between the Catholic Bible and King James Bible is Catholic Bible imbibes the original version of the Holy book that contains 46 books of the Old Testament and 27 Books of the New Testament. Whereas the King James Bible includes translations of the old and new testaments, it lacks the 7 Apocrypha's, making it 39 Old Testament books.The Catholic Bible, as we say, it is the actual Christian Bible. Further, it contains both the old and new Testaments.Additionally, it has Vulgate too. The King James Version of the Bible is a translated English Version of the Bible.I ordered King James; it was carried out in the 17th Century.Parameter of ComparisonCatholic BibleKing James BibleTerm/ MeaningCatholic Bible is the general term for a Christian Bible.King James Bible is one of the versions of the Bible available in Christianity.Number of BooksCatholic Bible has 46 books of the Old and 27 books of the New Testament.King James Bible has 39 books of the Old and 27 books of the New Testament.ApocryphaCatholic Bible has Apocrypha in it.King James Bible does not have Apocrypha Contained in it.Translation PeriodCatholic Bible is the first translation of the canon scriptures to Latin.King James Bible is the English translation of the canon scriptures.CompletenessCatholic Bible is considered complete as it contains all the scriptures in Hebrew and Greek.King James' Bible is written in English. However, it does not have the deuterocanonical books and misses out on Apocrypha.Catholic Bible is the Holy book of the Christians that contains 73 books of the Canon Scripture.The Canon Scriptures are the Christianity-recognized Holy scriptures as authoritative.The Catholic Bible was made by referring to the Canon Scriptures. The scriptures were in Hebrew and Greek.At this juncture, it is better to understand a Jargon used in ChristianityDeuterocanonical Books: These are scriptures written in Greek which is translated from the original Hebrew Structure.They belong to the Old Testament. These are recognized and approved only by the Catholic, Eastern Orthodox, Oriental Orthodox, and Assyrian Church.The Catholic Bible not only has 73 books but also includes the Deuterocanonical books.These were extant in the Old Testament in ancient times.Catholic Bible can also be considered the version built based on Catholic Canon Law. These laws were made by the authorities of the Catholic Church then.The rules and regulations direct Christians to follow the activities of the Church.The Latin version of the Catholic Bible is considered to be the direct translation of the canon scriptures.It is called the Vulgate and was observed to be translated in the 4th Century AD. Any translation of the Catholic Bible after that happened from Vulgate.However, it is still considered that the author wrote the Catholic Bible, and the Church believes it strongly.What is King James Bible?The King James Bible is the translation of the Christian Bible into the English Language.It is the authorized version of the Holy Book, published in 1611 AD.The task of translation began in 1604 under the order of King James I.This version of the Bible misses out on the seven books on Apocrypha, which must be present between the Old and the New Testament.King James Version of the Bible is the third English translation of the Christian Bible. The earlier versions were the Great Bible and the Bishops' Bible.These two versions had specific problems which the Puritans raised.Puritans: They were the people who belonged to the 16th century.They were Protestants and wanted to clear all the problems with Catholic influence from the Church of England.With this effect, King James I called for a Hampton Court Conference in 1604.The decision to make another English version of the Christian Bible was completed.A total of 47 people did the translation. They were divided into six panels for the task to be carried out effectively.Main Differences Between Catholic Bible and King James BibleThe main difference between the Catholic Bible and King James Bible is the general term that the bible holds that Christians use. It is the name given to the Holy book by many people. At the same time, King James Bible is one of the many versions available worldwide.Catholic Bible contains all the Old Testament and New Testament Canon scriptures. It also includes the seven books of Apocrypha. The King James Bible does not contain all the books that were initially present and used to make the Catholic Bible.The Catholic Bible contains the Apocrypha, whereas the King James Bible is devoid.Catholic Bible is the first translation of the original canon scriptures to Latin, while King James Bible is the English translation.Catholic Bible is considered complete, while King James Bible misses out on many aspects. Also, the King James version has high-sounding English, which was later required to change.References , the free encyclopedia that anyone can edit. 107,583 active editors 7,025,586 articles in English Sir William Gordon-Cumming (20 July 1848 – 20 May 1930) was a Scottish landowner, soldier and socialite. He was the central figure in the royal baccarat scandal of 1891. He joined the British Army in 1868 and saw service in South Africa, Egypt and the Sudan; he served with distinction and rose to the rank of lieutenant-colonel. An adventurer, he also hunted in the US and India. A friend of Edward, Prince of Wales, for over 20 years, in 1890 he attended a house party at Tranby Croft, where he took part in a game of baccarat at the behest of the prince. During the course of two nights' play he was accused of cheating, which he denied. After news of the affair leaked out, he sued five members of the party for slander; Edward was called as a witness. The case was a public spectacle in the UK and abroad, but the verdict went against Gordon-Cumming and he was ostracised from polite society. After the court case he married an American heiress, but their relationship was unhappy. (Full article...) Recently featured: Great Wilbraham (causewayed enclosure) Henry de Hinuber Hurricane Claudette (2003) Archive By email More featured articles About Postcard with a Fula woman ... that François-Edmond Fortier published more than 3,300 postcards of French West Africa (example pictured) between 1901 and 1920? ... that a language riot broke out between members of Our Lady of the Rosary in 1917? ... that Oleksandr Rodin's opera Kateryna was staged despite barricades, bombings, and an air-raid alarm? ... that Paul Among the People treats the Pauline epistles as sources comparable to Homer, Aristophanes and Virgil on Greco-Roman attitudes? ... that Gyula Kakas competed at two Olympics in gymnastics, set the Hungarian pole-vault record, and played for a national-champion football club? ... that a lyric in Beautiful Chaos was praised for "spreading queer joy"? ... that defending champions Bermuda did not compete in the women's football tournament at the 2015 Island Games? ... that Vatican Taekwondo has no registered athletes or coaches? ... that Iceland's entry for Eurovision in 2025 brought out a line of Ash Wednesday costumes? Archive Start a new article Nominate an article Muhammadu Buhari Former president of Nigeria Muhammadu Buhari (pictured) dies at the age of 82. Clashes between Druze militias and the Syrian Armed Forces result in hundreds of deaths. The International Criminal Court issues arrest warrants for Taliban leaders Hibatullah Akhundzada and Abdul Hakim Haqqani over their alleged persecution of women in Afghanistan. Flooding in Central Texas, United States, leaves at least 140 people dead. Ongoing: Gaza war Russian invasion of Ukraine timeline Sudanese civil war timeline Recent deaths: Andrea Gibson Raymond Guiot Felix Baumgartner Fauja Singh Bradley John Murdoch Frank Barrie Nominate an article July 20 Forensic experts at the site of the Suruç bombing 1807 – French brothers Claude and Nicéphore Niépce received a patent for their Pyréolophore, one of the world's first internal combustion engines. 1951 – Abdullah I of Jordan was assassinated while visiting the Al-Aqsa Mosque in Jerusalem. 1976 – The Viking 1 lander became the first spacecraft to successfully land on Mars and perform its mission. 1997 – USS Constitution, one of the United States Navy's original six frigates, sailed for the first time in 116 years after a full restoration. 2015 – A suicide attack (aftermath pictured) in Suruç, Turkey, for which Islamic State of Iraq and the Levant (ISIL) claimed responsibility, killed 34 people and injured 104 others. Alexander the Great (b. 356 BC)Amanda Clement (d. 1971)Bruce Lee (d. 1973)Gisele Bündchen (b. 1980) More anniversaries: July 19 July 20 July 21 Archive By email List of days of the year About C/2022 E3 (ZTF) is a non-periodic comet from the Oort cloud that was discovered by the Zwicky Transient Facility (ZTF) in 2022. With a comet nucleus of around 1 kilometre (0.6 mi) in diameter, C/2022 E3 rotates on its axis once every 8.5 to 8.7 hours. Its tails of dust and gas extended for millions of kilometers and, during January 2023, an anti-tail was also visible. The comet reached its most recent perihelion in January 2023, at a distance of 1.11 AU (166 million km; 103 million mi) from the sun, and the closest approach to Earth was a few weeks later, at a distance of 0.28 AU (42 million km; 26 million mi). The comet reached magnitude 5 and was visible with the naked eye under moonless dark skies. This photograph of C/2022 E3 was taken in January 2023 and released by the Italian National Institute for Astrophysics. Photograph credit: Alessandro Bianconi; National Institute for Astrophysics Recently featured: Passion fruit Basilica of St Paul, Rabat Clouded Apollo Archive More featured pictures Community portal – The central hub for editors, with resources, links, tasks, and announcements. Village pump – Forum for discussions about Wikipedia itself, including policies and technical issues. Site news – Sources of news about Wikipedia and the broader Wikimedia movement. Teahouse – Ask basic questions about using or editing Wikipedia. Help desk – Ask questions about using or editing Wikipedia. Reference desk – Ask research questions about encyclopedic topics. Content portals – A unique way to navigate the encyclopedia. Wikipedia is written by volunteer editors and hosted by the Wikimedia Foundation, a non-profit organization that also hosts a range of other volunteer projects: CommonsFree media repository MediaWikiWiki software development Meta-WikiWikimedia project coordination WikibooksFree textbooks and manuals WikidataFree knowledge base WikinewsFree-content news WikiquoteCollection of quotations WikisourceFree-content library WikispeciesDirectory of species WikiversityFree learning tools WikivoyageFree travel guide WiktionaryDictionary and thesaurus This Wikipedia is written in English. Many other Wikipedias are available; some of the largest are listed below. 1,000,000+ articles العربية Deutsch Español فارسی Français Italiano Nederlands 日本語 Polski Português Русский Svenska Українська Tiếng Việt 中文 250,000+ articles Bahasa Indonesia Bahasa Melayu Bân-lâm-gú Български Català Čeština Dansk Eesti Ελληνικά Esperanto Euskara עברית Հայերեն Magyar Norsk bokmål Română Simple English Slovenčina Srpski Srpskohrvatski Suomi Türkçe Oʻzbekcha 50,000+ articles Asturianu Azərbaycanca □□□□□ Bosanski کوردی Frysk Gaeilge Galego Hrvatski ქართული Kurdî Latviešu Lietuvių □□□□□ Македонски □□□□□□□□ Norsk nynorsk □□□□□ Shqip Slovenščina □□□ □□□□□ اردو Retrieved from " article needs additional citations for verification. Please help improve this article by adding citations to reliable sources. Unsourced material may be challenged and removed.Find sources: "1807" – news · newspapers · books · scholar · JSTOR (November 2015) (Learn how and when to remove this message) Calendar year Years Millennium 2nd millennium Centuries 18th century 19th century 20th century Decades 1780s 1790s 1800s 1810s 1820s Years 1804 1805 1806 1807 1808 1809 1810 vte February 7: Napoleon leads French troops into Russia in winter, and fights the Battle of Eylau. June 14: Napoleon triumphs over Russia's General Benningsen, at the Battle of Friedland. 1807 (MDCCCVII) was a common year starting on Thursday of the Gregorian calendar and a common year starting on Tuesday of the Julian calendar, the 1807th year of the Common Era (CE) and Anno Domini (AD) designations, the 807th year of the 2nd millennium, the 7th year of the 19th century, and the 8th year of the 1800s decade. As of the start of 1807, the Gregorian calendar was 12 days ahead of the Julian calendar, which remained in localized use until 1923. Calendar year 1807 by topic Humanities Archaeology Architecture Art Literature Poetry Music By country Australia Brazil Canada Denmark France Germany New Zealand Norway Russia South Africa Sweden United Kingdom United States Other topics Rail transport Science Sports Lists of leaders Sovereign states Sovereign state leaders Territorial governors Religious leaders Law Birth and death categories Births Deaths Establishments and disestablishments categories Establishments Disestablishments Works category Works vte 1807 in various calendarsGregorian calendar1807MDCCCVIIAb urbe condita2560Armenian calendar1256ԹՎ ՌՄԾԶAssyrian calendar6557Balinese saka calendar1728–1729Bengali calendar1213–1214Berber calendar2757British Regnal year47 Geo. 3 – 48 Geo. 3Buddhist calendar2351Burmese calendar1169Byzantine calendar7315–7316Chinese calendar丙寅年 (Fire Tiger)4504 or 4297 — to —丁卯年 (Fire Rabbit)4505 or 4298Coptic calendar1523–1524Discordian calendar2973Ethiopian calendar1799–1800Hebrew calendar5567–5568Hindu calendars - Vikram Samvat1863–1864 - Shaka Samvat1728–1729 - Kali Yuga4907–4908Holocene calendar11807Igbo calendar807–808Iranian calendar1185–1186Islamic calendar1221–1222Japanese calendarBunka 4(文化４年)Javanese calendar1733–1734Julian calendarGregorian minus 12 daysKorean calendar4140Minguo calendar105 before ROC民前105年Nanakshahi calendar339Thai solar calendar2349–2350Tibetan calendar□□□□□□□□□□□□(male Fire-Tiger)1933 or 1552 or 780 — to —□□□□□□□□□□□□(female Fire-Hare)1934 or 1553 or 781 Wikimedia Commons has media related to 1807. January 7 – The United Kingdom of Great Britain and Ireland issues an Order in Council prohibiting British ships from trading with France or its allies.[1] January 20 – The Sierra Leone Company, faced with bankruptcy because of the imminent abolition of the slave trade in British colonies, petitions the British government for purchase and transfer of its property to the Crown; Parliament approves the transfer on July 29, and it takes effect on January 1, 1808.[2] February 3 – Napoleonic Wars and Anglo-Spanish War: Battle of Montevideo – The British Army captures Montevideo from the Spanish Empire, as part of the British invasions of the Río de la Plata. February 7 – Napoleon leads the forces of the French Empire in an invasion of the Russian Empire, and begins fighting at the Battle of Eylau against Russian and Prussian forces.[3] February 8 – Battle of Eylau: Napoleon fights a hard but inconclusive battle against the Russians under Bennigsen. February 10 – The Survey of the Coast (renamed the United States Coast Survey in 1836 and the United States Coast and Geodetic Survey in 1878) is established; work begins on August 3, 1816. February 17 – Henry Christopher is elected first President of the State of Haiti, ruling the northern part of the country. February 19 – Burr conspiracy: In Alabama, former Vice President of the United States Aaron Burr is tried for conspiracy, but acquitted. February 23 – The Slave Trade Act is passed in the House of Commons of the United Kingdom by an overwhelming majority.[4] March 2 – The United States Congress passes the Act Prohibiting Importation of Slaves "into any port or place within the jurisdiction of the United States ... from any foreign kingdom, place, or country" (to take effect January 1, 1808). March 25 The United Kingdom Slave Trade Act becomes law abolishing the slave trade in most of the British Empire[5] with effect from 1 May (slavery itself is abolished in British colonies in 1833). The Swansea and Mumbles Railway in South Wales, at this time known as the Oystermouth Railway, becomes the first passenger-carrying railway in the world. March 29 – H. W. Olbers discovers the asteroid Vesta. April 4–12 – Froberg mutiny: The British suppress a mutiny at Fort Ricasoli, Malta, by men of the irregularly-recruited Froberg Regiment. April 14 – African Institution holds its first meeting in London; it is intended to improve social conditions in Sierra Leone. May 22 – A grand jury indicts former Vice President of the United States Aaron Burr for treason.[6] May 24 – Siege of Danzig ends after 6 weeks with Prussian and Russian defenders capitulating to French forces. May 29 – Selim III, Ottoman Emperor since 1789, is deposed in favour of his nephew Mustafa IV. May 31 – Primitive Methodism originates in an All Day of Prayer at Mow Cop, in the north midlands of England.[7] June 9 – The Duke of Portland is chosen as Prime Minister after the United Kingdom general election. June 10 – The Battle of Heilsberg ends in a draw. June 14 – Battle of Friedland: Napoleon decisively defeats Bennigsen's Russian army. June 22 – Chesapeake–Leopard affair: British Royal Navy fourth rate HMS Leopard attacks and boards United States Navy frigate USS Chesapeake off Norfolk, Virginia, seeking deserters. This act of British aggression plays a role in the run-up to the War of 1812. July 5 – A disastrous British attack is mounted against Buenos Aires, during the second failed invasion of the Río de la Plata. July 7–9 – The Treaties of Tilsit are signed between France, Prussia and Russia. Napoleon and Russian Emperor Alexander I ally together against the British. The Prussians are forced to cede more than half their territory, which is formed into the Duchy of Warsaw in their former Polish lands, and the Kingdom of Westphalia in western Germany. The Free City of Danzig is also formed (established September 9 by Napoleon). July 13 – With the death of Henry Benedict Stuart, the last Stuart claimant to the throne of the United Kingdom, Jacobitism comes to an effective end. July 20 – Nicéphore Niépce is awarded a patent by Napoleon Bonaparte for the Pyréolophore, the world's first internal combustion engine, after it successfully powers a boat upstream on the river Saône in France. August 17 – The North River Steamboat, Robert Fulton's first American steamboat, leaves New York City for Albany on the Hudson River, inaugurating the first commercial steamboat service in the world. September 1 – Former U.S. Vice President Aaron Burr is acquitted of treason. He had been accused of plotting to annex parts of Louisiana and Mexico, to become part of an independent republic. September 2–7 – Battle of Copenhagen: The British Royal Navy bombards Copenhagen with fire bombs and phosphorus rockets, to prevent the Dano-Norwegian navy from surrendering to Napoleon; 30% of the city is destroyed, and 2,000 citizens are killed. September 7 – Robert Morrison, the first Protestant missionary to China, arrives in Guangzhou (Canton).[8] September 13 – Beethoven's Mass in C major, Op. 86, is premiered, commissioned by Nikolaus I, Prince Esterházy, and displeasing him.[9] September 27 – Napoleon purchases the Borghese art collection, including the Antinous Mondragone, and brings it to Paris.[10] October 9 – Prussian Reform Movement: Serfdom is abolished by the October edict. October 13 – The Geological Society of London is founded. October 30 – El Escorial Conspiracy: Ferdinand, Prince of Asturias is arrested for conspiring against his father Charles IV of Spain. November 24 – Battle of Abrantes, Portugal: The French under Jean-Andoche Junot take the town. November 29 – Portuguese Queen Maria I and the Court embark at Lisbon, bound for Brazil. Rio de Janeiro becomes the Portuguese capital. December 5–11 – Napoleonic Wars: Raid on Griessie – A British Royal Navy squadron attacks the Dutch port of Griessie on Java in the Dutch East Indies, eliminating the last Dutch naval force in the Pacific and concluding the Java campaign of 1806–1807.[11] December 17 – Napoleonic Wars: France issues the Milan Decree which confirms the Continental System (i.e. no European country is to trade with the United Kingdom). December 22 – The United States Congress passes the Embargo Act, a trade embargo on all foreign nations. Battle of Hingakaka between two factions of Māori people, the largest battle ever fought in New Zealand, and the last fought there without firearms.[12] In 1807 or 1808 is fought the Battle of Moremonui, first of the Musket Wars. Robert E. Lee January 13 – Napoleon Bonaparte Buford, American general, railroad executive (d. 1883) January 19 – Robert E. Lee, American Confederate general (d. 1870) January 28 – Robert McClure, Irish-born Arctic explorer (d. 1873) February 10 – Lajos Batthyány, 1st Prime Minister of Hungary (d. 1849) February 27 – Henry Wadsworth Longfellow, American poet (d. 1882)[13] March 1 – Wilford Woodruff, American religious leader (d. 1898) March 14 – Josephine of Leuchtenberg, Queen of Sweden and Norway (d. 1876) April 2 – William F. Packer, American politician (d. 1870) April 3 – Jane Digby, English adventurer (d. 1881) April 20 – John Milton, Governor of Florida (d. 1865) April 26 – Charles Auguste Frossard, French general (d. 1875) May 28 – Louis Agassiz, Swiss-born zoologist and geologist (d. 1873) June 6 – Adrien-François Servais, Belgian musician (d. 1866) June 16 – John Westcott, American surveyor and politician (d. 1888) Giuseppe Garibaldi July 4 – Giuseppe Garibaldi, Italian patriot (d. 1882) August 11 – David Rice Atchison, American politician (d. 1886) August 15 – Jules Grévy, 4th President of France (d. 1891) August 18 – Charles Francis Adams Sr., American historical editor, politician and diplomat (d. 1886) September 2 – Fredrika Runeberg, Finnish writer (d. 1879)[14] September 7 – Henry Sewell, 1st Premier of New Zealand (d. 1879) September 16 – John Lenthall, American naval architect and shipbuilder (d. 1882) October 8 – Harriet Taylor, English philosophical writer (d. 1858)[15] October 26 – Barbu Catargiu, 1st Prime Minister of Romania (d. 1862) October 29 – Anđeo Kraljević, Herzegovinian Catholic bishop (d. 1879) October 30 – Christopher Wordsworth, Bishop of Lincoln (d. 1885) November 16 – Eduard von Fransecky, Prussian general (d. 1890) December 8 – Friedrich Traugott Kützing, German pharmacist, botanist and phycologist (d. 1893) December 17 – John Greenleaf Whittier, American Quaker poet and abolitionist (d. 1892) Pasquale Paoli February 1 – Sir Thomas Troubridge, 1st Baronet, British admiral (b. c. 1758) February 5 – Pasquale Paoli, Corsican patriot, military leader (b. 1725) February 27 – Louise du Pierry, French astronomer (b.1746) March 10 – Jean Thurel, French soldier (b. 1698) April 4 – Jérôme Lalande, French astronomer (b. 1732) April 10 – Duchess Anna Amalia of Brunswick-Wolfenbüttel, regent of Weimar and Eisenach (b. 1739) May 10 – Jean-Baptiste Donatien de Vimeur, comte de Rochambeau, French soldier (b. 1725) May 13 – Eliphalet Dyer, American statesman, judge (b. 1721) May 17 – John Gunby, Maryland soldier in the American Revolutionary War (b. 1745) May 18 – John Douglas, Scottish Anglican bishop, man of letters (b. 1721) June 9 – Andrew Sterett, American naval officer (b. 1778) Angelica Kauffman July 13 – Henry Benedict Stuart, Italian-born cardinal, Jacobite claimant to the British throne (b. 1725) July 19 – Uriah Tracy, American politician and congressman from Connecticut, 1793 until 1807 (b. 1755) September 14 – George Townshend, 1st Marquess Townshend, British field marshal (b. 1724) October 22 – Jean-François Houbigant, French perfumer (b. 1752) November 2 – Louis Auguste Le Tonnelier de Breteuil, Prime Minister of King Louis XVI of France (b. 1730) November 5 – Angelica Kauffman, Swiss painter (b. 1741) November 8 Darejan Dadiani, Georgian queen consort (b. 1738) Pierre-Alexandre-Laurent Forfait, French engineer, hydrographer, politician, and Minister of the Navy (1799–1801) (b. 1752) November 23 – Jean-François Rewbell, French politician (b. 1747) November 26 – Oliver Ellsworth, American founding father and 3rd Chief Justice of the United States Supreme Court (b. 1745) December 19 – Friedrich Melchior, Baron von Grimm, German writer (b. 1723) December 21 – John Newton, English cleric, hymnist (b. 1725) December 29 – Diogo de Carvalho e Sampayo, Portuguese diplomat, scientist (b. 1750) ^ William S. Dudley, ed. The Naval War of 1812: A Documentary History (Naval Historical Center, 1985) p34 ^ Stephen Tomkins, The Clapham Sect: How Wilberforce's Circle Transformed Britain (Lion Books, 2012) p200 ^ William Hodgson, The Life of Napoleon Bonaparte, Once Emperor of the French, who Died in Exile, at St. Helena, After a Captivity of Six Years' Duration (Orlando Hodgson, 1841) p384 ^ "William Wilberforce (1759–1833)". Retrieved January 18, 2021. ^ "Abolition of the Slave Trade 1807". BBC. Archived from the original on October 11, 2007. Retrieved September 11, 2007. ^ "The Aaron Burr Treason Trial" (PDF). ^ Farndale, W. E. (1950). The Secret of Mow Cop: a new appraisal of the origins of Primitive Methodism. London: Epworth Press. ^ "Sketch of the Canton Protestant Mission", by Rev. John Chalmers, in The Chinese Recorder and Missionary Journal, Volume 7 (American Presbyterian Mission Press, 1876) p174 ^ Marston, Nicholas (2006). Beethoven: Mass in C major, Op. 86 (PDF) (CD). Hyperion Records. CDH55263. Retrieved May 15, 2015. ^ Francis Haskell and Nicholas Penny, Taste and the Antique: The Lure of Classical Sculpture, 1500-1900 (Yale University Press, 1982) p281 ^ Clowes, William Laird (1997) [1900]. The Royal Navy: A History from the Earliest Times to 1900. Vol. V. Chatham Publishing. ISBN 1-86176-014-0. ^ Anderson, Atholl (2022). "War is their principal profession: On the frequency and causes of Maori warfare and migration, 1250–1850 CE". In Clark, Geoffrey; Litster, Mirani (eds.). Archaeological Perspectives on Conflict and Warfare in Australia and the Pacific. Canberra: ANU Press. p. 51. ISBN 978-1-76046-489-9. Retrieved March 29, 2025 – via Google Books. ^ Calhoun, Charles C (2004). Longfellow: A Rediscovered Life. Boston: Beacon Press. p. 5. ISBN 978-0807070260. ^ "Fredrika Runeberg". Svenska Litteratursällskapet i Finland. Archived from the original on May 6, 2014. Retrieved May 14, 2014. ^ Robson, Ann P. "Mill [née Hardy; other married name Taylor], Harriet". Oxford Dictionary of National Biography (online ed.). Oxford University Press. doi:10.1093/ref:odnb/38051. (Subscription or UK public library membership required.) Retrieved from " 3Second-largest asteroid of the main asteroid belt This article is about the asteroid. For the Roman goddess, see Vesta (mythology). For other uses, see Vesta (disambiguation). 4 VestaTrue color image of Vesta taken by Dawn. The massive Rheasilvia Crater dominates Vesta's south pole.DiscoveryDiscovered byHeinrich Wilhelm OlbersDiscovery date29 March 1807DesignationsMPC designation(4) VestaPronunciation/ 'vɛstə/[1]Named afterVestaMinor planet categoryMain belt (Vesta family)AdjectivesVestanVestian[a]Symbol (historically astronomical, now astrological)Orbital characteristics[6]Epoch 13 September 2023(JD 2453300.5)Aphelion2.57 AU (384 million km)Perihelion2.15 AU (322 million km)Semi-major axis2.36 AU (353 million km)Eccentricity0.0894Orbital period (sidereal)3.63 yr (1325.86 d)Average orbital speed19.34 km/sMean anomaly169.4°Inclination7.1422° to ecliptic5.58° to invariable plane[7]Longitude of ascending node103.71°Time of perihelion26 December 2021[8]Argument of perihelion151.66°SatellitesNoneEarth MOID1.14 AU (171 million km)Proper orbital elements[9]Proper semi-major axis2.36151 AUProper eccentricity0.098758Proper inclination6.39234°Proper mean motion99.1888 deg / yrProper orbital period3.62944 yr(1325.654 d)Precession of perihelion36.8729 (2343 years) arcsec / yrPrecession of the ascending node−39.5979 (2182 years) arcsec / yrPhysical characteristicsDimensions572.6 km × 557.2 km × 446.4 km[10]Mean diameter525.4±0.2 km[10]Flattening0.2204Surface area(8.66±0.2)×105 km2[b][11]Volume7.4970×107 km3[10]Mass(2.590271±0.000058)×1020 kg[12]Mean density3.456±0.035 g/cm3[10]Equatorial surface gravity0.22 m/s2 (0.022 g0)Equatorial escape velocity0.36 km/sSynodic rotation period0.2226 d (5.342 h)[6][13]Equatorial rotation velocity93.1 m/s[c]Axial tilt29°North pole right ascension20h 32m[d]North pole declination48°[d]Geometric albedo0.423[15]Temperaturemin: 75 K (−198 °C)max: 250 K (−23 °C)[16]Spectral typeV[6][17]Apparent magnitude5.1[18] to 8.48Absolute magnitude (H)3.20[6][15]Angular diameter0.70″ to 0.22″ Vesta (minor-planet designation: 4 Vesta) is one of the largest objects in the asteroid belt, with a mean diameter of 525 kilometres (326 mi).[10] It was discovered by the German astronomer Heinrich Wilhelm Matthias Olbers on 29 March 1807[6] and is named after Vesta, the virgin goddess of home and hearth from Roman mythology.[19] Vesta is thought to be the second-largest asteroid, both by mass and by volume, after the dwarf planet Ceres.[20][21][22] Measurements give it a nominal volume only slightly larger than that of Pallas (about 5% greater), but it is 25% to 30% more massive. It constitutes an estimated 9% of the mass of the asteroid belt.[23] Vesta is the only known remaining rocky protoplanet of the kind that formed the terrestrial planets.[24] Numerous fragments of Vesta were ejected by collisions one and two billion years ago that left two enormous craters occupying much of Vesta's southern hemisphere.[25][26] Debris from these events has fallen to Earth as howardite–eucrite–diogenite (HED) meteorites, which have been a rich source of information about Vesta.[27][28][29] Vesta is the brightest asteroid visible from Earth. It is regularly as bright as magnitude 5.1,[18] at which times it is faintly visible to the naked eye. Its maximum distance from the Sun is slightly greater than the minimum distance of Ceres from the Sun,[e] although its orbit lies entirely within that of Ceres.[30] NASA's Dawn spacecraft entered orbit around Vesta on 16 July 2011 for a one-year exploration and left the orbit of Vesta on 5 September 2012[31] en route to its final destination, Ceres. Researchers continue to examine data collected by Dawn for additional insights into the formation and history of Vesta.[32][33] Vesta, Ceres, and the Moon with sizes shown to scale Heinrich Olbers discovered Pallas in 1802, the year after the discovery of Ceres. He proposed that the two objects were the remnants of a destroyed planet. He sent a letter with his proposal to the British astronomer William Herschel, suggesting that a search near the locations where the orbits of Ceres and Pallas intersected might reveal more fragments. These orbital intersections were located in the constellations of Cetus and Virgo.[34] Olbers commenced his search in 1802, and on 29 March 1807 he discovered Vesta in the constellation Virgo—a coincidence, because Ceres, Pallas, and Vesta are not fragments of a larger body. Because the asteroid Juno had been discovered in 1804, this made Vesta the fourth object to be identified in the region that is now known as the asteroid belt. The discovery was announced in a letter addressed to German astronomer Johann H. Schröter dated 31 March.[35] Because Olbers already had credit for discovering a planet (Pallas; at the time, the asteroids were considered to be planets), he gave the honor of naming his new discovery to German mathematician Carl Friedrich Gauss, whose orbital calculations had enabled astronomers to confirm the existence of Ceres, the first asteroid, and who had computed the orbit of the new planet in the remarkably short time of 10 hours.[36][37] Gauss decided on the Roman virgin goddess of home and hearth, Vesta.[38] Vesta was the fourth asteroid to be discovered, hence the number 4 in its formal designation. The name Vesta, or national variants thereof, is in international use with two exceptions: Greece and China. In Greek, the name adopted was the Hellenic equivalent of Vesta, Hestia (4 Εστία); in English, that name is used for 46 Hestia (Greeks use the name "Hestia" for both, with the minor-planet numbers used for disambiguation). In Chinese, Vesta is called the 'hearth-god(dess) star', 灶神星 Zàoshénxīng, naming the asteroid for Vesta's role, similar to the Chinese names of Uranus, Neptune, and Pluto.[f] Upon its discovery, Vesta was, like Ceres, Pallas, and Juno before it, classified as a planet and given a planetary symbol. The symbol represented the altar of Vesta with its sacred fire and was designed by Gauss.[39][40] In Gauss's conception, now obsolete, this was drawn . His form is in the pipeline for Unicode 17.0 as U+1F777 .[41][42][g] The asteroid symbols were gradually retired from astronomical use after 1852, but the symbols for the first four asteroids were resurrected for astrology in the 1970s. The abbreviated modern astrological variant of the Vesta symbol is (U+26B6 ⚶).[41][h] After the discovery of Vesta, no further objects were discovered for 38 years, and during this time the Solar System was thought to have eleven planets.[47] However, in 1845, new asteroids started being discovered at a rapid pace, and by 1851 there were fifteen, each with its own symbol, in addition to the eight major planets (Neptune had been discovered in 1846). It soon became clear that it would be impractical to continue inventing new planetary symbols indefinitely, and some of the existing ones proved difficult to draw quickly. That year, the problem was addressed by Benjamin Apthorp Gould, who suggested numbering asteroids in their order of discovery, and placing this number in a disk (circle) as the generic symbol of an asteroid. Thus, the fourth asteroid, Vesta, acquired the generic symbol ④. This was soon coupled with the name into an official number–name designation, ④ Vesta, as the number of minor planets increased. By 1858, the circle had been simplified to parentheses, (4) Vesta, which were easier to typeset. Other punctuation, such as 4) Vesta and 4, Vesta, was also briefly used, but had more or less completely died out by 1949.[48] SPHERE image is shown on the left, with a synthetic view derived from Dawn images shown on the right for comparison.[49] Photometric observations of Vesta were made at the Harvard College Observatory in 1880–1882 and at the Observatoire de Toulouse in 1909. These and other observations allowed the rotation rate of Vesta to be determined by the 1950s. However, the early estimates of the rotation rate came into question because the light curve included variations in both shape and albedo.[50] Early estimates of the diameter of Vesta ranged from 383 kilometres (238 mi) in 1825, to 444 km (276 mi). E.C. Pickering produced an estimated diameter of 513 ± 17 km (319 ± 11 mi) in 1879, which is close to the modern value for the mean diameter, but the subsequent estimates ranged from a low of 390 km (242 mi) up to a high of 602 km (374 mi) during the next century. The measured estimates were based on photometry. In 1989, speckle interferometry was used to measure a dimension that varied between 498 and 548 km (309 and 341 mi) during the rotational period.[51] In 1991, an occultation of the star SAO 93228 by Vesta was observed from multiple locations in the eastern United States and Canada. Based on observations from 14 different sites, the best fit to the data was an elliptical profile with dimensions of about 550 km × 462 km (342 mi × 287 mi).[52] Dawn confirmed this measurement.[i] These measurements will help determine the thermal history, size of the core, role of water in asteroid evolution and what meteorites found on Earth come from these bodies, with the ultimate goal of understanding the conditions and processes present at the solar system's earliest epoch and the role of water content and size in planetary evolution.[53] Vesta became the first asteroid to have its mass determined. Every 18 years, the asteroid 197 Arete approaches within 0.04 AU of Vesta. In 1966, based upon observations of Vesta's gravitational perturbations of Arete, Hans G. Hertz estimated the mass of Vesta at (1.20±0.08)×10−10 M☉ (solar masses).[54] More refined estimates followed, and in 2001 the perturbations of 17 Thetis were used to calculate the mass of Vesta to be (1.31±0.02)×10−10 M☉.[55] Dawn determined it to be 1.3029×10−10 M☉. Vesta orbits the Sun between Mars and Jupiter, within the asteroid belt, with a period of 3.6 Earth years,[6] specifically in the inner asteroid belt, interior to the Kirkwood gap at 2.50 AU. Its orbit is moderately inclined (i = 7.1°, compared to 7° for Mercury and 17° for Pluto) and moderately eccentric (e = 0.09, about the same as for Mars).[6] True orbital resonances between asteroids are considered unlikely. Because of their small masses relative to their large separations, such relationships should be very rare.[56] Nevertheless, Vesta is able to capture other asteroids into temporary 1:1 resonant orbital relationships (for periods up to 2 million years or more) and about forty such objects have been identified.[57] Decameter-sized objects detected in the vicinity of Vesta by Dawn may be such quasi-satellites rather than proper satellites.[57] Olbers Regio (dark area) defines the prime meridian in the IAU coordinate system. It is shown here in a Hubble shot of Vesta, because it is not visible in the more detailed Dawn images.Claudia crater (indicated by the arrow at the bottom of the closeup image at right) defines the prime meridian in the Dawn/NASA coordinate system. Vesta's rotation is relatively fast for an asteroid (5.342 h) and prograde, with the north pole pointing in the direction of right ascension 20 h 32 min, declination +48° (in the constellation Cygnus) with an uncertainty of about 10°. This gives an axial tilt of 29°.[58] Two longitudinal coordinate systems are used for Vesta, with prime meridians separated by 150°. The IAU established a coordinate system in 1997 based on Hubble photos, with the prime meridian running through the center of Olbers Regio, a dark feature 200 km across. When Dawn arrived at Vesta, mission scientists found that the location of the pole assumed by the IAU was off by 10°, so that the IAU coordinate system drifted across the surface of Vesta at 0.06° per year, and also that Olbers Regio was not discernible from up close, and so was not adequate to define the prime meridian with the precision they needed. They corrected the pole, but also established a new prime meridian 4° from the center of Claudia, a sharply defined crater 700 metres across, which they say results in a more logical set of mapping quadrangles.[59] All NASA publications, including images and maps of Vesta, use the Claudian meridian, which is unacceptable to the IAU. The IAU Working Group on Cartographic Coordinates and Rotational Elements recommended a coordinate system, correcting the pole but rotating the Claudian longitude by 150° to coincide with Olbers Regio.[60] It was accepted by the IAU, although it disrupts the maps prepared by the Dawn team, which had been positioned so they would not bisect any major surface features.[59][61] Relative sizes of the four largest asteroids. Vesta is second from left. This graph was using the legacy Graph extension, which is no longer supported. It needs to be converted to the new Chart extension.The mass of 4 Vesta (blue) compared to other large asteroids: 1 Ceres, 2 Pallas, 10 Hygiea, 704 Interamnia, 15 Eunomia and the remainder of the Main Belt. The unit of mass is×1018 kg. Other objects in the Solar system with well-defined masses within a factor of 2 of Vesta's mass are Varda, G!kúnǁ'hòmdímà, and Salacia (245, 136, and 492×1018 kg, respectively). No moons are in this range: the closest, Tethys (Saturn III) and Enceladus (Saturn II), are over twice and less than half of Vesta's mass. Vesta is the second most massive body in the asteroid belt, although it is only 28% as massive as Ceres, the most massive body.[62][23] Vesta is, however, the most massive body that formed in the asteroid belt, as Ceres is believed to have formed between Jupiter and Saturn. Vesta's density is lower than those of the four terrestrial planets but is higher than those of most asteroids, as well as all of the moons in the Solar System except Io. Vesta's surface area is about the same as the land area of Pakistan, Venezuela, Tanzania, or Nigeria; slightly under 900,000 km2 (350,000 sq mi; 90 million ha; 220 million acres). It has an only partially differentiated interior.[63] Vesta is only slightly larger (525.4±0.2 km[10]) than 2 Pallas (512±3 km) in mean diameter,[64] but is about 25% more massive. Vesta's shape is close to a gravitationally relaxed oblate spheroid,[58] but the large concavity and protrusion at the southern pole (see 'Surface features' below) combined with a mass less than 5×1020 kg precluded Vesta from automatically being considered a dwarf planet under International Astronomical Union (IAU) Resolution XXVI 5.[65] A 2012 analysis of Vesta's shape[66] and gravity field using data gathered by the Dawn spacecraft has shown that Vesta is currently not in hydrostatic equilibrium.[10][67] Temperatures on the surface have been estimated to lie between about −20 °C (253 K) with the Sun overhead, dropping to about −190 °C (83.1 K) at the winter pole. Typical daytime and nighttime temperatures are −60 °C (213 K) and −130 °C (143 K), respectively. This estimate is for 6 May 1996, very close to perihelion, although details vary somewhat with the seasons.[16] Further information: List of geological features on Vesta Before the arrival of the Dawn spacecraft, some Vestan surface features had already been resolved using the Hubble Space Telescope and ground-based telescopes (e.g., the Keck Observatory).[68] The arrival of Dawn in July 2011 revealed the complex surface of Vesta in detail.[69] Geologic map of Vesta (Mollweide projection).[70] The most ancient and heavily cratered regions are brown; areas modified by the Veneneia and Rheasilvia impacts are purple (the Saturnalia Fossae Formation, in the north)[71] and light cyan (the Divalia Fossae Formation, equatorial),[70] respectively; the Rheasilvia impact basin interior (in the south) is dark blue, and neighboring areas of Rheasilvia ejecta (including an area within Veneneia) are light purple-blue;[72][73] areas modified by more recent impacts or mass wasting are yellow/orange or green, respectively. Main articles: Rheasilvia and Veneneia Northern (left) and southern (right) hemispheres. The "Snowman" craters are at the top of the left image; Rheasilvia and Veneneia (green and blue) dominate the right. Parallel troughs are seen in both. Colors of the two hemispheres are not to scale,[j] and the equatorial region is not shown. South pole of Vesta, showing the extent of Rheasilvia crater. The most prominent of these surface features are two enormous impact basins, the 500-kilometre-wide (311 mi) Rheasilvia, centered near the south pole; and the 400-kilometre-wide (249 mi) Veneneia. The Rheasilvia impact basin is younger and overlies the Veneneia.[74] The Dawn science team named the younger, more prominent crater Rheasilvia, after the mother of Romulus and Remus and a mythical vestal virgin.[75] Its width is 95% of the mean diameter of Vesta. The crater is about 19 km (12 mi) deep. A central peak rises 23 km (14 mi) above the lowest measured part of the crater floor and the highest measured part of the crater rim is 31 km (19 mi) above the crater floor low point. It is estimated that the impact responsible excavated about 1% of the volume of Vesta, and it is likely that the Vesta family and V-type asteroids are the products of this collision. If this is the case, then the fact that 10 km (6 mi) fragments have survived bombardment until the present indicates that the crater is at most only about 1 billion years old.[76] It would also be the site of origin of the HED meteorites. All the known V-type asteroids taken together account for only about 6% of the ejected volume, with the rest presumably either in small fragments, ejected by approaching the 3:1 Kirkwood gap, or perturbed away by the Yarkovsky effect or radiation pressure. Spectroscopic analyses of the Hubble images have shown that this crater has penetrated deep through several distinct layers of the crust, and possibly into the mantle, as indicated by spectral signatures of olivine.[58] Subsequent analysis of data from the Dawn mission provided much greater detail on Rheasilvia's structure and composition, confirming it as one of the largest impact structures known relative to its parent body size.[74] The impact clearly modified the pre-existing very large, Veneneia structure, indicating Rheasilvia's younger age.[74] Rheasilvia's size makes Vesta's southern topography unique, creating a flattened southern hemisphere and contributing significantly to the asteroid's overall oblate shape.[69] Rheasilvia's ~22 km (14 mi) central peak stands as one of the tallest mountains identified in the Solar System.[74] Its base width of roughly 180 km (110 mi) and complex morphology distinguishes it from the simpler central peaks seen in smaller craters.[77] Numerical modeling indicates that such a large central structure within a ~505 km (314 mi) diameter basin requires formation on a differentiated body with significant gravity. Scaling laws for craters on smaller asteroids fail to predict such a feature; instead, impact dynamics involving transient crater collapse and rebound of the underlying material (potentially upper mantle) are needed to explain its formation.[77] Hydrocode simulations suggest the impactor responsible was likely 60–70 km (37–43 mi) across, impacting at roughly 5.4 km/s.[78] Models of impact angle (around 30-45 degrees from vertical) better match the detailed morphology of the basin and its prominent peak.[77] Crater density measurements on Rheasilvia's relatively unmodified floor materials and surrounding ejecta deposits, calibrated using standard lunar chronology functions adapted for Vesta's location, place the impact event at approximately 1 billion years ago.[79][70] This age makes Rheasilvia a relatively young feature on a protoplanetary body formed early in Solar System history. The estimated excavation of ~1% of Vesta's volume[74] provides a direct link to the Vesta family of asteroids (Vestoids) and the HED meteorites. Since Vesta's spectral signature matches that of the Vestoids and HEDs, this strongly indicates they are fragments ejected from Vesta most likely during the Rheasilvia impact.[27][79] The Dawn mission's VIR instrument helped to confirm the basin's deep excavation and compositional diversity. VIR mapping revealed spectral variations across the basin consistent with the mixing of different crustal layers expected in the HED meteorites. Signatures matching eucrites (shallow crustal basalts) and diogenites (deeper crustal orthopyroxenites) were identified, which usually correlate with specific morphological features like crater walls or slump blocks.[80][27] The confirmed signature of olivine-rich material, which were first hinted at by Hubble observations is strongest on the flanks of the central peak and in specific patches along the basin rim and walls, suggesting it is not uniformly distributed but rather exposed in distinct outcrops.[81][80] As the dominant mineral expected in Vesta's mantle beneath the HED-like crust,[10] the presence of olivine indicates the Rheasilvia impact penetrated Vesta's entire crust (~20–40 km (12–25 mi) thick in the region) and excavated material from the upper mantle.[81] Furthermore, the global stresses resulting from this massive impact are considered the likely trigger for the formation of the large trough systems, like Divalia Fossa, that encircle Vesta's equatorial regions.[82][69] The crater Aelia Feralia Planitia, an old, degraded impact basin or impact basin complex near Vesta's equator (green and blue). It is 270 km (168 mi) across and predates Rheasilvia (green at bottom) Several old, degraded craters approach Rheasilvia and Veneneia in size, although none are quite so large. They include Feralia Planitia, shown at right, which is 270 km (168 mi) across.[83] More-recent, sharper craters range up to 158 km (98 mi) Varronilla and 196 km (122 mi) Postumia.[84] Dust fills up some craters, creating so-called dust ponds. They are a phenomenon where pockets of dust are seen in celestial bodies without a significant atmosphere. These are smooth deposits of dust accumulated in depressions on the surface of the body (like craters), contrasting from the Rocky terrain around them.[85] On the surface of Vesta, we have identified both type 1 (formed from impact melt) and type 2 (electrostatically made) dust ponds within 0°–30°N/S, that is, Equatorial region. 10 craters have been identified with such formations.[86] The "snowman craters" are a group of three adjacent craters in Vesta's northern hemisphere. Their official names, from largest to smallest (west to east), are Marcia, Calpurnia, and Minucia. Marcia is the youngest and cross-cuts Calpurnia. Minucia is the oldest.[70] "Snowman" craters by Dawn from 5,200 km (3,200 mi) in 2011Detailed image of the "Snowman" craters The majority of the equatorial region of Vesta is sculpted by a series of parallel troughs designated Divalia Fossae; its longest trough is 10–20 kilometres (6.2–12.4 mi) wide and 465 kilometres (289 mi) long. Despite the fact that Vesta is a one-seventh the size of the Moon, Divalia Fossae dwarfs the Grand Canyon. A second series, inclined to the equator, is found further north. This northern trough system is named Saturnalia Fossae, with its largest trough being roughly 40 km (25 mi) wide and over 370 km (230 mi) long. These troughs are thought to be large-scale graben resulting from the impacts that created Rheasilvia and Veneneia craters, respectively. They are some of the longest chasms in the Solar System, nearly as long as Ithaca Chasma on Tethys. The troughs may be graben that formed after another asteroid collided with Vesta, a process that can happen only in a body that is differentiated,[82] which Vesta may not fully be. Alternatively, it is proposed that the troughs may be radial sculptures created by secondary cratering from Rheasilvia.[87] A section of Divalia Fossae, with parallel troughs to the north and southA computer-generated view of a portion of Divalia Fossae Compositional information from the visible and infrared spectrometer (VIR), gamma-ray and neutron detector (GRaND), and framing camera (FC), all indicate that the majority of the surface composition of Vesta is consistent with the composition of the howardite, eucrite, and diogenite meteorites.[88][89][90] The Rheasilvia region is richest in diogenite, consistent with the Rheasilvia-forming impact excavating material from deeper within Vesta. The presence of olivine within the Rheasilvia region would also be consistent with excavation of mantle material. However, olivine has only been detected in localized regions of the northern hemisphere, not within Rheasilvia.[32] The origin of this olivine is currently unclear. Though olivine was expected by astronomers to have originated from Vesta's mantle prior to the arrival of the Dawn orbiter, the lack of olivine within the Rheasilvia and Veneneia impact basins complicates this view. Both impact basins excavated Vestian material down to 60–100 km, far

deeper than the expected thickness of ~30–40 km for Vesta's crust. Vesta's crust may be far thicker than expected or the violent impact events that created Rheasilvia and Veneneia may have mixed material enough to obscure olivine from observations. Alternatively, Dawn observations of olivine could instead be due to delivery by olivine-rich impactors, unrelated to Vesta's internal structure.[91] Pitted terrain has been observed in four craters on Vesta: Marcia, Cornelia, Numisia and Licinia.[92] The formation of the pitted terrain is proposed to be degassing of impact-heated volatile-bearing material. Along with the pitted terrain, curvilinear gullies are found in Marcia and Cornelia craters. The curvilinear gullies end in lobate deposits, which are sometimes covered by pitted terrain, and are proposed to form by the transient flow of liquid water after buried deposits of ice were melted by the heat of the impacts.[71] Hydrated materials have also been detected, many of which are associated with areas of dark material.[93] Consequently, dark material is thought to be largely composed of carbonaceous chondrite, which was deposited on the surface by impacts. Carbonaceous chondrites are comparatively rich in mineralogically bound OH.[90] Cut-away schematic of Vestan core, mantle, and crust Eucrite meteorite A large collection of potential samples from Vesta is accessible to scientists, in the form of over 1200 HED meteorites (Vestan achondrites), giving insight into Vesta's geologic history and structure. NASA Infrared Telescope Facility (NASA IRTF) studies of asteroid (237442) 1999 TA10 suggest that it originated from deeper within Vesta than the HED meteorites.[94] Vesta is thought to consist of a metallic iron–nickel core, variously estimated to be 90 km (56 mi)[63] to 220 km (140 mi)[10] in diameter, an overlying rocky olivine mantle, with a surface crust of similar composition to HED meteorites. From the first appearance of calcium–aluminium-rich inclusions (the first solid matter in the Solar System, forming about 4.567 billion years ago), a likely time line is as follows:[95][96][97][98][99] Timeline of the evolution of Vesta 2–3 million years Accretion completed 4–5 million years Complete or almost complete melting due to radioactive decay of 26Al, leading to separation of the metal core 6–7 million years Progressive crystallization of a convecting molten mantle. Convection stopped when about 80% of the material had crystallized Extrusion of the remaining molten material to form the crust, either as basaltic lavas in progressive eruptions, or possibly forming a short-lived magma ocean. The deeper layers of the crust crystallize to form plutonic rocks, whereas older basalts are metamorphosed due to the pressure of newer surface layers. Slow cooling of the interior Vesta is the only known intact asteroid that has been resurfaced in this manner. Because of this, some scientists refer to Vesta as a protoplanet.[100] Composition of the Vestan crust (by depth)[101] A lithified regolith, the source of howardites and brecciated eucrites. Basaltic lava flows, a source of non-cumulate eucrites. Plutonic rocks consisting of pyroxene, pigeonite and plagioclase, the source of cumulate eucrites. Plutonic rocks rich in orthopyroxene with large grain sizes, the source of diogenites. On the basis of the sizes of V-type asteroids (thought to be pieces of Vesta's crust ejected during large impacts), and the depth of Rheasilvia crater (see below), the crust is thought to be roughly 10 kilometres (6 mi) thick.[102] Findings from the Dawn spacecraft have found evidence that the troughs that wrap around Vesta could be graben formed by impact-induced faulting (see Troughs section above), meaning that Vesta has more complex geology than other asteroids. The impacts that created the Rheasilvia and Veneneia craters occurred when Vesta was no longer warm and plastic enough to return to an equilibrium shape, distorting its once rounded shape and prohibiting it from being classified as a dwarf planet today.[citation needed] Vesta's surface is covered by regolith distinct from that found on the Moon or asteroids such as Itokawa. This is because space weathering acts differently. Vesta's surface shows no significant trace of nanophase iron because the impact speeds on Vesta are too low to make rock melting and vaporization an appreciable process. Instead, regolith evolution is dominated by brecciation and subsequent mixing of bright and dark components.[103] The dark component is probably due to the infall of carbonaceous material, whereas the bright component is the original Vesta basaltic soil.[104] Some small Solar System bodies are suspected to be fragments of Vesta caused by impacts. The Vestian asteroids and HED meteorites are examples. The V-type asteroid 1929 Kollaa has been determined to have a composition akin to cumulate eucrite meteorites, indicating its origin deep within Vesta's crust.[28] Vesta is currently one of only eight identified Solar System bodies of which we have physical samples, coming from a number of meteorites suspected to be Vestan fragments. It is estimated that 1 out of 16 meteorites originated from Vesta.[105] The other identified Solar System samples are from Earth itself, meteorites from Mars, meteorites from the Moon, and samples returned from the Moon, the comet Wild 2, and the asteroids 25143 Itokawa, 162173 Ryugu, and 101955 Bennu.[29][k] Animation of Dawn's trajectory from 27 September 2007 to 5 October 2018 Dawn · Earth · Mars · 4 Vesta · 1 Ceres First image of asteroids (Ceres and Vesta) taken from Mars. The image was made by the Curiosity rover on 20 April 2014. Animation of Dawn's trajectory around 4 Vesta from 15 July 2011 to 10 September 2012 Dawn · 4 Vesta In 1981, a proposal for an asteroid mission was submitted to the European Space Agency (ESA). Named the Asteroidal Gravity Optical and Radar Analysis (AGORA), this spacecraft was to launch some time in 1990–1994 and perform two flybys of large asteroids. The preferred target for this mission was Vesta. AGORA would reach the asteroid belt either by a gravitational slingshot trajectory past Mars or by means of a small ion engine. However, the proposal was refused by the ESA. A joint NASA–ESA asteroid mission was then drawn up for a Multiple Asteroid Orbiter with Solar Electric Propulsion (MAOSEP), with one of the mission profiles including an orbit of Vesta. NASA indicated they were not interested in an asteroid mission. Instead, the ESA set up a technological study of a spacecraft with an ion drive. Other missions to the asteroid belt were proposed in the 1980s by France, Germany, Italy and the United States, but none were approved.[106] Exploration of Vesta by fly-by and impacting penetrator was the second main target of the first plan of the multi-aimed Soviet Vesta mission, developed in cooperation with European countries for realisation in 1991–1994 but canceled due to the dissolution of the Soviet Union. Artist's conception of Dawn orbiting Vesta In the early 1990s, NASA initiated the Discovery Program, which was intended to be a series of low-cost scientific missions. In 1996, the program's study team recommended a mission to explore the asteroid belt using a spacecraft with an ion engine as a high priority. Funding for this program remained problematic for several years, but by 2004 the Dawn vehicle had passed its critical design review[107] and construction proceeded.[citation needed] It launched on 27 September 2007 as the first space mission to Vesta. On 3 May 2011, Dawn acquired its first targeting image 1.2 million kilometres (0.75×10^6 mi) from Vesta.[108] On 16 July 2011, NASA confirmed that it received telemetry from Dawn indicating that the spacecraft successfully entered Vesta's orbit.[109] It was scheduled to orbit Vesta for one year, until July 2012.[110] Dawn's arrival coincided with late summer in the southern hemisphere of Vesta, with the large crater at Vesta's south pole (Rheasilvia) in sunlight. Because a season on Vesta lasts eleven months, the northern hemisphere, including anticipated compression fractures opposite the crater, would become visible to Dawn's cameras before it left orbit.[111] Dawn left orbit around Vesta on 4 September 2012 11:26 p.m. PDT to travel to Ceres.[112] NASA/DLR released imagery and summary information from a survey orbit, two high-altitude orbits (60–70 m/pixel) and a low-altitude mapping orbit (20 m/pixel), including digital terrain models, videos and atlases.[113][114][115][116][117][118] Scientists also used Dawn to calculate Vesta's precise mass and gravity field. The subsequent determination of the J2 component yielded a core diameter estimate of about 220 km (140 mi) assuming a crustal density similar to that of the HED.[113] Dawn data can be accessed by the public at the UCLA website.[119] Albedo and spectral maps of 4 Vesta, as determined from Hubble Space Telescope images from November 1994 Elevation map of 4 Vesta, as determined from Hubble Space Telescope images of May 1996 Elevation diagram of 4 Vesta (as determined from Hubble Space Telescope images of May 1996) viewed from the south-east, showing Rheasilvia crater at the south pole and Feralia Planitia near the equator Vesta seen by the Hubble Space Telescope in May 2007 The 2006 IAU draft proposal on the definition of a planet listed Vesta as a candidate.[120] Vesta is shown fourth from the left along the bottom row. Vesta comes into view as the Dawn spacecraft approaches and enters orbit: Vesta from 100,000 km(1 July 2011) Vesta from 41,000 km(9 July 2011) In orbit at 16,000 km(17 July 2011) In orbit from 10,500 km(18 July 2011) The northern hemisphere from 5,200 km(23 July 2011) In orbit from 5,200 km(24 July 2011) In orbit from 3,700 km(31 July 2011) Full rotation(1 August 2011) Composite greyscale image Cratered terrain with hills and ridges(6 August 2011) Densely cratered terrain near terminator(6 August 2011) Vestan craters in various states of degradation, with troughs at bottom(6 August 2011) Hill shaded central mound at the south pole of Vesta(2 February 2015) Detailed images retrieved during the high-altitude (60–70 m/pixel) and low-altitude (~20 m/pixel) mapping orbits are available on the Dawn Mission website of JPL/NASA.[121] Annotated image from Earth's surface in June 2007 with (4) Vesta Its size and unusually bright surface make Vesta the brightest asteroid, and it is occasionally visible to the naked eye from dark skies (without light pollution). In May and June 2007, Vesta reached a peak magnitude of +5.4, the brightest since 1989.[122] At that time, opposition and perihelion were only a few weeks apart.[123] It was brighter still at its 22 June 2018 opposition, reaching a magnitude of +5.3.[124] Less favorable oppositions during late autumn 2008 in the Northern Hemisphere still had Vesta at a magnitude of from +6.5 to +7.3.[125] Even when in conjunction with the Sun, Vesta will have a magnitude around +8.5; thus from a pollution-free sky it can be observed with binoculars even at elongations much smaller than near opposition.[125] In 2010, Vesta reached opposition in the constellation of Leo on the night of 17–18 February, at about magnitude 6.1,[126] a brightness that makes it visible in binocular range but generally not for the naked eye. Under perfect dark sky conditions where all light pollution is absent it might be visible to an experienced observer without the use of a telescope or binoculars. Vesta came to opposition again on 5 August 2011, in the constellation of Capricornus at about magnitude 5.6.[126][127] Vesta was at opposition again on 9 December 2012.[128] According to Sky and Telescope magazine, this year Vesta came within about 6 degrees of 1 Ceres during the winter of 2012 and spring 2013.[129] Vesta orbits the Sun in 3.63 years and Ceres in 4.6 years, so every 17.4 years Vesta overtakes Ceres (the previous overtaking was in April 1996).[129] On 1 December 2012, Vesta had a magnitude of 6.6, but it had decreased to 8.4 by 1 May 2013.[129] Conjunction of Ceres and Vesta near the star Gamma Virginis on 5 July 2014 in the Constellation of Virgo. Ceres and Vesta came within one degree of each other in the night sky in July 2014.[129] 3103 Eger 3551 Verenia 3908 Nyx 4055 Magellan Asteroids in fiction Diogenite Eucrite List of former planets Howardite Vesta family (vestoids) List of tallest mountains in the Solar System ^ Marc Rayman of the JPL Dawn team used "Vestian" (analogous to the Greek cognate Hestian) a few times in 2010 and early 2011 in his Dawn Journal, and the Planetary Society continued to use that form for a few more years.[2] The word had been used elsewhere, e.g. in Tsiolkovsky (1960) The call of the cosmos. However, otherwise the shorter form "Vestan" has been used by JPL.[3] Most modern print sources also use "Vestan".[4][5]Note that the related word "Vestalian" refers to people or things associated with Vesta, such as the vestal virgins, not to Vesta herself. ^ Calculated using the known dimensions assuming an ellipsoid. ^ Calculated using (1) the known rotation period (5.342 h)[6] and (2) the equatorial radius Req (285 km)[10] of the best-fit biaxial ellipsoid to Asteroid 4 Vesta. ^ a b topocentric coordinates computed for the selected location: Greenwich, United Kingdom[14] ^ On 10 February 2009, during Ceres perihelion, Ceres was closer to the Sun than Vesta, because Vesta has an aphelion distance greater than Ceres's perihelion distance. (10 February 2009: Vesta 2.56 AU; Ceres 2.54 AU) ^ 維斯塔 wéisītǎ is the closest Chinese approximation of the Latin pronunciation westa. ^ Some sources contemporaneous to Gauss invented more elaborate forms, such as and .[43][44] A simplification of the latter from c. 1930, ,[45] never caught on. ^ This symbol can be seen in the top of the most elaborate of the earlier forms, . It dates from 1973, at the beginning of astrological interest in asteroids.[46] ^ The data returned will include, for both asteroids, full surface imagery, full surface spectrometric mapping, elemental abundances, topographic profiles, gravity fields, and mapping of remnant magnetism, if any.[53] ^ that is, blue in the north does not mean the same thing as blue in the south. ^ Note that 6 Hebe may be the parent body for H chondrites, one of the most common meteorite types. ^ "Vesta". Dictionary.com Unabridged (Online). n.d. ^ "Search Results". Planetary Society. Archived from the original on 27 July 2020. Retrieved 31 August 2012. ^ "Search – Dawn Mission". JPL. Archived from the original on 5 March 2016. ^ Meteoritics & planetary science, Volume 42, Issues 6–8, 2007; Origin and evolution of Earth, National Research Council et al., 2008 ^ E.g in Meteoritics & planetary science (volume 42, issues 6–8, 2007) and Origin and evolution of Earth (National Research Council et al., 2008). ^ a b c d e f g h "JPL Small-Body Database Browser: 4 Vesta". Archived from the original on 26 September 2021. Retrieved 1 June 2008. ^ Souami, D.; Souchay, J. (July 2012). "The solar system's invariable plane". Astronomy & Astrophysics. 543: 11. Bibcode:2012A&A...543A.133S. doi:10.1051/0004-6361/201219011. A133. ^ "Horizons Batch for 4 Vesta on 2021-Dec-26" (Perihelion occurs when rdot flips from negative to positive). JPL Horizons. Retrieved 26 September 2021. (Epoch 2021-Jul-01/Soln.date: 2021-Apr-13) ^ "AstDyS-2 Vesta Synthetic Proper Orbital Elements". Department of Mathematics, University of Pisa, Italy. Retrieved 1 October 2011. ^ a b c d e f g h i j Russell, C. T.; et al. (2012). "Dawn at Vesta: Testing the Protoplanetary Paradigm" (PDF). Science. 336 (6082): 684–686. Bibcode:2012Sci...336..684R. doi:10.1126/science.1219381. PMID 22582253. S2CID 206540168. ^ "surface ellipsoid 286.3x278.6x223.2". Wolfram-Alpha: Computational Knowledge Engine. ^ Konopliv, A. S.; et al. (2014). "The Vesta gravity field, spin pole and rotation period, landmark positions, and ephemeris from the Dawn tracking and optical data". Icarus. 240: 118–132. Bibcode:2014Icar..240..103K. doi:10.1016/j.icarus.2013.09.005. PDF copy ^ Harris, A. W. (2006). Warner, B. D.; Pravec, P. (eds.). "Asteroid Lightcurve Derived Data. EAR-A-5-DDR-DERIVED-LIGHTCURVE-V8.0". NASA Planetary Data System. Archived from the original on 9 April 2009. Retrieved 26 December 2013. ^ "Asteroid 4 Vesta". TheSkyLive. Retrieved 13 December 2022. ^ a b Tedesco, E. F.; Noah, P. V.; Noah, M.; Price, S. D. (2004). "Infra-Red Astronomy Satellite (IRAS) Minor Planet Survey. IRAS-A-FPA-3-RDR-IMPS-V6.0". NASA Planetary Data System. Archived from the original on 9 April 2009. Retrieved 15 March 2007. ^ a b Mueller, T. G.; Metcalfe, L. (2001). "ISO and Asteroids" (PDF). ESA Bulletin. 108: 38. Bibcode:2001ESABu.108...39M. Archived (PDF) from the original on 11 September 2005. ^ Neese, C.; Ed. (2005). "Asteroid Taxonomy EAR-A-5-DDR-TAXONOMY-V5.0". NASA Planetary Data System. Archived from the original on 10 March 2007. Retrieved 25 December 2013. ^ a b Menzel, Donald H. & Pasachoff, Jay M. (1983). A Field Guide to the Stars and Planets (2nd ed.). Boston, MA: Houghton Mifflin. p. 391. ISBN 978-0-395-34835-2. ^ "In Depth - 4 Vesta". NASA Solar System Exploration. 10 November 2017. Retrieved 13 December 2022. ^ "Dawn Mission Overview". NASA. Archived from the original on 16 October 2011. Retrieved 14 August 2011. ^ Lang, Kenneth (2011). The Cambridge Guide to the Solar System. Cambridge University Press. pp. 372, 442. ISBN 9780521198578. ^ Russell, C. T.; et al. (2011). "Exploring the smallest terrestrial planet: Dawn at Vesta" (PDF). EPSC Abstracts. 2011 EPSC-DPS Joint Meeting. Vol. 6. EPSC-DPS2011-97-3. Archived (PDF) from the original on 20 March 2012. ^ a b Pitjeva, E. V. (2005). "High-Precision Ephemerides of Planets—EPM and Determination of Some Astronomical Constants" (PDF). Solar System Research. 39 (3): 176–186. Bibcode:2005SoSyR..39..176P. doi:10.1007/s11208-005-0033-2. S2CID 120467483. Archived from the original (PDF) on 31 October 2008. ^ Amos, Jonathan (11 May 2012). "Asteroid Vesta is 'last of a kind' rock". BBC News. ^ Jutzi, M.; E. Asphaug; P. Gillet; J.-A. Barrat; W. Benz (14 February 2013). "The structure of the asteroid 4 Vesta as revealed by models of planet-scale". Nature. 494 (7436): 207–210. Bibcode:2013Natur.494..207J. doi:10.1038/nature11892. PMID 23407535. S2CID 4410838. ^ Cook, Jia-Rui. "Dawn Reality-Checks Telescope Studies of Asteroids". Archived from the original on 2 May 2014. Retrieved 30 April 2014. ^ a b c McSween, H. Y.; R. P. Binzel; M. C. De Sanctis; et al. (27 November 2013). "Dawn; the Vesta-HED connection; and the geologic context for eucrite, diogenites, and howardites". Meteoritics & Planetary Science. 48 (11): 2090–21–4. Bibcode:2013M&PS...48.2090M. doi:10.1111/maps.12108. S2CID 131100157. ^ a b Kelley, M. S.; et al. (2003). "Quantified mineralogical evidence for a common origin of 1929 Kollaa with 4 Vesta and the HED meteorites". Icarus. 165 (1): 215–218. Bibcode:2003Icar..165..215K. doi:10.1016/S0019-1035(03)00149-0. ^ a b "Vesta". NASA/JPL. 12 July 2011. Archived from the original on 29 June 2011. Retrieved 30 July 2011. ^ "Ceres, Pallas, Vesta, and Hygiea". Gravity Simulator. Archived from the original on 17 June 2008. Retrieved 31 May 2008. ^ "Mission > Mission Status – Dawn Mission". JPL. Archived from the original on 11 May 2013. Retrieved 6 September 2012. ^ a b Ammannito, E.; M. C. De Sanctis; E. Palomba; et al. (2013). "Olivine in an unexpected location on Vesta's surface". Nature. 504 (7478): 122–125. Bibcode:2013Natur.504..122A. doi:10.1038/nature12665. PMID 24196707. S2CID 4464889. ^ Cook, Jia-Rui. "It's Complicated: Dawn Spurs Rewrite of Vesta's Story". Archived from the original on 2 May 2014. Retrieved 30 April 2014. ^ Littmann, Mark (2004). Planets Beyond: Discovering the Outer Solar System. Dover Books on Astronomy. Courier Dover Publications. p. 21. ISBN 978-0-486-43602-9. ^ Lynn, W. T. (February 1907). "The discovery of Vesta". The Observatory. 30: 103–105. Bibcode:1907Obs....30..103L. ^ Dunnington, Guy Waldo; Gray, Jeremy; Dohse, Fritz-Egbert (2004). Carl Friedrich Gauss: Titan of Science. The Mathematical Association of America. p. 76. ISBN 978-0-88385-547-8. ^ Rao, K. S.; Berghe, G. V. (2003). "Gauss, Ramanujan and Hypergeometric Series Revisited". Historia Scientiarum. 13 (2): 123–133. ^ Schmadel, Lutz D. (2003). Dictionary of Minor Planet Names: Prepared on Behalf of Commission 20 Under the Auspices of the International Astronomical Union. Springer. p. 15. ISBN 978-3-540-00238-3. ^ von Zach, Franz Xaver (1807). Monatliche correspondenz zur beförderung der erd- und himmels-kunde. Vol. 15. p. 507. ^ Carlini, Francesco (1808). Effemeridi astronomiche di Milano per l'anno 1809. ^ a b Bala, Gavin Jared; Miller, Kirk (18 September 2023). Unicode request for historical asteroid symbols (PDF) (Report). Unicode Consortium. Retrieved 26 September 2023 – via unicode.org. ^ "Proposed new characters". unicode.org. The Pipeline. Unicode Consortium. Retrieved 6 November 2023. ^ Bureau des longitudes (1807). Annuaire pour l'an 1808. p. 5. ^ Canovai, Stanislao; del-Ricco, Gaetano (1810). Elementi di fisica matematica. p. 149. ^ Koch, Rudolf (1955) [1930]. The Book of Signs (reprint ed.). Dover. ^ Bach, Eleanor (1973). Ephemerides of the asteroids: Ceres, Pallas, Juno, Vesta, 1900–2000. Celestial Communications. Bibcode:1973eacp.book.....B. ^ Wells, David A. (1851). Bliss, George Jr. (ed.). "The Planet Hygiea". Annual of Scientific Discovery for the year 1850, quoted by spaceweather.com archives, 2006-09-13. Retrieved 1 June 2008. ^ Hilton, James L. When Did the Asteroids Become Minor Planets? (Report). Archived from the original on 24 March 2008 – via U.S. Naval Observatory website, particularly the discussion of Gould, B. A. (1852). "On the Symbolic Notation of the Asteroids". Astronomical Journal. 2: 80. Bibcode:1852AJ......2...80G. doi:10.1086/100212. and immediate subsequent history. Also, the discussion of C. J. Cunningham (1988) Introduction to Asteroids explains the parenthetical part. ^ "New SPHERE view of Vesta". www.eso.org. Retrieved 25 June 2018. ^ McFadden, L. A.; Emerson, G.; Warner, E. M.; Onukwubiti, U.; Li, J.-Y. "Photometry of 4 Vesta from its 2007 Apparition". Proceedings, 39th Lunar and Planetary Science Conference. League City, Texas. Bibcode:2008LPI....39.2546M. 10–14 March 2008 ^ Hughes, D. W. (September 1994). "The Historical Unravelling of the Diameters of the First Four Asteroids". Quarterly Journal of the Royal Astronomical Society. 35 (3): 331. Bibcode:1994QJRAS..35..331H. ^ Povenmire, H. (September 2001). "The January 4, 1991 Occultation of SAO 93228 by Asteroid (4) Vesta". Meteoritics & Planetary Science. 36 (Supplement): A165. Bibcode:2001M&PSA..36Q.165P. doi:10.1111/j.1945-5100.2001.tb01534.x. ^ a b "Dawn-NASA-NSSDCA-Spacecraft-Details". Archived from the original on 23 May 2022. Retrieved 16 December 2022. ^ Hertz, Hans G. (19 April 1968). "Mass of Vesta". Science. 160 (3825): 299–300. Bibcode:1968Sci...160..299H. doi:10.1126/science.160.3825.299. PMID 17788233. S2CID 2555834. ^ Kovačević, A. (January 2005). "Determination of the mass of (4) Vesta based on new close approaches". Astronomy and Astrophysics. 430 (1): 319–325. Bibcode:2005A&A...430..319K. doi:10.1051/0004-6361:20035872. ^ Christou, A. A. (2000). "Co-orbital objects in the main asteroid belt". Astronomy and Astrophysics. 356: L71 – L74. Bibcode:2000A&A...356L..71C. ^ a b Christou, A. A.; Wiegert, P. (January 2012). "A population of Main Belt Asteroids co-orbiting with Ceres and Vesta". Icarus. 217 (1): 27–42. arXiv:1110.4810. Bibcode:2012Icar..217...27C. doi:10.1016/j.icarus.2011.10.016. ISSN 0019-1035. S2CID 59474402. ^ a b c Thomas, P. C.; et al. (1997). "Vesta: Spin Pole, Size, and Shape from HST Images". Icarus. 128 (1): 88–94. Bibcode:1997Icar..128...88T. doi:10.1006/icar.1997.5736. ^ a b Hand, Eric (2012). "Space missions trigger map wars". Nature. 488 (7412): 442–443. Bibcode:2012Natur.488..442H. doi:10.1038/488442a. PMID 22914145. ^ "IAU WGCCRE Coordinate System for Vesta | USGS Astrogeology Science Center". Astrogeology.usgs.gov. 15 November 2013. Retrieved 25 June 2014. ^ Li, Jian-Yang; Mafi, Joseph N. "Body-Fixed Coordinate Systems for Asteroid (4) Vesta" (PDF). Planetary Data System. Archived (PDF) from the original on 6 November 2015. ^ Baer, James; Chesley, Steven R. (2008). "Astrometric masses of 21 asteroids, and an integrated asteroid ephemeris". Celestial Mechanics and Dynamical Astronomy. 100 (1): 27–42. Bibcode:2008CeMDA.100...27B. doi:10.1007/s10569-007-9103-8. ^ a b Park, R. S.; Ermakov, A. I.; Konopliv, A. S.; Vaughan, A. T.; Rambaux, N.; Bills, B. G.; Castillo-Rogez, J. C.; Fu, R. R.; Jacobson, S. A.; Stewart, S. T.; Toplis, M. J. (23 April 2025). "A small core in Vesta inferred from Dawn's observations". Nature Astronomy: 1–11. doi:10.1038/s41550-025-02533-7. ISSN 2397-3366. ^ Carry, B.; et al. (2009). "Physical properties of (2) Pallas". Icarus. 205 (2): 460–472. arXiv:0912.3626v1. Bibcode:2010Icar..205..460C. doi:10.1016/j.icarus.2009.08.007. S2CID 119194526. ^ "The IAU draft definition of "planet" and "plutons"". IAU. August 2006. Archived from the original on 9 January 2010. Retrieved 16 December 2009. (XXVI) ^ Fu, R. R.; Hager, B. H.; Ermakov, A.I.; Zuber, M.T. (2013). "Early Viscous Relaxation of Asteroid Vesta and Implications for Late Impact-Driven Despinning" (PDF). 44th Lunar and Planetary Science Conference (1719): 2115. Bibcode:2013LPI....44.2115F. Archived (PDF) from the original on 26 July 2014. ^ Asmar, S. W.; Konopliv, A. S.; Park, R. S.; et al. (2012). "The Gravity Field of Vesta and Implications for Interior Structure" (PDF). 43rd Lunar and Planetary Science Conference (1659): 2600. Bibcode:2012LPI....43.2600A. Archived (PDF) from the original on 20 October 2013. ^ Zellner, N. E. B.; Gibbard, S.; de Pater, I.; et al. (2005). "Near-IR imaging of Asteroid 4 Vesta" (PDF). Icarus. 177 (1): 190–195. Bibcode:2005Icar..177..190Z. doi:10.1016/j.icarus.2005.03.024. Archived from the original (PDF) on 23 November 2008. ^ a b c Jaumann, R.; et al. (2012). "Vesta's Shape and Morphology". Science. 336 (6082): 687–690. Bibcode:2012Sci...336..687J. doi:10.1126/science.1219122. PMID 22582254. S2CID 206540010. ^ a b c d Williams, D. A.; Yingst, R. A.; Garry, W. B. (December 2014). "Introduction: The geologic mapping of Vesta". Icarus. 244: 1–12. Bibcode:2014Icar..244....1W. doi:10.1016/j.icarus.2014.03.001. hdl:2286/R.I.28071. —Williams, D. A.; et al. (December 2014). "The geology of the Marcia quadrangle of asteroid Vesta: Assessing the effects of large, young craters". Icarus. 244: 74–88. Bibcode:2014Icar..244...74W. doi:10.1016/j.icarus.2014.01.033. hdl:2286/R.I.28061. S2CID 5505009. ^ a b Scully, J. E. C.; Yin, A.; Russell, C. T.; et al. (December 2014). "Geomorphology and structural geology of Saturnalia Fossae and adjacent structures in the northern hemisphere of Vesta". Icarus. 244: 23–40. Bibcode:2014Icar..244...23S. doi:10.1016/j.icarus.2014.01.013. hdl:2286/R.I.28070. Archived (PDF) from the original on 21 July 2018.—Scully, J. E. C.; et al. (2014). "Sub-curvilinear gullies interpreted as evidence for transient water flow on Vesta" (PDF). 45th Lunar and Planetary Science Conference (1777): 1796. Bibcode:2014LPI....45.1796S. ^ Schäfer, M.; Nathues, A.; Williams, D. A.; et al. (December 2014). "Imprint of the Rheasilvia impact on Vesta – Geologic mapping of quadrangles Gegania and Lucaria" (PDF). Icarus. 244: 60–73. Bibcode:2014Icar..244...60S. doi:10.1016/j.icarus.2014.06.026. hdl:2286/R.I.28060. Archived from the original (PDF) on 28 April 2019. Retrieved 24 September 2019. ^ Kneissl, T.; Schmedemann, N.; Reddy, V.; et al. (December 2014). "Morphology and formation ages of mid-sized post-Rheasilvia craters – Geology of quadrangle Tuccia, Vesta". Icarus. 244: 133–157. Bibcode:2014Icar..244..133K. doi:10.1016/j.icarus.2014.02.012. hdl:2286/R.I.28058. ^ a b c d e Schenk, P.; et al. (2012). "The Geologically Recent Giant Impact Basins at Vesta's South Pole". Science. 336 (6082): 694–697. Bibcode:2012Sci...336..694S. doi:10.1126/science.1223272. PMID 22582256. S2CID 206541950. ^ "Rheasilvia – Super Mysterious South Pole Basin at Vesta is Named after Romulus and Remus Roman Mother". Universe Today. 21 September 2011. Retrieved 23 September 2011. ^ Binzel, R. P.; et al. (1997). "Geologic Mapping of Vesta from 1994 Hubble Space Telescope Images". Icarus. 128 (1): 95–103. Bibcode:1997Icar..128...95B. doi:10.1006/icar.1997.5734. ^ a b c Ivanov, B. A.; Melosh, H. J. (2013). "Rheasilvia impact basin on Vesta: Constraints on formation models from the central peak topography". Journal of Geophysical Research: Planets. 118 (7): 1545–1555. Bibcode:2013JGRE..118.1545I. doi:10.1002/jgre.20108. ^ Bowling, T. J.; Richard, G.; Melosh, H. J. (2013). "Numerical simulations of the Rheasilvia impact basin on Vesta". Journal of Geophysical Research: Planets. 118 (8): 1622–1639. Bibcode:2013JGRE..118.1622B. doi:10.1002/jgre.20113. ^ a b Marchi, S.; McSween, H. Y.; O'Brien, D. P. (2012). "The Violent Collisional History of Vesta". Science. 336 (6082): 690–694. Bibcode:2012Sci...336..690M. doi:10.1126/science.1218405. ^ a b De Sanctis, M. C.; Combe, J.-P.; Ammannito, E. (2012). "Spectroscopic Characterization of Mineralogy and Its Diversity on Vesta". Science. 336 (6082): 697–700. Bibcode:2012Sci...336..697D. doi:10.1126/science.1219270. ^ a b Clénet, H.; Jutzi, M.; Barrat, J.-A. (2014). "Constraints on Vesta's crustal structure and evolution from VIR/Dawn data: Olivine detection and analysis". Icarus. 244: 146–157. Bibcode:2014Icar..244..146C. doi:10.1016/j.icarus.2014.04.010. ^ a b Buczkowski, D.L.; Raymond, C.A.; Williams, D.A.; et al. (2012). "Large-scale troughs on Vesta: A signature of planetary tectonics". Geophysical Research Letters. 39 (18): L18205. Bibcode:2012GeoRL..3918205B. doi:10.1029/2012GL052959. ^ Garry, W.B.; Sykes, M.; Buczkowski, D.L.; et al. (March 2012). Geologic Mapping of Av-10 Oppia Quadrangle of Asteroid 4 Vesta (PDF). 43rd Lunar and Planetary Science Conference, held 19–23 March 2012 at The Woodlands, Texas. id.2315. Bibcode:2012LPI....43.2315G. LPI Contribution No. 1659. Archived (PDF) from the original on 24 April 2014. —Garry, W.B.; Sykes, M.; Buczkowski, D.L.; et al. (April 2012). "Geologic Mapping of Av-10 Oppia Quadrangle of Asteroid 4 Vesta" (PDF). Geophysical Research Abstracts. EGU General Assembly 2012, held 22–27 April 2012 in Vienna, Austria. Vol. 14. p. 5711. Bibcode:2012EGUGA..14.5711G. EGU2012-5711-1. Archived (PDF) from the original on 8 April 2014. ^ "Nomenclature Search Results / Target: VESTA / Feature Type: Crater, craters". Gazetteer of Planetary Nomenclature. IAU. ^ Beatty, J. Kelly (25 June 2004). "Eros's puzzling surface". Sky and Telescope. Retrieved 18 October 2023 – via skyandtelescope.org. To geologists' surprise, the asteroid Eros has more than 250 'ponds' thought to contain compacted deposits of finely ground dust. ^ Parekh, R.; Otto, K.A.; Matz, K.D.; Jaumann, R.; Krohn, K.; Roatsch, T.; et al. (28 February 2022) [1 November 2021]. "Formation of ejecta and dust pond deposits on asteroid Vesta". Journal of Geophysical Research: Planets. 126 (11): e2021JE006873. doi:10.1029/2021JE006873. ^ Hirata, N. (2023). "Secondary Cratering From Rheasilvia as the Possible Origin of Vesta's Equatorial Troughs". Journal of Geophysical Research: Planets. 128 (3). arXiv:2303.14955. Bibcode:2023JGRE..12807473H. doi:10.1029/2022JE007473. hdl:20.500.14094/0100482053. Retrieved 4 March 2024. ^ De Sanctis, M. C.; et al. (2012). "Spectroscopic Characterization of Mineralogy and Its Diversity Across Vesta". Science. 336 (6082): 697–700. Bibcode:2012Sci...336..697D. doi:10.1126/science.1219270. PMID 22582257. S2CID 11645621. ^ Prettyman, T. H.; et al. (2012). "Elemental Mapping by Dawn Reveals Exogenic H in Vesta's Regolith". Science. 338 (6104): 242–246. Bibcode:2012Sci...338..242P. doi:10.1126/science.1225354. PMID 22997135. S2CID 206542798. ^ a b Reddy, V.; et al. (2012). "Color and Albedo Heterogeneity of Vesta from Dawn". Science. 336 (6082): 700–704. Bibcode:2012Sci...336..700R. doi:10.1126/science.1219088. PMID 22582258. S2CID 1326996. ^ Palomba, E.; Longobardo, A.; De Sanctis, M. C.; et al. (September 2015). "Detection of new olivine-rich locations on Vesta". Icarus. 258: 120–134. Bibcode:2015Icar..258..120P. doi:10.1016/j.icarus.2015.06.011. ^ Denevi, B. W.; et al. (2012). "Pitted Terrain on Vesta and Implications for the Presence of Volatiles". Science. 338 (6104): 246–249. Bibcode:2012Sci...338..246D. CiteSeerX 10.1.1.656.1476. doi:10.1126/science.1225374. PMID 22997131. S2CID 22892716. ^ De Sanctis, M. C.; et al. (2012). "Detection of Widespread Hydrated Materials on Vesta by the vir Imaging Spectrometer on Board Thedawnmission". The Astrophysical Journal Letters. 758 (2): L36. Bibcode:2012ApJ...758L..36D. doi:10.1088/2041-8205/758/2/L36. ^ "A look into Vesta's interior". Max-Planck-Gesellschaft. 6 January 2011. ^ Ghosh, A.; McSween, H. Y. (1998). "A Thermal Model for the Differentiation of Asteroid 4 Vesta, Based on Radiogenic Heating". Icarus. 134 (2): 187–206. Bibcode:1998Icar..134..187G. doi:10.1006/icar.1998.5956. ^ Righter, K.; Drake, M. J. (1997). "A magma ocean on Vesta: Core formation and petrogenesis of eucrites and diogenites". Meteoritics & Planetary Science. 32 (6): 929–944. Bibcode:1997M&PS...32..929R. doi:10.1111/j.1945-5100.1997.tb01582.x. S2CID 128684062. ^ Drake, M. J. (2001). "The eucrite/Vesta story". Meteoritics & Planetary Science. 36 (4): 501–513. Bibcode:2001M&PS...36..501D. doi:10.1111/j.1945-5100.2001.tb01892.x. S2CID 128394153. ^ Sahijpal, S.; Soni, P.; Gagan, G. (2007). "Numerical simulations of the differentiation of accreting planetesimals with 26Al and 60Fe as the heat sources". Meteoritics & Planetary Science. 42 (9): 1529–1548. Bibcode:2007M&PS...42.1529S. doi:10.1111/j.1945-5100.2007.tb00589.x. S2CID 55463404. ^ Gupta, G.; Sahijpal, S. (2010). "Differentiation of Vesta and the parent bodies of other achondrites". J. Geophys. Res. Planets. 115 (E8): E08001. Bibcode:2010JGRE..115.8001G. doi:10.1029/2009JE003525. S2CID 129905814. ^ Cook, Jia-Rui C. (29 March 2011). "When Is an Asteroid Not an Asteroid?". NASA/JPL. Archived from the original on 29 June 2011. Retrieved 30 July 2011. ^ Takeda, H. (1997). "Mineralogical records of early planetary processes on the HED parent body with reference to Vesta". Meteoritics & Planetary Science. 32 (6): 841–853. Bibcode:1997M&PS...32..841T. doi:10.1111/j.1945-5100.1997.tb01574.x. ^ Yamaguchi, A.; Taylor, G. J.; Keil, K. (1995). "Metamorphic History of the Eucritic Crust of 4 Vesta". Meteoritical Society. 30 (5): 603. Bibcode:1995Metic..30..603Y. ^ Pieters, C. M.; Ammannito, E.; Blewett, D. T.; et al. (2012). "Distinctive space weathering on Vesta from regolith mixing processes". Nature. 491 (7422): 79–82. Bibcode:2012Natur.491...79P. doi:10.1038/nature11534. PMID 23128227. S2CID 4407636. ^ McCord, T. B.; Li, J. -Y.; Combe, J. -P.; et al. (2012). "Dark material on Vesta from the infall of carbonaceous volatile-rich material". Nature. 491 (7422): 83–86. Bibcode:2012Natur.491...83M. doi:10.1038/nature11561. PMID 23128228. S2CID 2058249. ^ Rayman, Marc (8 April 2015). Now Appearing At a Dwarf Planet Near You: NASA's Dawn Mission to the Asteroid Belt (Speech). Silicon Valley Astronomy Lectures. Foothill College, Los Altos, CA. Archived from the original on 14 November 2021. Retrieved 7 July 2018. ^ Ulivi, Paolo; Harland, David (2008). Robotic Exploration of the Solar System: Hiatus and Renewal, 1983–1996. Springer Praxis Books in Space Exploration. Springer. pp. 117–125. ISBN 978-0-387-78904-0. ^ Russell, C. T.; Capaccioni, F.; Coradini, A.; et al. (October 2007). "Dawn Mission to Vesta and Ceres" (PDF). Earth, Moon, and Planets. 101 (1–2): 65–91. Bibcode:2007EM&P..101...65R. doi:10.1007/s11038-007-9151-9. S2CID 46423305. Archived (PDF) from the original on 27 September 2011. Retrieved 13 June 2011. ^ Cook, Jia-Rui C.; Brown, Dwayne C. (11 May 2011). "NASA's Dawn Captures First Image of Nearing Asteroid". NASA/JPL. Archived from the original on 29 January 2012. Retrieved 14 May 2011. ^ Vega, Priscilla; Brown, Dwayne (16 July 2011). "NASA's Dawn Spacecraft Enters Orbit Around Asteroid Vesta". NASA. Archived from the original on 19 June 2012. Retrieved 17 July 2011. ^ Dawn mission timeline Archived 19 October 2013 at the Wayback Machine ^ Mid-continent Research for Education and Learning: McREL (27 September 2010). "Dawn Mission: Mission". Dawn Journal. Retrieved 29 March 2011. ^ "Dawn has Departed the Giant Asteroid Vesta". NASA JPL. NASA. 5 September 2012. Retrieved 5 September 2012. ^ a b Russell, C. T.; et al. (2013). "Dawn completes its mission at 4 Vesta". Meteoritics & Planetary Science. 48 (11): 2076–2089. Bibcode:2013M&PS...48.2076R. doi:10.1111/maps.12091. ^ Roatsch, Thomas; et al. (2012). "High resolution Vesta High Altitude Mapping Orbit (HAMO) Atlas derived from Dawn framing camera images". Planetary and Space Science. 73 (1): 283–286. Bibcode:2012P&SS...73..283R. doi:10.1016/j.pss.2012.08.021. ^ Roatsch, Thomas; et al. (2013). "High-resolution Vesta Low Altitude Mapping Orbit Atlas derived from Dawn Framing Camera images". Planetary and Space Science. 85: 293–298. Bibcode:2013P&SS...85..293R. doi:10.1016/j.pss.2013.06.024. ^ "NASA's Journey Above Vesta". DLR Institute of Planetary Research video with NASA JPL imagery. NASA. 16 September 2011. Archived from the original on 22 April 2021. Retrieved 18 September 2011. ^ "DLR public Dawn products site". Archived from the original on 16 October 2015. ^ "NASA Dawn". ^ "Dawn Public Data". Dawn [website]. Univ. California, Los Angeles. Retrieved 6 March 2015. ^ Gingerich, Owen (2006). "The Path to Defining Planets" (PDF). Dissertatio cum Nuncio Sidereo Ill. Tertia. Vol. VIII, no. 16. pp. 4–5. Archived (PDF) from the original on 15 March 2015. Retrieved 13 March 2007. ^ "Dawn mission website of JPL/NASA". 22 October 2018. ^ Bryant, Greg (2007). "Sky & Telescope: See Vesta at Its Brightest!". Retrieved 7 May 2007. ^ "Vesta Finder". Sky & Telescope. Archived from the original on 12 June 2007. Retrieved 7 May 2007. ^ Harrington, Philip S. (21 October 2010). Cosmic Challenge: The Ultimate Observing List for Amateurs. Cambridge University Press. p. 75. ISBN 9781139493680. ^ a b James, Andrew (2008). "Vesta". Southern Astronomical Delights. Retrieved 6 November 2008. ^ a b Yeomans, Donald K.; Chamberlin, Alan B. "Horizons Ephemeris". JPL Solar System Dynamics. Retrieved 9 January 2010. ^ "Elements and Ephemeris for (4) Vesta". Minor Planet Center. Archived from the original on 4 March 2016. ^ "2012 Astronomy Special". Nightskyonline.info. Archived from the original on 20 April 2012. Retrieved 23 November 2012. ^ a b c d T. Flanders – Ceres and Vesta: July 2012 – April 2013 – Sky & Telescope. The Dawn Mission to Minor Planets 4 Vesta and 1 Ceres, Christopher T. Russell and Carol A. Raymond (Editors), Springer (2011), ISBN 978-1-4614-4903-4 Keil, K.; Geological History of Asteroid 4 Vesta: The Smallest Terrestrial Planet in Asteroids III, William Bottke, Alberto Cellino, Paolo Paolicchi, and Richard P. Binzel (editors), University of Arizona Press (2002), ISBN 0-8165-2281-2 Wikimedia Commons has media related to Vesta (asteroid). This video explores Vesta's landscape, history and planet-like characteristics. Interactive 3D gravity simulation of the Dawn spacecraft in orbit around Vesta Archived 11 June 2020 at the Wayback Machine Vesta Trek – An integrated map browser of datasets and maps for 4 Vesta JPL Ephemeris Views of the Solar System: Vesta HubbleSite: Hubble Maps the Asteroid Vesta Encyclopædia Britannica, Vesta – full article HubbleSite: short movie composed from Hubble Space Telescope images from November 1994. Adaptive optics views of Vesta from Keck Observatory 4 Vesta images at ESA/Hubble Archived 22 January 2009 at the Wayback Machine Dawn at Vesta (NASA press kit on Dawn's operations at Vesta) NASA video Archived 22 April 2021 at the Wayback Machine Vesta atlas 4 Vesta at AstDyS-2, Asteroids—Dynamic Site Ephemeris · Observation prediction · Orbital info · Proper elements · Observational info 4 Vesta at the JPL Small-Body Database Close approach · Discovery · Ephemeris · Orbit viewer · Orbit parameters · Physical parameters Portals: Stars Spaceflight Outer space Science Retrieved from " 4 The following pages link to 4 Vesta External tools (link count transclusion count sorted list) · See help page for transcluding these entries Showing 50 items. View (previous 50 | next 50) (20 | 50 | 100 | 250 | 500)Asteroid (links | edit) Apparent magnitude (links | edit) Comet (links | edit) Classical Kuiper belt object (links | edit) Deep Space 1 (links | edit) Earth (links | edit) Erosion (links | edit) Geology (links | edit) Galilean moons (links | edit) Giant planet (links | edit) Galileo project (links | edit) Interplanetary spaceflight (links | edit) Kuiper belt (links | edit) Human spaceflight (links | edit) Moon (links | edit) Mercury (planet) (links | edit) Meteorite (links | edit) Near-Earth object (links | edit) Oort cloud (links | edit) Olympus Mons (links | edit) Planet (links | edit) Planets beyond Neptune (links | edit) Plutino (links | edit) Planetary nomenclature (links | edit) Ring system (links | edit) Plate tectonics (links | edit) Ruthenium (links | edit) Sun (links | edit) Solar System (links | edit) Space exploration (links | edit) Space colonization (links | edit) Trans-Neptunian object (links | edit) Jupiter trojan (links | edit) Tau Ceti (links | edit) Venus (links | edit) Zodiacal light (links | edit) 1800s (decade) (links | edit) 1807 (links | edit) 433 Eros (links | edit) NEAR Shoemaker (links | edit) Ion thruster (links | edit) Jupiter (links | edit) Geochemistry (links | edit) Callisto (moon) (links | edit) Europa (moon) (links | edit) List of hypothetical Solar System objects (links | edit) Basalt (links | edit) Vulcan (hypothetical planet) (links | edit) Triton (moon) (links | edit) Pluto (links | edit) View (previous 50 | next 50) (20 | 50 | 100 | 250 | 500) Retrieved from " WhatLinksHere/4_Vesta" When it comes to the Bible, not all versions are created equal. Two of the most widely read and studied versions are the Catholic Bible and the King James Version (KJV). Understanding the differences between these two can be crucial for anyone interested in biblical studies, theology, or simply gaining a deeper understanding of this ancient text. In this article, we will explore the origins, translation methodologies, and theological implications of each version. We'll also look at how each has impacted culture and society. By the end of this discussion, you'll have a clearer understanding of what sets these two versions apart and why it matters. What are the origins of the Catholic and King James Bibles? See below FeatureCatholic BiblesKing James BibleOriginOriginated from Latin VulgateOriginated from Hebrew and Greek textsCanonical BooksIncludes 73 books (Deuterocanonicals)Includes 66 booksLanguage StyleVaries by translation (NAB, NJB, etc.)Early Modern EnglishPrimary AudienceCatholicsProtestant ChristiansLiturgical UseUsed in Catholic Mass and ritualsUsed in Protestant servicesTranslation PhilosophyDynamic equivalence for some versionsFormal equivalencePopular TranslationsNew American Bible, Douay-Rheims, etc.King James Version onlyInfluence on Art and CultureExtensive, especially in Catholic circlesExtensive, especially in English-speaking countriesEducational UseCatechism, Catholic schoolsProtestant Sunday schools, seminariesIdiomatic InfluenceLimited to specific communitiesExtensive on the English languageGlobal ReachGlobal, especially in Catholic countriesGlobal, especially in Protestant countriesCommentary and FootnotesOften includes extensive footnotesUsually minimal or none By understanding the historical context in which these versions were created, we can better appreciate the nuances and intentions behind each translation. This knowledge is essential for anyone looking to study the Bible in depth or understand its impact on different Christian traditions. The Catholic Bible traces its roots back to the early Christian communities, who initially relied on oral traditions and existing Jewish scriptures. Over time, these texts were compiled, translated, and canonized by various councils, most notably the Council of Carthage in 397 AD. The Latin Vulgate, translated by St. Jerome, became the standard text for the Roman Catholic Church for centuries. The King James Version has a more recent history, originating in 17th-century England. Commissioned by King James I in 1604, this translation aimed to produce a unified text for the Church of England. A team of scholars worked on the translation, which was completed and published in 1611. The KJV quickly gained popularity and became one of the most widely used versions in the English-speaking world. St. Jerome played a pivotal role in the creation of the Latin Vulgate, which laid the foundation for the Catholic Bible. On the other hand, the King James Version was the result of collaborative efforts involving many scholars, including Lancelot Andrewes and John Overall. King James I himself took a keen interest in the project, although he was not directly involved in the translation. How is the Old Testament different in these Bibles? See below Understanding the canonical differences between the Catholic Bible and the King James Version is crucial for anyone engaged in biblical studies or interested in the doctrinal distinctions between Catholicism and Protestantism. These differences have shaped theological discussions and interpretations for centuries and continue to be a point of interest for scholars and laypeople alike. One of the most noticeable differences between the Catholic Bible and the King James Version is the number of books each contains. The Catholic Bible includes 73 books, incorporating seven additional books in the Old Testament that are not found in the KJV. These are Tobit, Judith, Wisdom, Sirach (Ecclesiasticus), Baruch, and First and Second Maccabees. The King James Version adheres to the 66-book canon commonly accepted by Protestant denominations. It omits the seven books that are included in the Catholic Old Testament, often referred to as the “Deuterocanonical” books. In Protestant circles, these books might be found in a separate section called the “Apocrypha,” but they are not considered canonical. The inclusion or exclusion of these books has theological ramifications. For example, doctrines such as purgatory find some basis in the additional books included in the Catholic Bible. On the other hand, the King James Version, by excluding these books, aligns more closely with Protestant theology, which does not recognize purgatory as a biblical concept. Understanding the translation methodologies employed by each version can offer valuable insights into their respective strengths and weaknesses. Whether you’re a scholar, a student, or simply someone interested in understanding the Bible more deeply, knowing how each version is translated can significantly impact your reading and interpretation. The Catholic Bible is often translated with an emphasis on conveying the original meaning in a way that aligns with Catholic doctrine. Versions like the New American Bible (NAB) and the New Jerusalem Bible (NJB) are examples of this approach. These translations are approved by the Catholic Church and are intended to be both accurate and doctrinally sound. The King James Version, on the other hand, was commissioned by King James I of England in 1604 and completed in 1611. The translation was carried out by a team of scholars and theologians who aimed to produce an English Bible that would be accessible to the common people. The KJV has been praised for its poetic language and literary qualities, although it is not without its critics who point out its archaic language and potential for misinterpretation. While both the Catholic Bible and the King James Version aim for textual accuracy, their translation methodologies differ. The Catholic Bible often incorporates footnotes and explanatory text to provide context and doctrinal clarification. The King James Version, by contrast, is often presented in a more straightforward manner, without annotations, allowing for individual interpretation but potentially leading to misunderstandings. Understanding these cultural impacts can offer a more rounded view of each version’s significance and role in shaping human thought and behavior. The Catholic Bible holds a significant place in various communities around the world, especially among the 1.3 billion Catholics globally. Its translations are often used in liturgical settings, educational programs, and social justice initiatives. The Catholic Bible has also inspired art, literature, and even political thought, making its impact far-reaching and multidimensional. The King James Version has had a profound impact on English-speaking cultures and beyond. It has influenced not only Christian communities but also the English language itself, contributing phrases and idioms that have become part of everyday speech. The KJV is frequently cited in legal documents, literature, and has been a staple in many households for generations. While both versions have had a substantial influence on culture and society, they serve different communities and purposes. The Catholic Bible is often central to community activities and rituals in Catholic settings. The King James Version, meanwhile, has a broader appeal and is often chosen for its literary qualities, even by those outside of Protestant Christianity. In summary, both the Catholic Bible and the King James Version have left indelible marks on culture and society. Their impact goes beyond the confines of church walls and into the broader world, affecting everything from language and art to social norms and values. This website contains affiliate links. As an Amazon Associate, I earn from qualifying purchases. The content on this website was created with the help of AI. Bible translations vary widely, each with its own history and purpose. The King James Version and the Catholic Bible are two influential translations. They’ve shaped the Christian faith for centuries but have notable differences. Let’s explore these sacred texts and uncover key differences between these revered translations. We’ll examine their historical roots and impact on modern Christianity. Understanding these versions helps us appreciate their evolution and significance today. The King James Version, completed in 1611, was long considered the standard English translation. It held prominence in Protestant churches for nearly 400 years. The Catholic Bible, rooted in the 1582 Douay-Rheims translation, offers a different scriptural perspective. One of the major differences between the King James Version and the Catholic Bible is the number of books in each version. The King James Bible has 66 books. The Catholic Bible contains 73 books. This difference comes from the Catholic Bible’s inclusion of deuterocanonical books, also called the Apocrypha. These additional texts are not found in the King James Version. The King James Version contains 66 books, while the Catholic Bible has 73. Seven additional books, known as deuterocanonical or Apocrypha, are included in the Catholic Bible. The Council of Trent in the 16th century affirmed the inclusion of these extra books in Catholic Scripture. Both versions share core Christian beliefs but differ in content and interpretative traditions. Modern Catholic Bible versions include the New American Bible, used in Mass, and study editions like the Didache Bible. Two major translations shaped the Christian faith for centuries: the King James Version and the Catholic Bible. Each has its own unique journey through time. These texts have deep roots in Bible history. They’ve influenced how Christians understand their faith for generations. King James I of England started the King James Version with a royal decree. He picked 47 scholars to make a new English translation. The scholars finished their work in 1611. It became the go-to Bible for English-speaking Protestants for many years. The Catholic canon grew differently. It used the Septuagint and Latin Vulgate, adding more texts. This method showed how the Catholic Church values tradition alongside scripture. It made their Bible distinct from other versions. In 1546, the Council of Trent helped define the Catholic canon. It kept deuterocanonical books, making the Catholic Bible different from Protestant ones. VersionYearKey FeatureKing James Version161147 scholars commissionedCatholic Canon (Council of Trent)1546Included deuterocanonical booksNew International Version1978100+ scholars, decade-long project These events show how complex Bible translations are. Reading scripture isn’t just about words. It’s about exploring the rich history of faith traditions. The Catholic canon includes seven extra books called deuterocanonical books. These texts are vital in Catholic scripture and biblical history. They differ from the Protestant Bible in significant ways. The Catholic Bible has seven more books than the Protestant Bible. These are Tobit, Judith, 1 and 2 Maccabees, Wisdom, Sirach, and Baruch. It also includes longer versions of Daniel and Esther. These texts offer valuable insights into Jewish history and religious beliefs. They helped shape the context of the New Testament. Protestants often call these books the Apocrypha. They were part of the Septuagint, the Greek translation of the Hebrew Bible. Early Christians used this translation. In 1546, the Catholic Church restated their divine inspiration at the Council of Trent. These books provide unique views on faith and morality. They shed light on certain Catholic doctrines and practices. Protestants usually see these books as extra reading, not canonical scripture. This difference began during the Reformation when Martin Luther removed them. Yet, the first King James Version (1611) included these texts. Today, these books still spark debates about biblical authority. They influence discussions across Christian denominations about how to interpret scripture. Catholic ViewProtestant ViewDeuterocanonical books are inspired scriptureDeuterocanonical books are ApocryphaIncluded in the Catholic canonNot part of the Protestant BibleAffirmed by Church councilsRemoved during Reformation Bible translation balances literal and dynamic equivalence methods. The King James Version (KJV) aimed for word-for-word translation. Many Catholic translations use dynamic equivalence for contemporary language. Translation methods affect accuracy and readability. The Bible version comparison revealed interesting insights. The English Standard Version (ESV) targets a 10th-grade reading level. The Christian Standard Bible (CSB) balances formal and dynamic approaches for younger readers. Translators struggle with consistency. The CSB doesn’t always translate Greek terms the same way. The ESV tries to use the same English word for recurring Greek terms. The ESV’s translation of John 3:16 keeps traditional wording. This honors the text’s legacy while maintaining its meaning. “For God so loved the world” – This familiar phrase from John 3:16 in the ESV shows how some translations retain traditional wording to honor the text’s legacy. Each translation method aims to convey the original text’s meaning. Some prioritize literal accuracy, while others focus on readability. Understanding these approaches helps us appreciate different Bible translations. The King James Version (KJV) and the Catholic Bible are key Christian scriptures with unique features. Let’s explore the four main differences between these translations. We’ll look at their approaches to biblical canon and language. The Catholic Bible has 73 books, while the KJV has 66. Catholics include deuterocanonical texts, also called the Apocrypha. These extra books, like Tobit and Judith, are sacred to Catholics but not to most Protestants. The KJV mainly uses Greek manuscripts for translation. Catholic versions often rely on the Latin Vulgate. This difference in sources can lead to varied scriptural interpretations. Different manuscript traditions affect how certain passages are understood. The Waldensians, a pre-Reformation group, used texts similar to the KJV. Their Italic Bible, from around 150 A.D., shows how early text differences impact modern translations. The KJV is known for its grand, poetic language. It has shaped English literature for centuries. Catholic versions balance accuracy with modern readability. This affects how people engage with the text. AspectKing James VersionCatholic BibleNumber of Books6673Primary SourceGreek manuscriptsLatin VulgateApocryphaExcludedIncludedLanguage StylePoetic, archaicBalanced, contemporary Biblical translations shape Christian unity in significant ways. Different versions can highlight denominational differences. However, they also create chances for bridging gaps and nurturing shared faith. Various Bible translations reflect diverse theological perspectives. The King James Version and the Catholic Bible showcase distinct approaches to scripture. These differences can spark meaningful interfaith dialogue. Such discussions encourage Christians to explore their faith more deeply. They provide opportunities to learn from one another’s interpretations. Core Christian beliefs remain consistent across denominations, despite translation variations. This shared foundation serves as a unifying force. It reminds us of our common spiritual heritage. The focus on love, compassion, and salvation through Christ transcends linguistic nuances. These universal themes connect Christians across different translations. Today’s ecumenical movement sees diversity in biblical interpretation as enriching, not divisive. This approach fosters Christian unity through open dialogue. It promotes mutual respect among different faith traditions. AspectImpact on Christian UnityTranslation DifferencesSpark interfaith dialogueCore BeliefsProvide common groundEcumenical ApproachEmbraces diversityShared StudyDeepens understanding Focusing on shared faith and diverse translations can boost Christian unity. This approach respects denominational differences. It also strengthens our collective spiritual journey. Catholics rely on Scripture and tradition for biblical authority. Protestants, however, focus solely on the Bible as their ultimate guide. This difference shapes their approaches to sacred texts. Textual criticism is vital for authenticating biblical texts. Scholars study manuscript evidence to ensure accurate translations. This process has led to many changes over time. The English Revised Version, for instance, had over 30,000 changes in the New Testament alone. Such revisions show the ongoing effort to refine biblical translations. Canonical recognition varies between denominations. Catholics include seven additional books in their Old Testament, called the Deuterocanonical books. Protestants view these texts as apocryphal, not part of the official canon. This difference stems from varying views on biblical authority and tradition. It highlights the diverse interpretations within Christianity. AspectCatholic PerspectiveProtestant PerspectiveSources of AuthorityScripture and TraditionScripture AloneOld Testament Books4639InterpretationChurch MagisteriumIndividual Guided by Holy Spirit Both traditions value careful textual criticism and scholarly research. They aim to preserve the authenticity of biblical texts for future generations. This shared goal unites them despite their differences. Biblical translations have changed over time, reflecting shifts in language and scholarship. Today, we have many options for those seeking scriptural guidance. This variety helps people connect with the Bible in new ways. Catholic study Bibles have improved greatly in recent years. The New American Bible balances accuracy and readability well. Modern translations cater to today’s readers while preserving Catholic theology’s depth. The King James Version (KJV) remains important in Christian literature. It has influenced English literature and education beyond religious circles. Many still value its poetic style, despite its challenging archaic language. We suggest using approved Catholic editions for Catholics wanting to deepen their faith. These include deuterocanonical books and align with Church teachings. The Catholic Study Bible is an excellent choice. It offers comprehensive notes and commentary for in-depth study. Bible VersionKey FeaturesRecommended ForNew American BibleModern language, Catholic approvedGeneral Catholic readershipKing James VersionPoetic language, historical significanceLiterary study, traditional readersCatholic Study BibleExtensive notes, deuterocanonical booksIn-depth Catholic study The Church allows reading various versions, but using the Mass translation can boost understanding. The main goal is connecting deeply with scripture, no matter which translation you choose. The King James Version and the Catholic Bible offer unique paths on our faith journey. Both translations stem from distinct historical contexts. The King James Version, published in 1611, has shaped scriptural wisdom for generations. The Catholic Bible includes seven additional books. Both versions serve as vessels for divine revelation. Translation differences highlight the complexity of biblical scholarship. Yet, God’s love and salvation remain central to Christian unity. These translations remind us of God’s Word’s living nature. The King James Version offers a more literal reading. The New American Bible provides a dynamic interpretation. Both versions offer valuable insights for spiritual growth. Embracing this diversity deepens our appreciation for Scripture. It shows the Bible’s enduring relevance in our lives. It also highlights our shared Christian heritage. Four key differences exist between these Bibles. The Catholic Bible has 73 books, while the King James Version has 66. Catholic Bibles stem from the Latin Vulgate, while the King James Version comes from Greek texts. Interpretations vary due to different manuscripts and traditions. The King James Version is known for its majestic language.The Catholic Bible includes seven additional books called deuterocanonical books or Apocrypha. The Council of Trent affirmed these books in the 16th century. We view these books as part of our sacred tradition.They offer insights into Jewish history and religious beliefs. These beliefs shaped the context of the New Testament.The King James Version aimed for a literal, word-for-word translation. It focused on preserving the majesty and poetry of the language. Many Catholic Bible translations use “dynamic equivalence” instead.This method balances literal accuracy with readability. It aims to convey the original text’s meaning in modern language.We don’t forbid Catholics from reading other versions, including the King James Version. However, we encourage the use of Catholic Bibles. These include versions like the Catholic Study Bible or the New American Bible.Catholic Bibles contain the deuterocanonical books and align with Catholic theology. We recommend using the translation used in Mass for consistency.Both Catholic and King James Bibles contain the essential truths of the Christian faith. We believe that what unites Christians is greater than what divides them. The different translations offer opportunities to deepen our understanding of God’s revelation.Modern ecumenical perspectives encourage dialogue and recognition of shared core beliefs. They view diversity as richness rather than a threat.We authenticate biblical texts through manuscript evidence, historical usage, and Church authority. Both traditions value careful textual criticism and scholarly research. The main difference lies in our sources of divine revelation.The Catholic Church relies on both Scripture and sacred tradition. Protestants adhere to Scripture alone as the ultimate authority.The deuterocanonical books provide insights into Jewish history and religious beliefs. They illuminate certain Catholic doctrines and practices. Protestants consider these books as Apocrypha and view them as supplemental reading.We include them as an integral part of our biblical canon.Contemporary Catholic Bible versions balance accuracy with readability for modern readers. The King James Version remains influential but can be challenging due to its archaic language. Our modern Catholic versions aim to convey the original text’s meaning in contemporary language.This reflects our understanding of Scripture as the living Word of God. We believe it speaks to each generation anew. We have written extensively on the King James Bible’s preeminence in Christianity. First published in 1611, this “greatest book ever written by committee” has become a cornerstone not just of Christianity but of Christendom as a whole, influencing the entire English language in manners large and small. To this day, it is still the most widely read translation of the Bible in the United States and one of the best-selling translations of the Bible around the world.Conspicuous by its absence when we write about the position of the KJV, however, is the largest denomination of Christianity in the world. The Roman Catholic Church, with over a billion adherents, does not make the same use of the King James Bible as Protestant and evangelical denominations so often do. This significant difference has led many Christians to wonder: if their Catholic brethren don’t hold the King James Bible dear, what do they read instead? Over the years, you may have heard such claims as “the Catholics have their own Bible” or even that “Catholics don’t read the Bible.” We hope to clarify the differences between the King James Bible and what we can call “the Catholic Bible,” and underscore the importance of Scripture throughout Christianity.Understanding Sola ScripturaBefore comparing the KJV with Catholic translations, it’s important to go back to the Protestant Reformation of 16th-century western Europe. Martin Luther, who initiated the Reformation with his 95 theses, also held that there were five solae: theological principles that deviated from the teachings of the Catholic Church by stating that certain acts alone were all that were necessary. Sola fide, “by faith alone,” is perhaps the best-known juncture of Catholic and Protestant teaching, wherein Protestants maintain that faith alone, not faith in conjunction with good works, is necessary for salvation. Just as important, however, is the concept of sola scriptura, or “by Scripture alone.” This means that the books of the Bible, not any intermediaries within the bureaucracy of the church, are the ultimate, solitary, and infallible authority on Christianity—an authority that should be readily accessible to all Christians. Luther was concerned that the Catholic Church’s emphasis on rituals, pageantry, and extra-Biblical traditions were obscuring the truth of God’s word, and that studying the Bible without these ecclesiastical traditions—and without the confounding variable of a clergyman—was the only way to understand it. This principle, timed with Johannes Gutenberg’s release of the printing press, encouraged Christians to read the Bible for themselves rather than rely upon the clergy and encouraged widespread literacy among Christians in order to do so. In the process, sola scriptura dispensed with many of the rituals and traditions of the Catholic Church and, in turn, made a tradition of closely and regularly reading the Bible—one that lives on today. The Vulgate, the Apocrypha, and Deuterocanonical BooksThe Catholic Church’s translation of the texts that make up the books of the Bible is better known as the Vulgate, from the Latin versio vulgata, or “common version.” This was a translation of the Hebrew Bible and the books of the New Testament into Latin for the use of the Roman Catholic Church, which used Latin exclusively at Mass until the Second Vatican Council, or Vatican II, permitted the use of vernacular languages in 1965. Today, the Catholic Church uses the Nova Vulgata, or New Vulgate, as its official text, with vernacular Bibles finding use locally. English-speaking Catholics often use the Jerusalem Bible or New Jerusalem Bible. “The Catholic Bible” is a bit of a misnomer. The term almost suggests that the oldest Christian church has a scripture of its own separate from the rest of Christianity. While there are some discrepancies in translation and arrangement between Catholic and Protestant editions of the Bible, a “Catholic Bible” is still a Christian Bible. That being said, there are important differences. While the King James Bible contains 39 books in the Old Testament, the Vulgate and its translations contain 46 books. These additional seven books, which Protestants consider non-canonical, are a full part of the Old Testament in Catholic editions of the Bible. In the Luther Bible, the King James Bible, and other Protestant editions, these books, called the Apocrypha, are a section between testaments, an appendix following the conclusion of the New Testament, or omitted altogether. The Catholic Church regards these additional books as “deuterocanonical,” or part of a second canon, which Protestant churches do not observe. Because the Tanakh has never included these books, nor do the books of the New Testament cite them as they do other books of the Old Testament, Protestant theologians regard the Apocrypha with suspicion.Can Catholics Read the King James Bible?Of course. It is, after all, a free country. While the Catholic Church does not use the King James Version at Mass and recommends that Catholics read a Bible that contains all 73 books that the Church considers canonical, many curious Catholics, breaking free from the stereotype that personal Bible study is not necessary, explore the KJV at home to appreciate its verbiage and scholarship. The matter of the King James Bible versus a Catholic Bible may be one we can resolve. Catholic editions of the King James Version are now available, featuring the deuterocanonical books of the Old Testament in their traditional Catholic order rather than as an Apocrypha appendix or left on the cutting-room floor. While the Church has not authorized these editions, they do facilitate an appreciation for the prose of the King James Version for Catholics who would otherwise be unfamiliar or uncomfortable with the Protestant presentation of the Testaments. Bible is the recognized collection of texts in Christianity. It is considered that every individual who follows the Christian faith should have access to the Bible. Nowadays, there are varieties of Bible that one can choose and read. However, the confusion arises that which one will be the best to read and understand it correctly. The most popular bibles in Christianity are the Catholic Bible and the King James Bible. The Roman Catholicism is a sect of Christianity, just as the Eastern Orthodox Church, and the various denominations of Protestantism. Catholic Bible and King James Bible view the Bible as having equal authority with the Church and tradition. Catholic Bible is the only one that has added books from the Old Testament. On the other hand, King James Bible (KJB) for their Old Testament has the translations. The translators used the text that originated as part of the Hebrew Rabbinic Bible. For KJB New Testament, the translators used the Greek editions. In the Catholic Bible, there are no translations of the books of Old Testament. The Apocrypha is one of the books that one can find in the Catholic Bible. The Christians preserve the books because they recognize the books as spiritual value. Until now, 73 books are recognized by the Catholic Church, which include the deuterocanonical books. So, basically the Catholic Bible still contains the original forty-six books of the Old Testament, (which includes the seven books of the Apocrypha) and the twenty-seven books of the New Testament. In 1611, the King James Version is the translation of the Christian Bible which was signed by the Church of England. Comparison between Catholic Bible and King James Bible: Catholic Bible King James Bible Term Generic term for the Holy Bible One of the many other versions of the Holy Bible First Printed 1790 1611 Books The Catholic Bible contains the original forty-six books of the Old Testament, (which includes the seven books of the Apocrypha) and the twenty-seven books of the New Testament. The King James Bible only includes the thirty-nine books of the Old Testament and the twenty-seven books of the New Testament. Apocrypha One can find books called Apocrypha They does not have the Apocrypha Testament Only include Old Testament Include all of the books from the Old and New Testament Bible The Bible stands out in the crowd of Holy scriptures variations because this book is the only one that added books from the Old Testament. The authorized King James Bible is the Christian Bible translation. As a pastor, I’ve witnessed the transformative power of the Bible in my own life and the lives of my congregants. One Sunday afternoon, a young couple approached me with a question that would set me on a path to greater understanding. They asked me, “Is it okay for us to study the Catholic Bible?” I realized just how wide the gap is between Protestants and Catholics and my role in bridging it. This question sparked a curiosity and a desire to alleviate the confusion among many believers, and what better way to do this than by comparing the King James Bible vs. the Catholic Bible? In this article, I’ll explore the historical context surrounding these Bibles, illuminating the factors that influenced their development. I’ll compare their contents, particularly the Apocryphal books in the Catholic Bible, and their impact on its accuracy. Finally, I’ll explore the efforts made to bridge the gap created, in part, by the different versions. Join me in seeking to understand how these translations shape the faith of their followers. King James Bible. Image source: Pixabay Also called the Authorized translation, the King

James Bible is an English translation commissioned in 1604 by King James I of England and published in 1611. Forty-seven scholars from the Church of England, led by Richard Bancroft, translated the King James Bible from the original Masoretic and Septuagint texts. They were split into six groups, which were equally distributed among three locations: Oxford, Cambridge, and Westminster, as shown in the table below: Group Translated section First Westminster team Genesis to 2 Kings Second Westminster team Epistles First Oxford team Isaiah to Malachi Second Oxford team Gospels and Acts of the Apostles First Cambridge team 1 Chronicles to Song of Solomon Second Cambridge team Apocrypha The six teams completed their work by 1608. What followed was 3 years of review before printing in 1611. Considering this was a Protestant work, I found it interesting that they incorporated the Apocryphal text from the Greek Septuagint and 2 Esdras from the Latin Vulgate. In 1769, scholars from Oxford and Cambridge standardized the existing text. This was because of the numerous printing errors that wormed their way into the 1611 version. They omitted several typos and standardized the punctuation. They also updated the language, enhancing its readability. By the mid-1800, the Authorized Version did not have the Apocrypha. Not many changes have been made to the language since 1769, and most modern King James Bibles were derived from this revision. Today, the New King James replaces Old English words and phrases with modern variations. Nevertheless, the King James Bible remains a favorite in many homes. Catholic Bible. Image source: Pixabay The Catholic Bible retains the 66 books but adds 7 Apocryphal books to the Old Testament and extra chapters to Daniel and Esther. Apocryphal books were written during the intertestamental period. They include Tobit, Judith, 1 and 2 Maccabees, Wisdom of Solomon, Sirach, and Baruch. Although the Jews respected these books, they never treated them as part of the Hebrew scripture. The Apocrypha was officially integrated into the Catholic Bible during the Council of Trent (1545 -1563) in response to the growing Protestant movement. In 1582, shortly after this council, the first English Catholic New Testament bible was published. The Old Testament followed in 1609 and 1610 to form what we now call the Douay–Rheims Bible. Unlike the King James Bible, the Douay–Rheims Bible wasn't translated from the Masoretic texts. Instead, it was a translation from Jerome's Vulgate texts. Today, the most popular versions of the Catholic Bible are the New American Bible and the New Revised Standard Version, Catholic Edition. Factor King James Version Catholic Bible Number of books 66 73 Completeness Only the Canon Canon + Apocrypha Apocrypha Absent 7 books: Tobit, Judith, 1 and 2 Maccabees, Wisdom of Solomon, Sirach, and Baruch. Translation Period 1604-1611 1582 (New Testament), 1609 (Genesis to Job) 1610 (Psalms to 2 Maccabees) Catholic Bible vs the King James Bible. Image source: Pixabay Both versions are reasonably accurate. They may differ in the organization and number of books, but ultimately, there's very little difference in accuracy. Bible translators rely on two methods during translation – literal equivalence and dynamic equivalence. Literal equivalence involves translating the text word-for-word, while dynamic equivalence reproduces biblical text using modern translations. Both Bibles use literal equivalence, making them equally accurate with slight variations in language and prose. Yes. Although the King James Bible isn't used during Mass, many Catholics appreciate its richness and prose. Consequently, publishers now offer a King James Bible for Catholics that incorporates the seven Apocryphal books organized just like the Catholic Bible. However, it's worth noting that the Catholic Church hasn't sanctioned this version because it doesn't suit Catholic theology. As a devout Christian, I have always been passionate about the Christian faith. This inspired me to pursue a degree in Religious studies and a Masters in Theology in college. I have also been privileged to teach 4 Christian courses in a college and university. Since I am dedicated to spreading the word of God, I am actively involved in the Church. Additionally, I share his word online and cover diverse topics on the Christian faith through my platform. You can read more about me on the about us page. Share — copy and redistribute the material in any medium or format for any purpose, even commercially. Adapt — remix, transform, and build upon the material for any purpose, even commercially. The licensor cannot revoke these freedoms as long as you follow the license terms. Attribution — You must give appropriate credit , provide a link to the license, and indicate if changes were made . You may do so in any reasonable manner, but not in any way that suggests the licensor endorses you or your use. ShareAlike — If you remix, transform, or build upon the material, you must distribute your contributions under the same license as the original. No additional restrictions — You may not apply legal terms or technological measures that legally restrict others from doing anything the license permits. You do not have to comply with the license for elements of the material in the public domain or where your use is permitted by an applicable exception or limitation . No warranties are given. The license may not give you all of the permissions necessary for your intended use. For example, other rights such as publicity, privacy, or moral rights may limit how you use the material. Major branch of Protestantism "Episcopalian" redirects here. For the ecclesiastical governance structure, see Episcopal polity. For other uses, see Episcopal (disambiguation) and Episcopal Church (disambiguation). Part of a series onAnglicanism TheologyChristian theologyAnglican doctrineThirty-nine ArticlesBooks of HomiliesCaroline DivinesChicago–Lambeth QuadrilateralEpiscopal politySacramentsMary Ministry and worshipMinistryMusicEucharistKing James Version (Book of Common Prayer)Liturgical yearChurchmanship (High, Low, Central, Broad)MonasticismSaintsJesus Prayer ChristianityJesus ChristPaulChristian ChurchFirst seven ecumenical councils Background and historyCeltic ChristianityAugustine of CanterburyBedeMedieval cathedral architectureApostolic successionHenry VIIIEnglish ReformationThomas CranmerDissolution of the monasteriesChurch of EnglandEdward VIElizabeth IMatthew ParkerRichard HookerJames ICharles IWilliam LaudNonjuring schismLatitudinarianAnglo-Catholicism (Liberal)Oxford Movement Anglican CommunionAnglican Communion historyArchbishop of CanterburyAnglican Communion Primates' MeetingsLambeth ConferenceBishopsAnglican Consultative CouncilEcumenismOrdination of womenWindsor Report Other Anglican denominationsContinuing Anglican movementAnglican realignmentBartonville AgreementCongress of St. LouisNorth American Anglican Conference Christianity portalvte Part of a series onProtestantism Outline Concepts Anti-Protestantism Bible Criticism Culture Demographics Ecclesiology Liturgy Relations with Catholics Theologies Five Solas History Proto-Protestantism Bohemian Reformation Reformation Magisterial Radical Counter Martin Luther Ninety-five Theses Augsburg Confession Huldrych Zwingli John Calvin Arminianism Crypto-Protestantism Nonconformists Dissenters Puritans John Wesley Pietism Great Awakenings in America Revival meetings Branches Proto-Protestants Hussites Waldensians Lutherans Reformed Continental Presbyterians Congregational Anglicans Continuing Anabaptists Amish Hutterites Mennonites Quakers Baptists Black church Methodists Radical Pietism Shakers Stone-Campbell Plymouth Brethren Adventist Irvings Holiness Keswickians Eastern Protestant Pentecostals United and uniting churches Nondenominational Movements Augsburg Catholics Charismatics Confessionalism Confessing Movement Convergence Church Growth Ecumenism Emerging church Evangelicalism Fundamentalism High church Liberalism Mainlines Neo-charismatics Neo-orthodoxy New Calvinism Paleo-orthodoxy Progressivism Spiritual Christianity Christianity portalvte Part of a series onChristianity JesusChrist Nativity Baptism Ministry Crucifixion Resurrection Ascension BibleFoundations Old Testament New Testament Gospel Canon Church Creed New Covenant Theology God Trinity Father Son Holy Spirit Apologetics Baptism Christology History of theology Mission Salvation Universalism HistoryTradition Apostles Peter Paul Mary Early Christianity Church Fathers Constantine Councils Augustine Ignatius East–West Schism Crusades Aquinas Reformation Luther Denominations(full list) Nicene Catholic Latin Eastern Old Catholic Palmarian Catholic Independent Catholic Sedevacantism Eastern Orthodox Oriental Orthodox Church of the East Protestant Adventist Anabaptist Anglican Baptist Free Evangelical Lutheran Methodist Moravian [Hussite] Pentecostal Plymouth Brethren Quaker Reformed United Protestant Waldensian Nondenominational Christianity Restorationist Christadelphians Iglesia ni Cristo Irvingians Jehovah's Witnesses Latter Day Saints The Church of Jesus Christ of Latter-day Saints Members Church of God International The New Church (Swedenborgian) Unitarians and Universalists Related topics Civilization Criticism Culture Ecumenism Glossary Index Liturgy Other religions Prayer Sermon Symbolism Worship Glossary Index Outline Christianity portalvte Anglicanism, also known as Episcopalianism in some countries,[1][2] is a Western Christian tradition which developed from the practices, liturgy, and identity of the Church of England following the English Reformation,[3] in the context of the Protestant Reformation in Europe. It is one of the largest branches of Christianity, with around 110 million adherents worldwide as of 2024.[4] Most are members of national or regional ecclesiastical provinces of the international Anglican Communion,[5] one of the largest Christian bodies in the world,[6] and the world's third-largest Christian communion.[7][8][9][10][11][12][13][14][15] Research from the Center for the Study of Worldwide Christianity, based at Gordon Conwell, found that there were nearly 91 million Anglicans worldwide in 2015, not including the United "churches on the Indian Sub-Continent" as they "are ecumenical federations."[16] When united churches in the Anglican Communion and the breakaway Continuing Anglican movement were not counted, there were an estimated 97.4 million Anglicans worldwide in 2020.[17] Adherents of Anglicanism are called Anglicans; they are also called Episcopalians[18] in some countries. The provinces within the Anglican Communion are in full communion with the See of Canterbury and thus with the archbishop of Canterbury, whom the communion refers to as its primus inter pares (Latin, 'first among equals'). The archbishop calls the decennial Lambeth Conference, chairs the meeting of primates, and is the president of the Anglican Consultative Council.[19][20] Some churches that are not part of the Anglican Communion or recognised by it also call themselves Anglican, including those that are within the Continuing Anglican movement and Anglican realignment.[21] Anglicans base their Christian faith on the Bible, traditions of the apostolic church, apostolic succession ("historic episcopate"), and the writings of the Church Fathers, as well as historically, the Thirty-nine Articles of Religion and The Books of Homilies.[22][3] Anglicanism forms a branch of Western Christianity, having definitively declared its independence from the Holy See at the time of the Elizabethan Religious Settlement.[23] Many of the Anglican formularies of the mid-16th century correspond closely to those of historical Protestantism. These reforms were understood by one of those most responsible for them, Thomas Cranmer, the archbishop of Canterbury, and others as navigating a middle way between Catholicism and two of the emerging Protestant traditions, namely Lutheranism and Calvinism.[24] In the first half of the 17th century, the Church of England and the associated Church of Ireland were presented by some Anglican divines as comprising a distinct Christian tradition, with theologies, structures, and forms of worship representing a different kind of middle way, or via media, originally between Lutheranism and Calvinism,[25][26] and later between Protestantism and Catholicism – a perspective that came to be highly influential in later theories of Anglican identity and expressed in the description of Anglicanism as "catholic and reformed".[27] The degree of distinction between Protestant and Catholic tendencies within Anglicanism is routinely a matter of debate both within specific Anglican churches and the Anglican Communion. The Book of Common Prayer is unique to Anglicanism, the collection of services in one prayer book used for centuries. The book is acknowledged as a principal tie that binds the Anglican Communion as a liturgical tradition.[22] After the American Revolution, Anglican congregations in the United States and British North America (which would later form the basis for the modern country of Canada) were each reconstituted into autonomous churches with their own bishops and self-governing structures; these were known as the American Episcopal Church and the Church of England in the Dominion of Canada. Through the expansion of the British Empire and the activity of Christian missions, this model was adopted as the model for many newly formed churches, especially in Africa, Australasia, and the Asia-Pacific. In the 19th century, the term Anglicanism was coined to describe the common religious tradition of these churches and also that of the Scottish Episcopal Church, which, though originating earlier within the Church of Scotland, had come to be recognised as sharing this common identity. Jesus supporting an English flag and staff in the crook of his right arm depicted in a stained glass window in Rochester Cathedral in Rochester, Kent, England The word Anglican originates in Anglicana ecclesia libera sit, a phrase from Magna Carta dated 15 June 1215, meaning 'the English Church shall be free'.[28] Adherents of Anglicanism are called Anglicans. As an adjective, Anglican is used to describe the people, institutions, churches, liturgical traditions, and theological concepts developed by the Church of England.[20] As a noun, an Anglican is a church member in the Anglican Communion. The word is also used by followers of separated groups that have left the communion or have been founded separately from it. The word originally referred only to the teachings and rites of Christians throughout the world in communion with the see of Canterbury but has come to sometimes be extended to any church following those traditions or rites rather than actual membership in the Anglican Communion.[20] Although the term Anglican is found referring to the Church of England as far back as the 16th century, its use did not become general until the latter half of the 19th century. In British parliamentary legislation referring to the English Established Church, there is no need for a description; it is simply the Church of England, though the word Protestant is used in many legal acts specifying the succession to the Crown and qualifications for office. When the Union with Ireland Act created the United Church of England and Ireland, it is specified that it shall be one "Protestant Episcopal Church", thereby distinguishing its form of church government from the Presbyterian polity that prevails in the Church of Scotland.[29] The word Episcopal ("of or pertaining to bishops") is preferred in the title of the Episcopal Church (the province of the Anglican Communion covering the United States) and the Scottish Episcopal Church, though the full name of the former is The Protestant Episcopal Church in the United States of America. Elsewhere, however, the term Anglican Church came to be preferred as it distinguished these churches from others that maintain an episcopal polity. In its structures, theology, and forms of worship, Anglicanism emerged as a distinct Christian tradition representing a middle ground between Lutheran and Reformed varieties of Protestantism;[30] after the Oxford Movement, Anglicanism has often been characterized as representing a via media ('middle way') between Protestantism as a whole, and Catholicism.[25]St Paul's Cathedral by Sir Christopher Wren, 1674–1711The cathedral interior looking east towards the high altar The faith of Anglicans is founded in the Scriptures and the Gospels, the traditions of the Apostolic Church, the historical episcopate, the first four ecumenical councils,[31] and the early Church Fathers, especially those active during the five initial centuries of Christianity, according to the quinquasaecularist principle proposed by the English bishop Lancelot Andrewes and the Lutheran dissident Georg Calixtus. Anglicans understand the Old and New Testaments as "containing all things necessary for salvation" and as being the rule and ultimate standard of faith.[32] Reason and tradition are seen as valuable means to interpret scripture (a position first formulated in detail by Richard Hooker), but there is no full mutual agreement among Anglicans about exactly how scripture, reason, and tradition interact (or ought to interact) with each other.[33] Anglicans understand the Apostles' Creed as the baptismal symbol and the Nicene Creed as the sufficient statement of the Christian faith. Anglicans believe the catholic and apostolic faith is revealed in Holy Scripture and the ecumenical creeds (Apostles', Nicene and Athanasian) and interpret these in light of the Christian tradition of the historic church, scholarship, reason, and experience.[34] Anglicans celebrate the traditional sacraments, with special emphasis being given to the Eucharist, also called Holy Communion, the Lord's Supper, or the Mass. The Eucharist is central to worship for most Anglicans as a communal offering of prayer and praise in which the life, death, and resurrection of Jesus Christ are proclaimed through prayer, reading of the Bible, singing, giving God thanks over the bread and wine for the innumerable benefits obtained through the passion of Christ; the breaking of the bread, the blessing of the cup, and the partaking of the body and blood of Christ as instituted at the Last Supper. The consecrated bread and wine, which are considered by Anglican formularies to be the true body and blood of Christ in a spiritual manner and as outward symbols of an inner grace given by Christ which to the repentant convey forgiveness and cleansing from sin. While many Anglicans celebrate the Eucharist in similar ways to the predominant Latin Catholic tradition, a considerable degree of liturgical freedom is permitted, and worship styles range from simple to elaborate. Unique to Anglicanism is the Book of Common Prayer (BCP), the collection of services which worshippers in most Anglican churches have used for centuries. It was called common prayer originally because it was intended for use in all Church of England churches, which had previously followed differing local liturgies. The term was kept when the church became international because all Anglicans used to share in its use around the world. In 1549, the first Book of Common Prayer was compiled by Thomas Cranmer, the then archbishop of Canterbury. While it has since undergone many revisions and Anglican churches in different countries have developed other service books, the BCP is still acknowledged as one of the ties that bind Anglicans together. See also: History of the Anglican Communion Saint Alban is venerated as the first-recorded British Christian martyr Augustine of Canterbury, the first archbishop of Canterbury According to legend, the founding of Christianity in Britain is commonly attributed to Joseph of Arimathea and is commemorated at Glastonbury Abbey.[a][36] Many of the early Church Fathers wrote of the presence of Christianity in Roman Britain, with Tertullian stating "those parts of Britain into which the Roman arms had never penetrated were become subject to Christ".[37] Saint Alban, who was executed in AD 209, is the first Christian martyr in the British Isles. For this reason he is venerated as the British protomartyr.[38] The historian Heinrich Zimmer writes that "Just as Britain was a part of the Roman Empire, so the British Church formed (during the fourth century) a branch of the Catholic Church of the West; and during the whole of that century, from the Council of Arles (316) onward, took part in all proceedings concerning the Church."[39] After Roman troops withdrew from Britain, the "absence of Roman military and governmental influence and overall decline of Roman imperial political power enabled Britain and the surrounding isles to develop distinctively from the rest of the West. A new culture emerged around the Irish Sea among the Celtic peoples with Celtic Christianity at its core. What resulted was a form of Christianity distinct from Rome in many traditions and practices."[b][42][43] The historian Charles Thomas, in addition to the Celticist Heinrich Zimmer, writes that the distinction between sub-Roman and post-Roman Insular Christianity, also known as Celtic Christianity, began to become apparent around AD 475,[44] with the Celtic churches allowing married clergy,[45] observing Lent and Easter according to their own calendar,[46][4] and having a different tonsure; moreover, like the Eastern Orthodox and the Oriental Orthodox churches, the Celtic churches operated independently of the Pope's authority,[47] as a result of their isolated development in the British Isles.[48] In what is known as the Gregorian mission, Pope Gregory I sent Augustine of Canterbury to the British Isles in AD 596, with the purpose of evangelising the pagans there (who were largely Anglo-Saxons),[49] as well as to reconcile the Celtic churches in the British Isles to the See of Rome.[50] In Kent, Augustine persuaded the Anglo-Saxon king "Æthelberht and his people to accept Christianity".[51] Augustine, on two occasions, "met in conference with members of the Celtic episcopacy, but no understanding was reached between them".[52] Eventually, the "Christian Church of the Anglo-Saxon kingdom of Northumbria convened the Synod of Whitby in 663/664 to decide whether to follow Celtic or Roman usages". This meeting, with King Oswiu as the final decision maker, "led to the acceptance of Roman usage elsewhere in England and brought the English Church into close contact with the Continent".[53] As a result of assuming Roman usages, the Celtic Church surrendered its independence, and, from this point on, the Church in England "was no longer purely Celtic, but became Anglo-Roman-Celtic".[54] The theologian Christopher L. Webber writes that "Although "the Roman form of Christianity became the dominant influence in Britain as in all of western Europe, Anglican Christianity has continued to have a distinctive quality because of its Celtic heritage."[55][56][57] Following the Synod of Whitby, tensions between Rome and the English king would gradually escalate, due in part to royal assertions that it was the custom of England for the king to exercise authority over the Church. In the late 1000s, William the Conqueror (William I) refused to swear fealty to the Pope citing English tradition,[58] controlled appointments to ecclesiastical offices[59] (a power historically reserved to the Pope) and forbade papal legates to enter England without royal permission.[60] In 1164, under Henry II, the Constitutions of Clarendon, citing English custom, required royal assent for excommunications and mandated that ecclesiastical court appeals terminate with the king rather than the Pope.[61] The Magna Carta in 1215, asserting that "the English Church shall be free, and shall have its rights undiminished, and its liberties unimpaired"[62] would be annulled by Pope Innocent III,[63] but reissued in both 1216 and 1225. Under Edward I, in 1279, the Statute of Mortmain required royal approval to grant or transfer land to the Church.[64] Additionally, Edward I would reject Pope Boniface VIII's bull Clericis Laicos which forbade secular taxation of clergy. Per the king's orders, non-compliant clergy were punished by law and church property was seized.[65] The 1351 Statute of Provisors, under Edward III, prohibited papal appointments to English benefices, reserving the power for the king.[66] The 1353 Statute of Praemunire prohibited appeals to papal courts for either ecclesiastical or temporal matters.[67] To date, neither the Statute of Provisors nor the Statute of Praemunire has been repealed. In 1401, Henry IV's statute De Heretico Comburendo would transfer heresy trials from ecclesiastical to secular courts, further cementing the tradition of English kings claiming authority over English ecclesiastical matters.[68] English delegates to the Councils of Pisa (1409), Constance (1414–1418), and Basel (1431–1445) would voice support for conciliarism in an attempt to limit the powers of the Pope over-against the bishops of the Church.[69][70] King's College Chapel, Cambridge, Great East Window. The Church in England remained united with Rome until the English Parliament passed the Act of Supremacy in 1534, declaring King Henry VIII the "Supreme Head" of the Church of England. This act culminated centuries of English monarchs asserting authority over ecclesiastical matters, from William I's refusal of papal fealty (1070s) to the Statutes of Provisors (1351) and Praemunire (1353). Henry and his theologians, including Thomas Cranmer, cited these historical customs to justify royal supremacy, arguing that the crown traditionally governed the Church.[71] The immediate catalyst was Henry's need to annul his 24-year marriage to Catherine of Aragon, which he believed was invalid based on biblical prohibitions (Leviticus 20:21) and the lack of a male heir, seen as divine judgment. When Pope Clement VII, under pressure from Emperor Charles V, refused the annulment, Henry acted to resolve the issue domestically, supported by legislative steps like the Submission of the Clergy (1534) and Act in Restraint of Appeals (1533). The break with Rome reflected a mix of theological conviction, historical precedent, and political necessity, fulfilling a longstanding English desire for ecclesiastical autonomy while addressing immediate dynastic concerns. This laid the foundation for the development of Anglicanism as a distinct national church. The English Church under Henry VIII continued to maintain Catholic doctrines and liturgical celebrations of the sacraments despite its separation from Rome. With little exception, Henry VIII allowed no changes during his lifetime.[72] Under King Edward VI (1547–1553), however, the church in England first began to undergo what is known as the English Reformation, in the course of which it acquired a number of characteristics that would subsequently become recognised as constituting its distinctive "Anglican" identity.[73] See also: History of the Anglican Communion Queen Elizabeth I revived the Church of England in 1559 and established a uniform faith and practice; she took the title "Supreme Governor" With the Elizabethan Settlement of 1559, the Protestant identity of the English and Irish churches was affirmed by means of parliamentary legislation which mandated allegiance and loyalty to the English Crown in all their members. The Elizabethan church began to develop distinct religious traditions, assimilating some of the theology of Reformed churches with the services in the Book of Common Prayer (which drew extensively on the Sarum Rite native to England), under the leadership and organisation of a continuing episcopate.[74] Over the years, these traditions themselves came to command adherence and loyalty. The Elizabethan Settlement stopped the radical Protestant tendencies under Edward VI by combining the more radical elements of the 1552 prayer book with the conservative "Catholic" 1549 prayer book into the 1559 Book of Common Prayer. From then on, Protestantism was in a "state of arrested development", regardless of the attempts to detach the Church of England from its "idiosyncratic anchorage in the medieval past" by various groups which tried to push it towards a more Reformed theology and governance in the years 1560–1660.[75] Although two important constitutive elements of what later would emerge as Anglicanism were present in 1559 – scripture, the historic episcopate, the Book of Common Prayer, the teachings of the First Four Ecumenical Councils as the yardstick of catholicity, the teaching of the Church Fathers and Catholic bishops, and informed reason – neither the laypeople nor the clergy perceived themselves as Anglicans at the beginning of Elizabeth I's reign, as there was no such identity. Neither does the term via media appear until the 1627 to describe a church which refused to identify itself definitely as Catholic or Protestant, or as both, "and had decided in the end that this is virtue rather than a handicap".[76] New College Chapel, Oxford Historical studies on the period 1560–1660 written before the late 1960s tended to project the predominant conformist spirituality and doctrine of the 1660s on the ecclesiastical situation one hundred years before, and there was also a tendency to take polemically binary partitions of reality claimed by contestants studied (such as the dichotomies Protestant-"Popish" or "Laudian"-"Puritan") at face value. Since the late 1960s, these interpretations have been criticised. Studies on the subject written during the last forty-five years have, however, not reached any consensus on how to interpret this period in English church history. The extent to which one or several positions concerning doctrine and spirituality existed alongside the more well-known and articulate Puritan movement and the Durham House Party, and the exact extent of continental Calvinism among the English elite and among the ordinary churchgoers from the 1560s to the 1620s are subjects of current and ongoing debate.[c] In 1662, under King Charles II, a revised Book of Common Prayer was produced, which was acceptable to high churchmen as well as some Puritans and is still considered authoritative to this day.[77] In so far as Anglicans derived their identity from both parliamentary legislation and ecclesiastical tradition, a crisis of identity could result wherever secular and religious loyalties came into conflict – and such a crisis indeed occurred in 1776 with the United States Declaration of Independence, most of whose signatories were, at least nominally, Anglican.[78] For these American patriots, even the forms of Anglican services were in doubt, since the Prayer Book rites of Matins, Evensong, and Holy Communion all included specific prayers for the British royal family. Consequently, the conclusion of the War of Independence eventually resulted in the creation of two new Anglican churches, the Episcopal Church in the United States in those states that had achieved independence; and in the 1830s, the Church of England in Canada became independent from the Church of England in those North American colonies which had remained under British control and to which many Loyalist churchmen had migrated.[79] Reluctantly, legislation was passed in the British Parliament (the Consecration of Bishops Abroad Act 1786) to allow bishops to be consecrated for an American church outside of allegiance to the British Crown (since no dioceses had ever been established in the former American colonies).[79] Both in the United States and in Canada, the new Anglican churches developed novel models of self-government, collective decision-making, and self-supported financing; that would be consistent with separation of religious and secular identities.[80] In the following century, two further factors acted to accelerate the development of a distinct Anglican identity. From 1828 and 1829, Dissenters and Catholics could be elected to the House of Commons,[81] which consequently ceased to be a body drawn purely from the established churches of Scotland, England, and Ireland; but which nevertheless, over the following ten years, engaged in extensive reforming legislation affecting the interests of the English and Irish churches; which, by the Acts of Union of 1800, had been reconstituted as the United Church of England and Ireland (a union which was dissolved in 1871). The propriety of this legislation was bitterly contested by the Oxford Movement (Tractarians),[82] who in response developed a vision of Anglicanism as religious tradition deriving ultimately from the ecumenical councils of the patristic church. Those within the Church of England opposed to the Tractarians, and to their revived ritual practices, introduced a stream of bills in parliament aimed to control innovations in worship.[83] This only made the dilemma more acute, with consequent continual litigation in the secular and ecclesiastical courts. Over the same period, Anglican churches engaged vigorously in Christian missions, resulting in the creation, by the end of the century, of over ninety colonial bishoprics,[84] which gradually coalesced into new self-governing churches on the Canadian and American models. However, the case of John Colenso, Bishop of Natal, reinstated in 1865 by the English Judicial Committee of the Privy Council over the heads of the Church in South Africa,[85] demonstrated acutely that the extension of episcopacy had to be accompanied by a recognised Anglican ecclesiology of ecclesiastical authority, distinct from secular power. Consequently, at the instigation of the bishops of Canada and South Africa, the first Lambeth Conference was called in 1867;[86] to be followed by further conferences in 1878 and 1888, and thereafter at ten-year intervals. The various papers and declarations of successive Lambeth Conferences have served to frame the continued Anglican debate on identity, especially as relating to the possibility of ecumenical discussion with other churches. This ecumenical aspiration became much more of a possibility, as other denominational groups rapidly followed the example of the Anglican Communion in founding their own transnational alliances: the Alliance of Reformed Churches, the Ecumenical Methodist Council, the International Congregational Council, and the Baptist World Alliance. Leaders of the Tractarian movementEdward Bouverie PuseyJohn Henry Newman Anglicanism was seen as a middle way, or via media, between two branches of Protestantism, Lutheranism and Reformed Christianity.[30] In their rejection of absolute parliamentary authority, the Tractarians, especially John Henry Newman, looked back to the writings of 17th-century Anglican divines, finding in these texts the idea of the English church as a via media between the Protestant and Catholic traditions.[87] This view was associated – especially in the writings of Edward Bouverie Pusey – with the theory of Anglicanism as one of three "branches" (alongside the Catholic Church and the Orthodox Churches) historically arising out of the common tradition of the earliest ecumenical councils. Newman himself subsequently rejected his theory of the via media, as essentially historicist and static and hence unable to accommodate any dynamic development within the church.[87] Nevertheless, the aspiration to ground Anglican identity in the writings of the 17th-century divines and in faithfulness to the traditions of the Church Fathers reflects a continuing theme of Anglican ecclesiology, most recently in the writings of Henry Robert McAdoo.[88] The Tractarian formulation of the theory of the via media between Protestantism and Catholicism was essentially a party platform, and not acceptable to Anglicans outside the confines of the Oxford Movement. However, this theory of the via media was reworked in the ecclesiological writings of Frederick Denison Maurice, in a more dynamic form that became widely influential. Both Maurice and Newman saw the Church of England of their day as sorely deficient in faith; but whereas Newman had looked back to a distant past when the light of faith might have appeared to burn brighter, Maurice looked forward to the possibility of a brighter revelation of faith in the future. Maurice saw the Protestant and Catholic strands within the Church of England as contrary but complementary, both maintaining elements of the true church, but incomplete without the other; such that a true catholic and evangelical church might come into being by a union of opposites.[89] Central to Maurice's perspective was his belief that the collective elements of family, nation, and church represented a divine order of structures through which God unfolds his continuing work of creation. Hence, for Maurice, the Protestant tradition had maintained the elements of national distinction which were amongst the marks of the true universal church, but which had been lost within contemporary Catholicism in the internationalism of centralised papal authority. Within the coming universal church that Maurice foresaw, national churches would each maintain the six signs of catholicity: baptism, Eucharist, the creeds, Scripture, an episcopal ministry, and a fixed liturgy (which could take a variety of forms in accordance with divinely ordained distinctions in national characteristics).[87] This vision of a becoming universal church as a congregation of autonomous national churches proved highly congenial in Anglican circles; and Maurice's six signs were adapted to form the Chicago-Lambeth Quadrilateral of 1888.[90] In the latter decades of the 20th century, Maurice's theory, and the various strands of Anglican thought that derived from it, have been criticised by Stephen Sykes,[91] who argues that the terms Protestant and Catholic as used in these approaches are synthetic constructs denoting ecclesiastic identities unacceptable to those to whom the labels are applied. Hence, the Catholic Church does not regard itself as a party or strand within the universal church – but rather identifies itself as the universal church. Moreover, Sykes criticises the proposition, implicit in theories of via media, that there is no distinctive body of Anglican doctrines, other than those of the universal church; accusing this of being an excuse not to undertake systematic doctrine at all.[92] Contrariwise, Sykes notes a high degree of commonality in Anglican liturgical forms and in the doctrinal understandings expressed within those liturgies. He proposes that Anglican identity might rather be found within a shared consistent pattern of prescriptive liturgies, established and maintained through canon law, and embodying both a historic deposit of formal statements of doctrine, and also framing the regular reading and proclamation of scripture.[93] Sykes nevertheless agrees with those heirs of Maurice who emphasise the incompleteness of Anglicanism as a positive feature, and quotes with qualified approval the words of Michael Ramsey: For while the Anglican church is vindicated by its place in history, with a strikingly balanced witness to Gospel and Church and sound learning, its greater vindication lies in its pointing through its own history to something of which it is a fragment. Its credentials are its incompleteness, with the tension and the travail of its soul. It is clumsy and untidy, it baffles neatness and logic. For it is not sent to commend itself as 'the best type of Christianity,' but by its very brokenness to point to the universal Church wherein all have died.[94] Main article: Anglican doctrine The distinction between Reformed and Catholic, and the coherence of the two, is a matter of debate within the Anglican Communion. The Oxford Movement of the mid-19th century revived and extended doctrinal, liturgical, and pastoral practices similar to those of Roman Catholicism. This extends beyond the ceremony of high church services to even more theologically significant territory, such as sacramental theology (see Anglican sacraments). While Anglo-Catholic practices, particularly liturgical ones, have become more common within the tradition over the last century, there are also places where practices and beliefs resonate more closely with the evangelical movements of the 1730s (see Sydney Anglicanism). Richard Hooker (1554–1600), one of the most influential figures in shaping Anglican theology and self-identity For high-church Anglicans, doctrine is neither established by a magisterium, nor derived from the theology of an eponymous founder (such as Calvinism), nor summed up in a confession of faith beyond the ecumenical creeds, such as the Lutheran Book of Concord. For them, the earliest Anglican theological documents are its prayer books, which they see as the products of profound theological reflection, compromise, and synthesis. They emphasise the Book of Common Prayer as a key expression of Anglican doctrine. The principle of looking to the prayer books as a guide to the parameters of belief and practice is called by the Latin name lex orandi, lex credendi ("the law of prayer is the law of belief"). Within the prayer books are the fundamentals of Anglican doctrine: the Apostles' and Nicene creeds, the Athanasian Creed (now rarely used), the scriptures (via the lectionary), the sacraments, daily prayer, the catechism, and apostolic succession in the context of the historic threefold ministry. For some low-church and evangelical Anglicans, the 16th-century Reformed Thirty-Nine Articles form the basis of doctrine. The Thirty-Nine Articles played a significant role in Anglican doctrine and practice. Following the passing of the 1604 canons, all Anglican clergy had to formally subscribe to the articles. Today, however, the articles are no longer binding,[95] but are seen as a historical document which has played a significant role in the shaping of Anglican identity. The degree to which each of the articles has remained influential varies. On the doctrine of justification, for example, there is a wide range of beliefs within the Anglican Communion, with some Anglo-Catholics arguing for a faith with good works and the sacraments. At the same time, however, some evangelical Anglicans ascribe to the Reformed emphasis on sola fide ("faith alone") in their doctrine of justification (see Sydney Anglicanism). Still other Anglicans adopt a nuanced view of justification, taking elements from the early Church Fathers, Catholicism, Protestantism, liberal theology, and latitudinarian thought. Arguably, the most influential of the original articles has been Article VI on the "sufficiency of scripture", which says that "Scripture containeth all things necessary to salvation: so that whatsoever is not read therein, nor may be proved thereby, is not to be required of any man, that it should be believed as an article of the Faith, or be thought requisite or necessary to salvation." This article has informed Anglican biblical exegesis and hermeneutics since earliest times. Anglicans look for authority in their "standard divines" (see below). Historically, the most influential of these – apart from Cranmer – has been the 16th-century cleric and theologian Richard Hooker, who after 1660 was increasingly portrayed as the founding father of Anglicanism. Hooker's description of Anglican authority as being derived primarily from scripture, informed by reason (the intellect and the experience of God) and tradition (the practices and beliefs of the historical church), has influenced Anglican self-identity and doctrinal reflection perhaps more powerfully than any other formula. The analogy of the "three-legged stool" of scripture, reason, and tradition is often incorrectly attributed to Hooker. Rather, Hooker's description is a hierarchy of authority, with scripture as foundational and reason and tradition as vitally important, but secondary, authorities. Finally, the extension of Anglicanism into non-English cultures, the growing diversity of prayer books, and the increasing interest in ecumenical dialogue have led to further reflection on the parameters of Anglican identity. Many Anglicans look to the Chicago-Lambeth Quadrilateral of 1888 as the sine qua non of communal identity.[96] In brief, the quadrilateral's four points are the scriptures as containing all things necessary to salvation; the creeds (specifically, the Apostles' and Nicene Creeds) as the sufficient statement of Christian faith; the dominical sacraments of Baptism and Holy Communion; and the historic episcopate.[96] See also: Paul Amyraut, John Donne, George Herbert, and William Laud Thomas Cranmer, author of the first two editions of the Book of Common Prayer Within the Anglican tradition, "divines" are clergy of the Church of England whose theological writings have been considered standards for faith, doctrine, worship, and spirituality, and whose influence has permeated the Anglican Communion in varying degrees through the years.[97] While there is no authoritative list of these Anglican divines, there are some whose names would likely be found on most lists – those who are commemorated in lesser feasts of the Anglican churches and those whose works are frequently anthologised.[98] The corpus produced by Anglican divines is diverse. What they have in common is a commitment to the faith as conveyed by scripture and the Book of Common Prayer, thus regarding prayer and theology in a manner akin to that of the Apostolic Fathers.[99] On the whole, Anglican divines view the via media of Anglicanism not as a compromise, but as "a positive position, witnessing to the universality of God and God's kingdom working through the fallible, earthly ecclesia Anglicana".[100] These theologians regard scripture as interpreted through tradition and reason as authoritative in matters concerning salvation. Reason and tradition, indeed, are extant in and presupposed by scripture, thus implying co-operation between God and humanity, God and nature, and between the sacred and secular. Faith is thus regarded as incarnational and authority as dispersed. Amongst the early Anglican divines of the 16th and 17th centuries, the names of Thomas Cranmer, John Jewel, Matthew Parker, Richard Hooker, Lancelot Andrewes, and Jeremy Taylor predominate. The influential character of Hooker's Of the Laws of Ecclesiastical Polity cannot be overestimated. Published in 1593 and subsequently, Hooker's eight-volume work is primarily a treatise on church-state relations, but it deals comprehensively with issues of biblical interpretation, soteriology, ethics, and sanctification. Throughout the work, Hooker makes clear that theology involves prayer and is concerned with ultimate issues and that theology is relevant to the social mission of the church. The 17th century saw the rise of two important movements in Anglicanism: Cambridge Platonism, with its mystical understanding of reason as the "candle of the Lord", and the Evangelical Revival, with its emphasis on the personal experience of the Holy Spirit. The Cambridge Platonist movement evolved into a school called Latitudinarianism, which emphasised reason as the barometer of discernment and took a stance of indifference towards doctrinal and ecclesiological differences. The Evangelical Revival, influenced by such figures as John Wesley and Charles Simeon, re-emphasised the importance of justification through faith and the consequent importance of personal conversion. Some in this movement, such as Wesley and George Whitefield, took the message to the United States, influencing the First Great Awakening and creating an Anglo-American movement called Methodism that would eventually break away, structurally, from the Anglican churches after the American Revolution. By the 19th century, there was a renewed interest in pre-Reformation English religious thought and practice. Theologians such as John Keble, Edward Bouverie Pusey, and John Henry Newman had widespread influence in the realm of polemics, homiletics and theological and devotional works, not least because they largely repudiated the old high-church tradition and replaced it with a dynamic appeal to antiquity which looked beyond the Reformers and Anglican formularies.[101] Their work is largely credited with the development of the Oxford Movement, which sought to reassert Catholic identity and practice in Anglicanism.[102] In contrast to this movement, clergy such as the Bishop of Liverpool, J. C. Ryle, sought to uphold the distinctly Reformed identity of the Church of England. He was not a servant of the status quo, but argued for a lively religion which emphasised grace, holy and charitable living, and the plain use of the 1662 Book of Common Prayer (interpreted in a partisan evangelical way)[d] without additional rituals. Frederick Denison Maurice, through such works as The Kingdom of Christ, played a pivotal role in inaugurating another movement, Christian socialism. In this, Maurice transformed Hooker's emphasis on the incarnational nature of Anglican spirituality to an imperative for social justice. In the 19th century, Anglican biblical scholarship began to assume a distinct character, represented by the so-called "Cambridge triumvirate" of Joseph Lightfoot, F. J. A. Hort, and Brooke Foss Westcott.[103] Their orientation is best summed up by Westcott's observation that "Life which Christ is and which Christ communicates, the life which fills our whole beings as we realise its capacities, is active fellowship with God."[104][105] The earlier part of the 20th century is marked by Charles Gore, with his emphasis on natural revelation, and William Temple's focus on Christianity and society, while, from outside England, Robert Leighton, Archbishop of Glasgow, and several clergy from the United States have been suggested, such as William Porcher DuBose, John Henry Hobart (1775–1830, Bishop of New York 1816–30), William Meade, Phillips Brooks, and Charles Brent.[106] An eastward-facing Solemn High Mass, a Catholic liturgical phenomenon which reemerged in Anglicanism following the Catholic Revival of the 19th century Churchmanship can be defined as the manifestation of theology in the realms of liturgy, piety and, to some extent, spirituality. Anglican diversity in this respect has tended to reflect the diversity in the tradition's Reformed and Catholic identity. Different individuals, groups, parishes, dioceses and provinces may identify more closely with one or the other, or some mixture of the two. The range of Anglican belief and practice became particularly divisive during the 19th century, when some clergy were disciplined and even imprisoned on charges of introducing illegal ritual while, at the same time, others were criticised for engaging in public worship services with ministers of Reformed churches. Resistance to the growing acceptance and restoration of traditional Catholic ceremonial by the mainstream of Anglicanism ultimately led to the formation of small breakaway churches such as the Free Church of England in England (1844) and the Reformed Episcopal Church in North America (1873).[107][108] Anglo-Catholic (and some broad-church) Anglicans celebrate public liturgy in ways that understand worship to be something very special and of utmost importance. Vestments are worn by the clergy, sung settings are often used, and incense may be used. Nowadays, in most Anglican churches, the Eucharist is celebrated in a manner similar to the usage of Roman Catholics and some Lutherans, though, in many churches, more traditional, "pre–Vatican II" models of worship are common (e.g., an "eastward orientation" at the altar). Whilst many Anglo-Catholics derive much of their liturgical practice from that of the pre-Reformation English church, others more closely follow traditional Roman Catholic practices. The Eucharist may sometimes be celebrated in the form known as High Mass, with a priest, deacon and subdeacon (usually actually a layman) dressed in traditional vestments, with incense and sanctus bells and prayers adapted from the Roman Missal or other sources by the celebrant. Such churches may also have forms of eucharistic adoration such as Benediction of the Blessed Sacrament. In terms of personal piety, some Anglicans may recite the Rosary and Angelus, be involved in a devotional society dedicated to "Our Lady" (the Blessed Virgin Mary) and seek the intercession of the saints. In recent decades, the prayer books of several provinces have, out of deference to a greater agreement with Eastern Conciliarism (and a perceived greater respect accorded Anglicanism by Eastern Orthodoxy than by Roman Catholicism), instituted a number of historically Eastern and Oriental Orthodox elements in their liturgies, including introduction of the Trisagion and deletion of the filioque clause from the Nicene Creed. For their part, those evangelical (and some broad-church) Anglicans who emphasise the more Protestant aspects of the Church stress the Reformation theme of salvation by grace through faith. They emphasise the two dominical sacraments of Baptism and Eucharist, viewing the other five as "lesser rites". Some evangelical Anglicans may even tend to take the inerrancy of scripture literally, adopting the view of Article VI that it contains all things necessary to salvation in an explicit sense. Worship in churches influenced by these principles tends to be significantly less elaborate, with greater emphasis on the Liturgy of the Word (the reading of the scriptures, the sermon, and the intercessory prayers). The Order for Holy Communion may be celebrated bi-weekly or monthly (in preference to the daily offices), by priests attired in choir habit, or more regular clothes, rather than Eucharistic vestments. Ceremony may be in keeping with their view of the provisions of the 17th-century Puritans – being a Reformed interpretation of the Ornaments Rubric – no candles, no incense, no bells, and a minimum of manual actions by the presiding celebrant (such as touching the elements at the Words of Institution). In the early 21st century, there has been a growth of charismatic worship among Anglicans. Both Anglo-Catholics and evangelicals have been affected by this movement such that it is not uncommon to find typically charismatic postures, music, and other themes evident during the services of otherwise Anglo-Catholic or evangelical parishes. The spectrum of Anglican beliefs and practice is too large to be fit into these labels. Many Anglicans locate themselves somewhere in the spectrum of the broad-church tradition and consider themselves an amalgam of evangelical and Catholic. Such Anglicans stress that Anglicanism is the via media (middle way) between the two major strains of Western Christianity and that Anglicanism is like a "bridge" between the two strains. Main article: Anglican sacraments In accord with its prevailing self-identity as a via media or "middle path" of Western Christianity, Anglican sacramental theology expresses elements in keeping with its status as being both a church in the Catholic tradition as well as a Reformed church. With respect to sacramental theology, the Catholic heritage is perhaps most strongly asserted in the importance Anglicanism places on the sacraments as a means of grace, sanctification, and salvation, as expressed in the church's liturgy and doctrine. Of the seven sacraments, all Anglicans recognise Baptism and the Eucharist as being directly instituted by Christ. The other five – Confession/Absolution, Matrimony, Confirmation, Holy Orders (also called Ordination), and Anointing of the Sick (also called Unction) – are regarded variously as full sacraments by Anglo-Catholics and many high church and some broad-church Anglicans, but merely as "sacramental rites" by other broad-church and low-church Anglicans, especially evangelicals associated with Reform UK and the Diocese of Sydney. Main article: Anglican eucharistic theology Anglican eucharistic theology is divergent in practice, reflecting the essential comprehensiveness of the tradition. A few low-church Anglicans take a strictly memorialist (Zwinglian) view of the sacrament. In other words, they see Holy Communion as a memorial to Christ's suffering, and participation in the Eucharist as both a re-enactment of the Last Supper and a foreshadowing of the heavenly banquet – the fulfilment of the eucharistic promise. Other low-church Anglicans believe in the real presence of Christ in the Eucharist but deny that the presence of Christ is carnal or is necessarily localised in the bread and wine. Despite explicit criticism in the Thirty-Nine Articles, many high-church or Anglo-Catholic Anglicans hold, more or less, the Catholic view of the real presence as expressed in the doctrine of transubstantiation, seeing the Eucharist as a liturgical representation of Christ's atoning sacrifice with the elements actually transformed into Christ's body and blood. The majority of Anglicans, however, have in common a belief in the real presence, defined in one way or another. To that extent, they are in the company of the continental reformer Martin Luther and Calvin rather than Ulrich Zwingli. The Catechism of the American BCP of 1976 repeats the standard Anglican view ("The outward and visible sign in the Eucharist is the bread and wine"..."The inward and spiritual grace in the Holy Communion is the Body and Blood of Christ given to his people, and received by faith") without further definition. It should be remembered that Anglicanism has no official doctrine on this matter, believing it is wiser to leave the Presence a mystery. The faithful can believe privately whatever explanation they favour, be it transubstantiation, consubstantiation, receptionism, or virtualism (the two[clarification needed] most congenial to Anglicans for centuries until the Oxford Movement), each of which espouses belief in the real presence in one way or another, or memorialism, which has never been an option with Anglicans. A famous Anglican aphorism regarding Christ's presence in the sacrament, commonly misattributed to Queen Elizabeth I, is first found in print in a poem by John Donne:[109] He was the word that spake it, He took the bread and brake it: And what that word did make it, I do believe and take it.[110] An Anglican position on the eucharistic sacrifice ("Sacrifice of the Mass") was expressed in the response Saepius officio of the archbishops of Canterbury and York to Pope Leo XIII's papal encyclical Apostolicae curae: viz. that the Prayer Book contained a strong sacrificial theology. Later revisions of the Prayer Book influenced by the Scottish Canon of 1764 first adopted by the Protestant Episcopal Church in 1789 made this assertion quite evident: "we do make and celebrate before thy Divine Majesty with these thy holy gifts, which we now offer unto thee, the memorial thy Son has commanded us to make", which is repeated in the 1929 English BCP and included in such words or others such as "present" or "show forth" in subsequent revisions. Anglican and Roman Catholic representatives declared that they had "substantial agreement on the doctrine of the Eucharist" in the Windsor Statement on Eucharistic Doctrine by the Anglican-Roman Catholic International Consultation (1971)[111] and the Elucidation of the ARCIC Windsor Statement (1979). The final response (1991) to these documents by the Vatican made it plain that it did not consider the degree of agreement reached to be satisfactory. Further information on the daily Anglican morning office: Morning Prayer (Anglican) See also: Evening Prayer (Anglican) and Prayer of Humble Access In Anglicanism there is a distinction between liturgy, which is the formal public and communal worship of the church, and personal prayer and devotion, which may be public or private. Liturgy is regulated by the prayer books and consists of the Eucharist (some call it Holy Communion or Mass), the other six sacraments, and the daily offices such as Morning Prayer and Evening Prayer. Main article: Book of Common Prayer The 1596 Book of Common Prayer The Book of Common Prayer (BCP) is the foundational prayer book of Anglicanism. The original book of 1549 (revised in 1552) was one of the instruments of the English Reformation, replacing the various "uses" or rites in Latin that had been used in different parts of the country with a single compact volume in the language of the people, so that "now from henceforth all the Realm shall have but one use". Suppressed under Queen Mary I, it was revised in 1559, and then again in 1662, after the Restoration of Charles II. This version was made mandatory in England and Wales by the Act of Uniformity and was in standard use until the mid-20th century. With British colonial expansion from the 17th century onwards, Anglican churches were planted around the globe. These churches at first used and then revised the Book of Common Prayer until they, like their parent church, produced prayer books which took into account the developments in liturgical study and practice in the 19th and 20th centuries, which come under the general heading of the Liturgical Movement. See also: Church of England parish church The 1962 and 1959 editions of the Anglican Church of Canada's Book of Common Prayer. Anglican worship services are open to all visitors. Anglican worship originates principally in the reforms of Thomas Cranmer, who aimed to create a set order of service like that of the pre-Reformation church but less complex in its seasonal variety and said in English rather than Latin. This use of a set order of service is not unlike the Catholic tradition. Traditionally, the pattern was that laid out in the Book of Common Prayer. Although many Anglican churches now use a wide range of modern service books written in the local language, the structures of the Book of Common Prayer are largely retained. Churches which call themselves Anglican will have identified themselves so because they use some form or variant of the Book of Common Prayer in the shaping of their worship. Anglican worship, however, is as diverse as Anglican theology. A contemporary "low church" service may differ little from the worship of many mainstream non-Anglican Protestant churches. The service is constructed around a sermon focused on Biblical exposition and opened with one or more Bible readings and closed by a series of prayers (both set and extemporised) and hymns or songs. A "high church" or Anglo-Catholic service, by contrast, is usually a more formal liturgy celebrated by clergy in distinctive vestments and may be almost indistinguishable from a Roman Catholic service, often resembling the "pre–Vatican II" Tridentine rite. Between these extremes are a variety of styles of worship, often involving a robed choir and the use of the organ to accompany the singing and to provide music before and after the service. Anglican churches tend to have pews or chairs, and it is usual for the congregation to kneel for some prayers but to stand for hymns and other parts of the service such as the Gloria, Collect, Gospel reading, Creed and either the Preface or all of the Eucharistic Prayer. Anglicans may genuflect or cross themselves in the same way as Roman Catholics. Church of St Mary the Virgin, Bury Other more traditional Anglicans tend to follow the 1662 Book of Common Prayer and retain the use of the King James Bible. This is typical in many Anglican cathedrals and particularly in royal peculiars such as the Savoy Chapel and the Queen's Chapel. These Anglican church services include classical music instead of songs, hymns from the New English Hymnal (usually excluding modern hymns such as "Lord of the Dance"), and are generally non-evangelical and formal in practice. Until the mid-20th century the main Sunday service was typically Morning Prayer, but the Eucharist has once again become the standard form of Sunday worship in most Anglican churches; this again is similar to Roman Catholic practice. Other common Sunday services include an early morning Eucharist without music, an abbreviated Eucharist following a service of morning prayer, and a service of Evening Prayer, often called "Evensong" when sung, usually celebrated between 3:00 and 6:00 pm. The late-evening service of Compline was revived in parish use in the early 20th century. Many Anglican churches will also have daily morning and evening prayer, and some have midweek or even daily celebration of the Eucharist. An Anglican service (whether or not a Eucharist) will include readings from the Bible that are generally taken from a standardised lectionary, which provides for much of the Bible (and some passages from the Apocrypha) to be read out loud in the church over a cycle of one, two, or three years (depending on which eucharistic and office lectionaries are used, respectively). The sermon (or homily) is typically about ten to twenty minutes in length, often comparably short to sermons in evangelical churches. Even in the most informal Anglican services, it is common for set prayers such as the weekly Collect to be read. There are also set forms for intercessory prayer, though this is now more often extemporaneous. In high and Anglo-Catholic churches there are generally prayers for the dead. Although Anglican public worship is usually ordered according to the canonically approved services, in practice many Anglican churches use forms of service outside these norms. Liberal churches may use freely structured or experimental forms of worship, including patterns borrowed from ecumenical traditions such as those of the Taizé Community or the Iona Community. Anglo-Catholic parishes might use the modern Roman Catholic liturgy of the Mass or more traditional forms, such as the Tridentine Mass (which is translated into English in the English Missal), the Anglican Missal, or, less commonly, the Sarum Rite. Catholic devotions such as the Rosary, Angelus, and Benediction of the Blessed Sacrament are also common among Anglo-Catholics. Only baptised persons are eligible to receive communion,[112] although in many churches communion is restricted to those who have not only been baptised but also confirmed. In many Anglican provinces, however, all baptised Christians are now often invited to receive communion and some dioceses have regularised a system for admitting baptised young people to communion before they are confirmed. The discipline of fasting before communion is practised by some Anglicans. Most Anglican priests require the presence of at least one other person for the celebration of the Eucharist (referring back to Christ's statement in Matthew 18:20, "When two or more are gathered in my name, I will be in the midst of them."), though some Anglo-Catholic priests (like Roman Catholic priests) may say private Masses. As in the Roman Catholic Church, it is a canonical requirement to use fermented wine for communion. Unlike in Roman Catholicism, the consecrated bread and wine are normally offered to the congregation at a eucharistic service ("communion in both kinds"). This practice is becoming more frequent in the Roman Catholic Church as well, especially through the Neocatechumenal Way. In some churches, the sacrament is reserved in a tabernacle or aumbry with a lighted candle or lamp nearby. In Anglican churches, only a priest or a bishop may be the celebrant at the Eucharist. Evensong at York Minster in York, England All Anglican prayer books contain offices for Morning Prayer (Matins) and Evening Prayer (Evensong). In the original Book of Common Prayer, these were derived from combinations of the ancient monastic offices of Matins and Lauds; and Vespers and Compline, respectively. The prayer offices have an important place in Anglican history. Prior to the Catholic revival of the 19th century, which eventually restored the Eucharist as the principal Sunday liturgy, and especially during the 18th century, a morning service combining Matins, the Litany, and ante-Communion comprised the usual expression of common worship, while Matins and Evensong were sung daily in cathedrals and some collegiate chapels. This nurtured a tradition of distinctive Anglican chant applied to the canticles and psalms used at the offices (although plainsong is often used as well). In some official and many unofficial Anglican service books, these offices are supplemented by other offices such as the Little Hours of Prime and prayer during the day such as (Terce, Sext, None, and Compline). Some Anglican monastic communities have a Daily Office based on that of the Book of Common Prayer but with additional antiphons and canticles, etc., for specific days of the week, specific psalms, etc. See, for example, Order of the Holy Cross[113] and Order of St Helena, editors, A Monastic Breviary (Wilton, Conn.: Morehouse-Barlow, 1976). The All Saints Sisters of the Poor,[114] with convents in Catonsville, Maryland, and elsewhere, use an elaborated version of the Anglican Daily Office. The Society of St. Francis publishes Celebrating Common Prayer, which has become especially popular for use among Anglicans. In England, the United States, Canada, Australia, New Zealand, and some other Anglican provinces, the modern prayer books contain four offices: Morning Prayer, corresponding to Matins, Lauds and Prime; Prayer During the Day, roughly corresponding to the combination of Terce, Sext, and None (Noonday Prayer in the USA); Evening Prayer, corresponding to Vespers (and Compline); Compline. In addition, most prayer books include a section of prayers and devotions for family use. In the US, these offices are further supplemented by an "Order of Worship for the Evening", a prelude to or an abbreviated form of Evensong, partly derived from Orthodox prayers. In the United Kingdom, the publication of Daily Prayer, the third volume of Common Worship, was published in 2005. It retains the services for Morning and Evening Prayer and Compline and includes a section entitled "Prayer during the Day". A New Zealand Prayer Book of 1989 provides different outlines for Matins and Evensong on each day of the week, as well as "Midday Prayer", "Night Prayer" and "Family Prayer". Some Anglicans who pray the office on daily basis use the present Divine Office of the Roman Catholic Church. In many cities, especially in England, Anglican and Roman Catholic priests and lay people often meet several times a week to pray the office in common. A small but enthusiastic minority use the Anglican Breviary, or other translations and adaptations of the pre–Vatican II Roman Rite and Sarum Rite, along with supplemental material from cognate western sources, to provide such things as a common of Octaves, a common of Holy Women, and other additional material. Others may privately use idiosyncratic forms borrowed from a wide range of Christian traditions. Main article: Anglican church music In the late medieval period, many English cathedrals and monasteries had established small choirs of trained lay clerks and boy choristers to perform polyphonic settings of the Mass in their Lady chapels. Although these "Lady Masses" were discontinued at the Reformation, the associated musical tradition was maintained in the Elizabethan Settlement through the establishment of choral foundations for daily singing of the Divine Office by expanded choirs of men and boys. This resulted from an explicit addition by Elizabeth herself to the injunctions accompanying the 1559 Book of Common Prayer (that had itself made no mention of choral worship) by which existing choral foundations and choir schools were instructed to be continued, and their endowments secured. Consequently, some thirty-four cathedrals, collegiate churches, and royal chapels maintained paid establishments of lay singing men and choristers in the late 16th century.[115] All save four of these have – with interruptions during the Commonwealth and the COVID-19 pandemic – continued daily choral prayer and praise to this day. In the Offices of Matins and Evensong in the 1662 Book of Common Prayer, these choral establishments are specified as "Quires and Places where they sing". For nearly three centuries, this round of daily professional choral worship represented a tradition entirely distinct from that embodied in the intoning of Parish Clerks, and the singing of "west gallery choirs" which commonly accompanied weekly worship in English parish churches. In 1841, the rebuilt Leeds Parish Church established a surpliced choir to accompany parish services, drawing explicitly on the musical traditions of the ancient choral foundations. Over the next century, the Leeds example proved immensely popular and influential for choirs in cathedrals, parish churches, and schools throughout the Anglican communion.[116] More or less extensively adapted, this choral tradition also became the direct inspiration for robed choirs leading congregational worship in a wide range of Christian denominations. In 1719, the cathedral choirs of Gloucester, Hereford, and Worcester combined to establish the annual Three Choirs Festival, the precursor for the multitude of summer music festivals since. By the 20th century, the choral tradition had become for many the most accessible face of worldwide Anglicanism – especially as promoted through the regular broadcasting of choral evensong by the BBC; and also in the annual televising of the festival of Nine Lessons and Carols from King's College, Cambridge. Composers closely concerned with this tradition include Edward Elgar, Ralph Vaughan Williams, Gustav Holst, Charles Villiers Stanford, and Benjamin Britten. A number of important 20th-century works by non-Anglican composers were originally commissioned for the Anglican choral tradition – for example, the Chichester Psalms of Leonard Bernstein and the Nunc dimittis of Arvo Pärt. Main article: Anglican Communion Anglican Communion Part of a series on Anglicanism Organisation Archbishop of Canterbury Vacant Anglican Communion history Anglican Communion Primates' Meetings (primates) Lambeth Conference (2022) Anglican Consultative Council Bishops Episcopal polity Theology Christian theology Father Son Holy Spirit Doctrine Thirty-nine Articles Books of Homilies Caroline Divines Chicago-Lambeth Quadrilateral Sacraments Mary Saints Ecumenism Ordination of women Homosexuality Windsor Report Liturgy and worship Book of Common Prayer Morning / Evening Prayer Eucharist Liturgical year Biblical Canon Churchmanship High Low Central Broad

Other topics Monasticism Music Saint Thomas Anglicans Christian prayer Christian art Christianity portalvteContrary to popular misconception, the British monarch is not the constitutional "head" of the Church of England but is, in law, the church's "supreme governor", nor does the monarch have any role in provinces outside England. The role of the crown in the Church of England is practically limited to the appointment of bishops, including the archbishop of Canterbury, and even this role is limited, as the church presents the government with a short list of candidates from which to choose. This process is accomplished through collaboration with and consent of ecclesial representatives (see Ecclesiastical Commissioners). Although the monarch has no constitutional role in Anglican churches in other parts of the world, the prayer books of several countries where the monarch is head of state contain prayers for him or her as sovereign. A characteristic of Anglicanism is that it has no international juridical authority. All forty-two provinces of the Anglican Communion are autonomous, each with their own primate and governing structure. These provinces may take the form of national churches (such as in Canada, Uganda or Japan) or a collection of nations (such as the West Indies, Central Africa or South Asia), or geographical regions (such as Vanuatu and Solomon Islands) etc. Within these provinces there may exist subdivisions, called ecclesiastical provinces, under the jurisdiction of a metropolitan archbishop. All provinces of the Anglican Communion consist of dioceses, each under the jurisdiction of a bishop. In the Anglican tradition, bishops must be consecrated according to the strictures of apostolic succession, which Anglicans consider one of the marks of catholicity. Apart from bishops, there are two other orders of ordained ministry: deacon and priest. No requirement is made for clerical celibacy, though many Anglo-Catholic priests have traditionally been bachelors. Because of innovations that occurred at various points after the latter half of the 20th century, women may be ordained as deacons in almost all provinces, as priests in most and as bishops in many. Anglican religious orders and communities, suppressed in England during the Reformation, have re-emerged, especially since the mid-19th century, and now have an international presence and influence. Government in the Anglican Communion is synodical, consisting of three houses of laity (usually elected parish representatives), clergy and bishops. National, provincial and diocesan synods maintain different scopes of authority, depending on their canons and constitutions. Anglicanism is not congregational in its polity: it is the diocese, not the parish church, which is the smallest unit of authority in the church. (See Episcopal polity). The coat of arms of the episcopal see of Canterbury The archbishop of Canterbury has a precedence of honour over the other primates of the Anglican Communion, and for a province to be considered a part of the communion means specifically to be in full communion with the see of Canterbury – though this principle is currently subject to considerable debate, especially among those in the so-called Global South, including American Anglicans.[117] The archbishop is, therefore, recognised as primus inter pares ("first amongst equals"), even though he does not exercise any direct authority in any province outside England, of which he is chief primate.[118][119] Rowan Williams, the archbishop of Canterbury from 2002 to 2012, was the first archbishop appointed from outside the Church of England since the Reformation: he was formerly the archbishop of Wales. As "spiritual head" of the communion, the archbishop of Canterbury maintains a certain moral authority and has the right to determine which churches will be in communion with his see. He hosts and chairs the Lambeth Conferences of Anglican Communion bishops and decides who will be invited to them. He also hosts and chairs the Anglican Communion Primates' Meeting and is responsible for the invitations to it. He acts as president of the secretariat of the Anglican Communion Office and its deliberative body, the Anglican Consultative Council. The Anglican Communion has no international juridical organisation. All international bodies are consultative and collaborative, and their resolutions are not legally binding on the autonomous provinces of the communion. There are three international bodies of note. The Lambeth Conference is the oldest international consultation. It was first convened by Archbishop Charles Longley in 1867 as a vehicle for bishops of the communion to "discuss matters of practical interest, and pronounce what we deem expedient in resolutions which may serve as safe guides to future action". Since then, it has been held roughly every ten years. Invitation is by the archbishop of Canterbury. The Anglican Consultative Council was created by a 1968 Lambeth Conference resolution and meets biennially. The council consists of representative bishops, clergy and laity chosen by the forty-two provinces. The body has a permanent secretariat, the Anglican Communion Office, of which the archbishop of Canterbury is president. The Anglican Communion Primates' Meeting is the most recent manifestation of international consultation and deliberation, having been first convened by Archbishop Donald Coggan in 1978 as a forum for "leisurely thought, prayer and deep consultation".[120] Further information on the Anglican priesthood: Anglican ministry Like the Roman Catholic Church and the Orthodox churches, the Anglican Communion maintains the threefold ministry of deacons, presbyters (usually called "priests"), and bishops. The Chair of St Augustine (the episcopal throne in Canterbury Cathedral, Kent), seat of the archbishop of Canterbury in his role as head of the Anglican Communion[e] Main article: Bishop Bishops, who possess the fullness of Christian priesthood, are the successors of the apostles. Primates, archbishops, and metropolitans are all bishops and members of the historical episcopate who derive their authority through apostolic succession – an unbroken line of bishops that can be traced back to the 12 apostles of Jesus. Bishops are assisted by priests and deacons. Most ordained ministers in the Anglican Communion are priests, who usually work in parishes within a diocese. Priests are in charge of the spiritual life of parishes and are usually called the rector or vicar. A curate (or, more correctly, an "assistant curate") is a priest or deacon who assists the parish priest. Non-parochial priests may earn their living by any vocation, although employment by educational institutions or charitable organisations is most common. Priests also serve as chaplains of hospitals, schools, prisons, and in the armed forces. An archdeacon is a priest or deacon responsible for administration of an archdeaconry, which is often the name given to the principal subdivisions of a diocese. An archdeacon represents the diocesan bishop in his or her archdeaconry. In the Church of England, the position of archdeacon can only be held by someone in priestly orders who has been ordained for at least six years. In some other parts of the Anglican Communion, the position can also be held by deacons. In parts of the Anglican Communion where women cannot be ordained as priests or bishops but can be ordained as deacons, the position of archdeacon is effectively the most senior office to which an ordained woman can be appointed. A dean is a priest who is the principal cleric of a cathedral or other collegiate church and the head of the chapter of canons. If the cathedral or collegiate church has its own parish, the dean is usually also rector of the parish. However, in the Church of Ireland, the roles are often separated, and most cathedrals in the Church of England do not have associated parishes. In the Church in Wales, however, most cathedrals are parish churches and their deans are now also vicars of their parishes. The Anglican Communion recognises Roman Catholic and Eastern Orthodox ordinations as valid. Outside the Anglican Communion, Anglican ordinations (at least of male priests) are recognised by the Old Catholic Church, Porvoo Communion Lutherans, and various Independent Catholic churches. Main article: Deacon In Anglican churches, deacons often work directly in ministry to the marginalised inside and outside the church: the poor, the sick, the hungry, the imprisoned. Unlike Orthodox and most Roman Catholic deacons who may be married only before ordination, deacons are permitted to marry freely both before and after ordination, as are priests. Most deacons are preparing for priesthood and usually only remain as deacons for about a year before being ordained priests. However, there are some deacons who remain so. Many provinces of the Anglican Communion ordain both men and women as deacons. Many of those provinces that ordain women to the priesthood previously allowed them to be ordained only to the diaconate. The effect of this was the creation of a large and overwhelmingly female diaconate for a time, as most men proceeded to be ordained priest after a short time as a deacon. Deacons, in some dioceses, can be granted licences to solemnise matrimony, usually under the instruction of their parish priest and bishop. They sometimes officiate at Benediction of the Blessed Sacrament in churches which have this service. Deacons are not permitted to preside at the Eucharist (but can lead worship with the distribution of already consecrated communion where this is permitted),[121] absolve sins, or pronounce a blessing.[122] It is the prohibition against deacons pronouncing blessings that leads some to believe that deacons cannot solemnise matrimony. All baptised members of the church are called Christian faithful, truly equal in dignity and in the work to build the church. Some non-ordained people also have a formal public ministry, often on a full-time and long-term basis – such as lay readers (also known as readers), churchwardens, vergers, and sextons. Other lay positions include acolytes (male or female, often children), lay eucharistic ministers (also known as chalice bearers), and lay eucharistic visitors (who deliver consecrated bread and wine to "shut-ins" or members of the parish who are unable to leave home or hospital to attend the Eucharist). Lay people also serve on the parish altar guild (preparing the altar and caring for its candles, linens, flowers, etc.), in the choir and as cantors, as ushers and greeters, and on the church council (called the "vestry" in some countries), which is the governing body of a parish. See also: Anglican religious order and Anglican devotions A small yet influential aspect of Anglicanism is its religious orders and communities. Shortly after the beginning of the Catholic Revival in the Church of England, there was a renewal of interest in re-establishing religious and monastic orders and communities. One of Henry VIII's earliest acts was their dissolution and seizure of their assets. In 1841, Marian Rebecca Hughes became the first woman to take the vows of religion in communion with the Province of Canterbury since the Reformation. In 1848, Priscilla Lydia Sellon became the superior of the Society of the Most Holy Trinity at Devonport, Plymouth, the first organised religious order. Sellon is called "the restorer, after three centuries, of the religious life in the Church of England".[123] For the next one hundred years, religious orders for both men and women proliferated throughout the world, becoming a numerically small but disproportionately influential feature of global Anglicanism. Anglican religious life at one time boasted hundreds of orders and communities, and thousands of religious. An important aspect of Anglican religious life is that most communities of both men and women lived their lives consecrated to God under the vows of poverty, chastity, and obedience, or, in Benedictine communities, Stability, Conversion of Life, and Obedience, by practising a mixed life of reciting the full eight services of the Breviary in choir, along with a daily Eucharist, plus service to the poor. The mixed life, combining aspects of the contemplative orders and the active orders, remains to this day a hallmark of Anglican religious life. Another distinctive feature of Anglican religious life is the existence of some mixed-gender communities. Since the 1960s, there has been a sharp decline in the number of professed religious in most parts of the Anglican Communion, especially in North America, Europe, and Australia. Many once large and international communities have been reduced to a single convent or monastery with memberships of elderly men or women. In the last few decades of the 20th century, novices have for most communities been few and far between. Some orders and communities have already become extinct. There are, however, still thousands of Anglican religious working today in approximately 200 communities around the world, and religious life in many parts of the Communion – especially in developing nations – flourishes. The most significant growth has been in the Melanesian countries of the Solomon Islands, Vanuatu, and Papua New Guinea. The Melanesian Brotherhood, founded at Tabalia, Guadalcanal, in 1925 by Ini Kopuria, is now the largest Anglican Community in the world, with over 450 brothers in the Solomon Islands, Vanuatu, Papua New Guinea, the Philippines, and the United Kingdom. The Sisters of the Church, started by Mother Emily Ayckbowm in England in 1870, has more sisters in the Solomons than all their other communities. The Community of the Sisters of Melanesia, started in 1980 by Sister Nesta Tiboe, is a growing community of women in the Solomon Islands. The Society of Saint Francis, founded as a union of various Franciscan orders in the 1920s, has experienced great growth in the Solomon Islands. Other communities of religious have been started by Anglicans in Papua New Guinea and in Vanuatu. Most Melanesian Anglican religious are in their early to mid-20s. Vows may be temporary, and it is generally assumed that brothers, at least, will leave and marry in due course, making the average age 40 to 50 years younger than their brothers and sisters in other countries. Growth of religious orders, especially for women, is marked in certain parts of Africa. A world map showing the provinces of the Anglican Communion (blue). Shown are the Churches in full communion with the Anglican Church: The Nordic Lutheran churches of the Porvoo Communion (green), and the Old Catholic Churches in the Utrecht Union (red). Anglicanism represents the third largest Christian communion in the world, after the Roman Catholic Church and the Eastern Orthodox Church.[6] The number of Anglicans in the world is over 85 million as of 2011[update].[124] The 11 provinces in Africa saw growth in the last two decades. They now include 36.7 million members, more Anglicans than there are in England. England remains the largest single Anglican province, with 26 million members. In most industrialised countries, church attendance has decreased since the 19th century. Anglicanism's presence in the rest of the world is due to large-scale emigration, the establishment of expatriate communities, or the work of missionaries. The Church of England has been a church of missionaries since the 17th century, when the Church first left English shores with colonists who founded what would become the United States, Australia, Canada, New Zealand, and South Africa, and established Anglican churches. For example, an Anglican chaplain, Robert Wolfall, with Martin Frobisher's Arctic expedition, celebrated the Eucharist in 1578 in Frobisher Bay. The first Anglican church in the Americas was built at Jamestown, Virginia, in 1607. By the 18th century, missionaries worked to establish Anglican churches in Asia, Africa, and Latin America. The great Church of England missionary societies were founded; for example, the Society for Promoting Christian Knowledge (SPCK) in 1698, the Society for the Propagation of the Gospel in Foreign Parts (SPG) in 1701, and the Church Mission Society (CMS) in 1799. In the 19th century, social-oriented evangelism with societies were founded and developed, including the Church Pastoral Aid Society (CPAS) in 1836, Mission to Seafarers in 1856, Girls' Friendly Society (GFS) in 1875, Mothers' Union in 1876, and Church Army in 1882, all carrying out a personal form of evangelism. In the 20th century, the Church of England developed new forms of evangelism, including the Alpha course in 1990, which was developed and propagated from Holy Trinity Brompton Church in London. In the 21st century, there has been renewed effort to reach children and youth. Fresh expressions is a Church of England missionary initiative to youth begun in 2005, and has ministries at a skate park[125] through the efforts of St George's Church, Benfleet, Essex, the Diocese of Chelmsford, or youth groups with evocative names, like the C.L.A.W (Christ Little Angels – Whatever!) youth group at Coventry Cathedral. For those who prefer not to actually visit a brick and mortar church, there are Internet ministries, such as the Diocese of Oxford's online Anglican i-Church, which was founded on the web in 2005. Further information on the ongoing dialogue between Anglicanism and the wider Church: Anglican communion and ecumenism Anglican interest in ecumenical dialogue can be traced back to the time of the Reformation and dialogues with both Orthodox and Lutheran churches in the 16th century. In the 19th century, with the rise of the Oxford Movement, there arose greater concern for reunion of the churches of "Catholic confession". This desire to work towards full communion with other denominations led to the development of the Chicago-Lambeth Quadrilateral, approved by the third Lambeth Conference of 1888. The four points (the sufficiency of scripture, the historic creeds, the two dominical sacraments, and the historic episcopate) were proposed as a basis for discussion, although they have frequently been taken as a non-negotiable bottom-line for any form of reunion. The high altar at Lichfield Cathedral Anglicanism in general has always sought a balance between the emphases of Catholicism and Protestantism, while tolerating a range of expressions of evangelicalism and ceremony. Clergy and laity from all Anglican churchmanship traditions have been active in the formation of the Continuing movement. While there are high church, broad-church and low-church Continuing Anglicans, many Continuing churches are Anglo-Catholic with highly ceremonial liturgical practices. Others belong to a more evangelical or low-church tradition and tend to support the Thirty-nine Articles and simpler worship services. Morning Prayer, for instance, is often used instead of the Holy Eucharist for Sunday worship services, although this is not necessarily true of all low-church parishes. Most Continuing churches in the United States reject the 1979 revision of the Book of Common Prayer by the Episcopal Church and use the 1928 version for their services instead. In addition, Anglo-Catholic bodies may use the Anglican Missal, Anglican Service Book or English Missal when celebrating Mass. A changing focus on social issues after the World War II led to Lambeth Conference resolutions countenancing contraception and the remarriage of divorced persons. Eventually, most provinces approved the ordination of women. In more recent years, some jurisdictions have permitted the ordination of people in same-sex relationships and authorised rites for the blessing of same-sex unions (see Homosexuality and Anglicanism). "The more liberal provinces that are open to changing Church doctrine on marriage in order to allow for same-sex unions include Brazil, Canada, New Zealand, Scotland, South India, South Africa, the US and Wales",[126][127] while the more conservative provinces are primarily located in the Global South. The lack of social consensus among and within provinces of diverse cultural traditions has resulted in considerable conflict and even schism concerning some or all of these developments, as was the case in the Anglican realignment. More conservative elements within and outside of Anglicanism (primarily African churches and factions within North American Anglicanism) have opposed these changes,[128] while some liberal and moderate Anglicans see this opposition as representing a new fundamentalism within Anglicanism and "believe a split is inevitable and preferable to continued infighting and paralysis."[129] Some Anglicans opposed to various liberalising changes, in particular the ordination of women, have become Roman Catholics or Orthodox. Others have, at various times, joined the Continuing Anglican movement or departed for non-Anglican evangelical churches. Main article: Continuing Anglican movement The term "Continuing Anglicanism" refers to a number of church bodies which have formed outside of the Anglican Communion in the belief that traditional forms of Anglican faith, worship, and order have been unacceptably revised or abandoned within some Anglican Communion churches in recent decades. They therefore claim that they are "continuing" traditional Anglicanism. The modern Continuing Anglican movement principally dates to the Congress of St. Louis, held in the United States in 1977, where participants rejected changes that had been made in the Episcopal Church's Book of Common Prayer and also the Episcopal Church's approval of the ordination of women to the priesthood. More recent changes in the North American churches of the Anglican Communion, such as the introduction of same-sex marriage rites and the ordination of gay and lesbian people to the priesthood and episcopate, have created further separations. Continuing churches have generally been formed by people who have left the Anglican Communion. The original Anglican churches are charged by the Continuing Anglicans with being greatly compromised by secular cultural standards and liberal theology. Many Continuing Anglicans believe that the faith of some churches in communion with the archbishop of Canterbury has become unorthodox and therefore have not sought to also be in communion with him. The original continuing parishes in the United States were found mainly in metropolitan areas. Since the late 1990s, a number have appeared in smaller communities, often as a result of a division in the town's existing Episcopal churches. The 2007–08 Directory of Traditional Anglican and Episcopal Parishes, published by the Fellowship of Concerned Churchmen, contained information on over 900 parishes affiliated with either the Continuing Anglican churches or the Anglican realignment movement, a more recent wave of Anglicans withdrawing from the Anglican Communion's North American provinces. A concern for social justice can be traced to very early Anglican beliefs, relating to an intertwined theology of God, nature, and humanity. The Anglican theologian Richard Hooker wrote in his book The Works of that Learned and Judicious Divine that "God hath created nothing simply for itself, but each thing in all things, and of every thing each part in other have such interest, that in the whole world nothing is found whereunto any thing created can say, 'I need thee not.'"[130] Such statements demonstrate a theological Anglican interest in social activism, which has historically appeared in movements such as evangelical Anglican William Wilberforce’s campaign against slavery in the 18th century, or 19th century issues concerning industrialisation.[131] Part of a series onToryism Principles Agrarianism Classicism Counterrevolution High Church Anglicanism High culture Interventionism Loyalism Monarchism Noblesse oblige Royalism Traditionalism Unionism Variants Blue High Pink Red Socialist General topics Cavaliers Cavalier Parliament Château Clique Conservative corporatism Divine right of kings Family Compact Jacobitism Oxford Movement Powellism Intellectuals Chesterton Bolingbroke Filmer Grant Johnson L'Estrange Scott Politicians Baldwin Bolingbroke Bute Churchill Clarendon Powell Rochester Wellington Related topics Carlism Chouans Cristeros Conservatism Distributism Legitimism Loyalism Miguelism Reactionism Spanish American royalism Sanfedismo Traditionalist conservatism Ultra-Tories Vendéens Viva Maria Veronese Easter Conservatism portal Politics portalvte Lord Shaftesbury, a devout evangelical, campaigned to improve the conditions in factories, in mines, for chimney sweeps, and for the education of the very poor. For years, he was chairman of the Ragged School Board.[132] Frederick Denison Maurice was a leading figure advocating reform, founding so-called "producer's co-operatives" and the Working Men's College. His work was instrumental in the establishment of the Christian socialist movement, although he himself was not in any real sense a socialist but "a Tory paternalist with the unusual desire to theorize his acceptance of the traditional obligation to help the poor",[133] influenced Anglo-Catholics such as Charles Gore, who wrote that "the principle of the incarnation is denied unless the Christian spirit can be allowed to concern itself with everything that interests and touches human life." Anglican focus on labour issues culminated in the work of William Temple in the 1930s and 1940s."[131] A question of whether or not Christianity is a pacifist religion has remained a matter of debate for Anglicans. The leading Anglican spokesman for pacifist ideas, from 1914 to 1945, was Ernest Barnes, bishop of Birmingham from 1924 to 1953. He opposed both world wars.[134] In 1937, the Anglican Pacifist Fellowship emerged as a distinct reform organisation, seeking to make pacifism a clearly defined part of Anglican theology. The group rapidly gained popularity amongst Anglican intellectuals, including Vera Brittain, Evelyn Underhill, and the former British political leader George Lansbury. Furthermore, Dick Sheppard, who during the 1930s was one of Britain's most famous Anglican priests due to his landmark sermon broadcasts for BBC Radio, founded the Peace Pledge Union, a secular pacifist organisation for the non-religious that gained considerable support throughout the 1930s.[135] Whilst never actively endorsed by Anglican churches, many Anglicans unofficially have adopted the Augustinian "Just War" doctrine.[136][137] The Anglican Pacifist Fellowship remains highly active throughout the Anglican world. It rejects this doctrine of "just war" and seeks to reform the Church by reintroducing the pacifism inherent in the beliefs of many of the earliest Christians and present in their interpretation of Christ's Sermon on the Mount. The principles of the Anglican Pacifist Fellowship are often formulated as a statement of belief that "Jesus' teaching is incompatible with the waging of war ... that a Christian church should never support or justify war ... [and] that our Christian witness should include opposing the waging or justifying of war."[138] Confusing the matter was that the 37th Article of Religion in the Book of Common Prayer states that "it is lawful for Christian men, at the commandment of the Magistrate, to wear weapons, and serve in the wars." Therefore, the Lambeth Council in the modern era has sought to provide a clearer position by repudiating modern war and developed a statement that has been affirmed at each subsequent meeting of the council. This statement was strongly reasserted when "the 67th General Convention of the Episcopal Church reaffirms the statement made by the Anglican Bishops assembled at Lambeth in 1978 and adopted by the 66th General Convention of the Episcopal Church in 1979, calling "Christian people everywhere ... to engage themselves in non-violent action for justice and peace and to support others so engaged, recognising that such action will be controversial and may be personally very costly... this General Convention, in obedience to this call, urges all members of this Church to support by prayer and by such other means as they deem appropriate, those who engaged in such non-violent action, and particularly those who suffer for conscience' sake as a result; and be it further Resolved, that this General Convention calls upon all members of this Church seriously to consider the implications for their own lives of this call to resist war and work for peace for their own lives." Bishop Desmond Tutu making a speech in Los Angeles, 1986 The focus on other social issues became increasingly diffuse after World War II. The growing independence and strength of Anglican churches in the Global South brought new emphasis to issues of global poverty, the inequitable distribution of resources, and the lingering effects of colonialism. In this regard, figures such as Desmond Tutu and Ted Scott were instrumental in mobilising Anglicans worldwide against the apartheid policies of South Africa. While individual Anglicans and member churches within the Communion differ in practice over the circumstances in which abortion should or should not be permitted, Lambeth Conference resolutions have consistently held to a conservative view on the issue. The 1930 Conference, the first to be held since the initial legalisation of abortion in Europe (in Russia in 1920), stated:[139] "The Conference further records its abhorrence of the sinful practice of abortion." The 1958 Conference's Family in Contemporary Society report affirmed the following position on abortion[140] and was commended by the 1968 Conference:[141] In the strongest terms Christians reject the practice of induced abortion or infanticide, which involves the killing of a life already conceived (as well as a violation of the personality of the mother), save at the dictate of strict and undeniable medical necessity ... the sacredness of life is, in Christian eyes, an absolute which should not be violated. The subsequent Lambeth Conference, in 1978, made no change to this position and commended the need for "programmes at diocesan level, involving both men and women ... to emphasise the sacredness of all human life, the moral issues inherent in clinical abortion, and the possible implications of genetic engineering."[142] In the context of debates around and proposals for the legalisation of euthanasia and assisted suicide, the 1998 Conference affirmed that "life is God-given and has intrinsic sanctity, significance and worth".[143] On 4 November 2009, Pope Benedict XVI issued an apostolic constitution, Anglicanorum Coetibus, to allow groups of former Anglicans to enter into full communion with the Roman Catholic Church as members of personal ordinariates.[144] 20 October 2009 announcement of the imminent constitution mentioned: Today's announcement of the Apostolic Constitution is a response by Pope Benedict XVI to a number of requests over the past few years to the Holy See from groups of Anglicans who wish to enter into full visible communion with the Roman Catholic Church, and are willing to declare that they share a common Catholic faith and accept the Petrine ministry as willed by Christ for his Church. Pope Benedict XVI approved, within the apostolic constitution, a canonical structure that provides for personal ordinariates which will allow former Anglicans to enter full communion with the Roman Catholic Church while preserving elements of distinctive Anglican spiritual patrimony. For each personal ordinariate, the ordinary may be a former Anglican bishop or priest. It was expected that provision would be made to allow the retention of aspects of Anglican liturgy.[145]

^ According to John Godfrey, The most famous and beautiful legend of all related to the conversion of Britain is of course that of Joseph of Arimathea, who is said to have arrived in Britain with twelve companions in the year 63 at the bidding of the apostle Philip. According to this legend, Joseph brought with him the Holy Grail and built, at Glastonbury, the first British church.[35] ^ John Carey writes that 'Celtic Christianity' is a phrase used, with varying degrees of specificity, to designate a complex of features held to have been common to the Celtic-speaking countries in the early Middle Ages. Doubts concerning the term's usefulness have repeatedly been expressed, however, and the majority of scholars consider it to be problematic ... While there is considerable evidence for divergent Irish and (to an even greater degree) British practice in matters of liturgy, baptism, and ecclesiastical administration, the usages in question seem only to have characterized specific regions, and not necessarily to have been uniformly present there. Only the Britons were accused of practising a heterodox baptism; traces of an archaic liturgy in Wales find no counterpart in the eclectic, but largely Gallican, worship attested from Ireland; and the superiority of abbots to bishops appears to have been limited to some parts of Gaelic sphere of influence.[40] In The Celtic Resource Book, Martin Wallace writes that it is important to remember that there was never any such thing as 'The Celtic Church'. It was never an organized system in the way that we understand churches today. Rather, each Celtic church was highly independent and if there was a relationship between any of them the relationship tended to be one of spiritual support through missionary endeavour, rather than through any particular church structure. It is also important to remember that the Celtic church life as it emerged in fifth-century Ireland would be quite different to that which emerged in nineteenth century Hebridean communities. Even on the mainland the patterns of church life would vary considerably from one place to another, and from one age to another.[41] ^ For a study stressing the hegemony of continental Calvinism before the 1620s, see Tyacke 1987. For a study perceiving an emerging self-conscious "Prayer Book Episcopalism" distinct from, but a predecessor to, Restoration Anglicanism, see Maltby 1998. ^ The 19th-century evangelical interpretation of the Prayerbook, now less frequent, included celebration of Holy Communion while the priest was standing at the northern short side of the communion table. This misinterpretation was caused by the fact that the 1662 Book of Common Prayer retained two contradictory rubrics. From 1552 a rubric was retained that the priest should stand at the northern long side of a communion table standing east-west in the choir (the communicants sitting in the choir stalls by the northern and southern walls). From 1559 was retained the rubric that 'the chancels shall remain as they have done in times past', originally intended to protect the mediaeval interior of church buildings from Calvinist vandalism, and – mainly neglected during the reigns of Elizabeth I and James I – it was not consented to generally before the reign of Charles II. During the reign of Elizabeth I, only the chapels royal retained the mediaeval position of the communion table, standing permanently north-south at the east wall of the choir. The parish of St. Giles Cripplegate, London, began to apply the Chapels Royal arrangement of the communion table in 1599 or 1605, and from there it began to spread. Archbishop William Laud's attempt to make it mandatory in the 1630s backfired, with well known consequences. By the reign of Charles II, however, it was applied generally, and the original intention of the northward position rubric became unintelligible, and easily misunderstood. ^ The Chair of St Augustine is the seat of the archbishop of Canterbury in his role as head of the Anglican Communion. Archbishops of Canterbury are enthroned twice: firstly as diocesan ordinary (and metropolitan and primate of the Church of England) in the archbishop's throne, by the archdeacon of Canterbury; and secondly as leader of the worldwide church in the Chair of St Augustine by the senior (by length of service) archbishop of the Anglican Communion. The stone chair is therefore of symbolic significance throughout Anglicanism. ^ "episcopalianism". Oxford Reference. Retrieved 9 April 2025. ^ "Collins English Dictionary | Always Free Online". www.collinsdictionary.com. Archived from the original on 31 August 2014. Retrieved 9 April 2025. ^ a b "What it means to be an Anglican". Church of England. Archived from the original on 30 August 2011. Retrieved 16 March 2009. ^ a b The Churchman. Oxford University Press. 1881. p. 427. The Roman Church, and those of the Continent, calculated the occurrence of the Easter festival by a new and more accurate method. The Irish and British Churches calculated by an old and defective rule, which they considered had been transmitted to them from St. John. The difference was sometimes so much as a whole month between the Celtic and the Catholic Easter. When the two Churches came into contact, as they did in the North of England, this discrepancy gave rise to scandal and controversy. ^ "The Anglican Communion official website – homepage". Archived from the original on 19 March 2009. Retrieved 16 March 2009. ^ a b Worsley 2015. ^ World Christian Trends Ad30-ad2200 (hb). William Carey Library. 2001. p. 272. ISBN 978-0-87808-608-5. Archived from the original on 21 February 2024. Retrieved 22 May 2021. Total of all Anglicans on broader definition 109,546,970 ^ "Anglicanismo". Igreja Anglicana Reformada do Brasil (in Brazilian Portuguese). Archived from the original on 2 January 2020. Retrieved 2 January 2020. ^ Elgot, Jessica (16 September 2015). "What is the Anglican communion and why is it under threat?". The Guardian. ISSN 0261-3077. Retrieved 13 May 2025. ^ "What Is the Church of England, and Who Are the Anglicans?". NCR. 13 November 2024. Retrieved 13 May 2025. ^ "Westminster seminar explores 'conflict and reconciliation' in the Anglican Communion". Episcopal News Service. 13 December 2019. Retrieved 13 May 2025. ^ Grayson Quay (9 August 2022). "Have Anglicans reached a compromise on same-sex marriage?". The Week. Retrieved 13 May 2025. ^ Office, Anglican Communion. "At the UN". Anglican Communion Website. Retrieved 13 May 2025. ^ Goodstein, Laurie (20 June 2008). "Rival Conferences for Anglican Church". The New York Times. ISSN 0362-4331. Retrieved 13 May 2025. ^ "Archbishop calls talks over divisions in Anglican communion". BBC News. 16 September 2015. Retrieved 13 May 2025. ^ Goodhew, David (22 February 2022). "Is Anglicanism Growing or Dying? New Data". The Living Church. Retrieved 6 July 2025. ^ Zurlo, Gina A. (2022). Global Christianity: a guide to the world's largest religion from Afghanistan to Zimbabwe. Grand Rapids: Zondervan. ISBN 978-0-310-11361-4. ^ "Episcopalian". Cambridge Dictionary. ^ Anglican Communion official website. Archived 29 June 2011 at the Wayback Machine ^ a b c The Oxford Dictionary of the Christian Church by F. L. Cross (Editor), E. A. Livingstone (editor) Oxford University Press, US; 3rd edition, p. 65 (13 March 1997) ^ Percy 2005, p. 217. ^ a b Samuel, Chimela Meehoma (28 April 2020). Treasures of the Anglican Witness: A Collection of Essays. Partridge Publishing. ISBN 978-1-5437-5784-2. In addition to his emphasis on Bible reading and the introduction to the Book of Common Prayer, other media through which Cranmer sought to catechize the English people were the introduction of the First Book of Homilies and the 39 Articles of Religion. Together with the Book of Common Prayer and the Forty-Two Articles (which were later reduced to thirty-nine), the Book of Homilies stands as one of the essential texts of the Edwardian Reformation, and they all helped to define the shape of Anglicanism then, and in the subsequent centuries. More so, the Articles of Religion, whose primary shape and content were given by Archbishop Cranmer and Bishop Ridley in 1553 (and whose final official form was ratified by Convocation, the Queen, and Parliament in 1571), provided a more precise interpretation of Christian doctrine to the English people. According to John H. Rodgers, they "constitute the formal statements of the accepted, common teaching put forth by the Church of England as a result of the Reformation." ^ Green 1996, pp. 58–59. ^ MacCulloch 1996, p. 617. ^ a b MacCulloch 1996, p. 179. ^ Gibbs, Lee W. (1981). "Richard Hooker's Via Media Doctrine of Justification". The Harvard Theological Review. 74 (2): 211–220. doi:10.1017/S0017816000030583. ISSN 0017-8160. JSTOR 1509447. ^ "History of the Church of England". The Church of England. Archived from the original on 12 April 2020. Retrieved 23 April 2020. ^ "Reports from Committees of the House of Commons: Repr. by Order of the House". House of Commons. 16 January 2019. Archived from the original on 21 February 2024. Retrieved 15 October 2020 – via Google Books. ^ Union with Ireland Act 1800, s. 1, art. 5. ^ a b Anglican and Episcopal History. Historical Society of the Episcopal Church. 2003. p. 15. Others had made similar observations, Patrick McGrath commenting that the Church of England was not a middle way between Roman Catholic and Protestant, but "between different forms of Protestantism", and William Monter describing the Church of England as "a unique style of Protestantism, a via media between the Reformed and Lutheran traditions". MacCulloch has described Cranmer as seeking a middle way between Zurich and Wittenberg but elsewhere remarks that the Church of England was "nearer Zurich and Geneva than Wittenberg. ^ Kasper, Walter (15 October 2009). Harvesting the Fruits: Basic Aspects of Christian Faith in Ecumenical Dialogue. A&C Black. p. 98. ISBN 978-1-4411-2130-1. "Anglicans historically have only recognized the binding authority of the first four ecumenical councils. While they affirm some of the content of successive councils, they believe that only those decisions which can be demonstrated from Scripture are binding on the faithful (IARCCUM GTUM, 69)." ^ Kaye 1996, pp. 46–47. ^ Baker 1996, pp. 113–115. ^ Office, Anglican Communion. "Anglican Communion: Doctrine". Anglican Communion Website. Archived from the original on 21 January 2018. Retrieved 17 January 2018. ^ Godfrey 1962, p. 9. ^ Bays 2012, p. 25; Godfrey 1962, p. 9; Kelly 1999. ^ Bays 2012, p. 25; Kelly 1999; Timpson 1847, p. 12. ^ Armentrout & Slocum 2000; Bays 2012, p. 25; Cross & Livingstone 2005. ^ Zimmer 1902, pp. 107–109. ^ Carey 2006, pp. 431, 433. ^ Wallace 2009, p. 9. ^ Hogue 2010, p. 160. ^ Hexham, Rost & Morehead 2004, p. 48; De Waal 1998, p. 52. ^ Thomas 1981, p. 348; Zimmer 1902, pp. 107–109. ^ Godfrey 1962, pp. 440–441. ^ Boenig 2000, p. 7. ^ Cairns 1996, p. 172; Grafton 1911, p. 69; Hunter Blair 2003, p. 129. ^ Hunter Blair 2003, p. 129; Taylor 1916, p. 59. ^ Wright 2008, p. 25. ^ Boenig 2000, p. 7; Wallace 2009, p. 9; Wilken 2012, pp. 274–275. ^ Carpenter 2003, p. 94. ^ Hunter Blair 1966, p. 226. ^ Campbell 2011, p. 112. ^ Hardinge 1995, p. xii. ^ Webber 1999. ^ "Church History". The Anglican Domain. Society of Archbishop Justus. Archived from the original on 25 July 2011. Retrieved 18 March 2014. ^ "Anglican Churches". World Council of Churches. Archived from the original on 24 April 2014. Retrieved 18 March 2014. ^ "Elfinspell: 1079 A.D., William I's Letter to the Pope Gregory VII, from King's Letters, edited by Robert Steel, William the Conqueror, primary source document, Norman England History, Primary Source, English translation". www.elfinspell.com. Retrieved 24 April 2025. ^ "CATHOLIC ENCYCLOPEDIA: William the Conqueror". www.newadvent.org. Retrieved 24 April 2025. ^ "The Age of Gregory VII, 1073-85: Letters of Lanfranc, Archbishop of Canterbury". legalhistorysources.com. Retrieved 24 April 2025. ^ Henderson, Ernest F. (Ernest Flagg) (29 December 1998). "Constitutions of Clarendon. 1164". avalon.law.yale.edu. Retrieved 24 April 2025. ^ Archives, The National. "The National Archives - Homepage". The National Archives. Retrieved 24 April 2025. ^ "Shameful and Demeaning: The Annulment of Magna Carta". blogs.bl.uk. Retrieved 24 April 2025. ^ Henderson, Ernest F. (Ernest Flagg) (29 December 1998). "Statute of Mortmain; November 15, 1279". avalon.law.yale.edu. Retrieved 24 April 2025. ^ "Edward I - Wars, Scotland, Wales | Britannica". www.britannica.com. Retrieved 24 April 2025. ^ "The Second Statute of Provisors" (PDF). Thomas More Studies. Retrieved 4 May 2025. ^ "1392: 16 Richard c.5: Statute of Praemunire". The Statutes Project. 2 October 2018. Retrieved 24 April 2025. ^ "1400: 2 Henry 4 c.15: De heretico comburendo". The Statutes Project. 6 September 2022. Retrieved 24 April 2025. ^ "CATHOLIC ENCYCLOPEDIA: Council of Constance". www.newadvent.org. Retrieved 24 April 2025. ^ "CATHOLIC ENCYCLOPEDIA: Council of Florence". www.newadvent.org. Retrieved 24 April 2025. ^ "Act of Appeals". Henry VIII,the Reign. Retrieved 24 April 2025. ^ Russell 2010. ^ Russell 2010, p. 88. ^ Edwards 1983, p. 89. ^ MacCulloch 1990, pp. 171–172. ^ Diarmid MacCullough, The Later Reformation in England, 1990, pp. 142, 171–172 ISBN 0-333-69331-0 ^ Black 2005, pp. 11, 129. ^ Edwards 1984, p. 42. ^ a b Edwards 1984, p. 43. ^ Edwards 1984, p. 322. ^ Edwards 1984, pp. 113, 124. ^ Edwards 1984, p. 178. ^ Chadwick 1987, p. 324. ^ Edwards 1984, p. 318. ^ Edwards 1984, p. 324. ^ Edwards 1984, p. 325. ^ a b c Morris 2003. ^ McAdoo 1991. ^ Sykes 1978, p. 16. ^ Woodhouse-Hawkins 1988. ^ Sykes 1978, p. 19. ^ Sykes 1978, p. 53. ^ Sykes 1978, p. 44. ^ Ramsey 1936, p. 220. ^ "Thirty-nine Articles". Encyclopædia Britannica. Archived from the original on 26 July 2020. Retrieved 29 August 2016. ^ a b Sydnor 1980, p. 80. ^ Booty 1998, pp. 175–176, 197. ^ Booty 1998, pp. 163, 174. ^ Booty 1998, p. 163. ^ Booty 1998, pp. 164. ^ Nockles 1994, pp. 7–8, 113, 125, 127. ^ Kiefer, James E. "The Oxford Tractarians, Renewers of the Church". Biographical Sketches of Memorable Christians of the Past. Society of Archbishop Justus. Archived from the original on 5 December 2017. Retrieved 27 September 2017. ^ Treloar, Geoffrey R. (2006). "The Cambridge Triumvirate and the Acceptance of New Testament Higher Criticism in Britain 1850–1900". Journal of Anglican Studies. 4 (1): 13–32. doi:10.1177/1740355306064516. S2CID 171035765. ^ Booty 1998, p. 183. ^ Westcott, Brooke Foss (1901). "Life". Lessons from Work (Reprinted ed.). London: Macmillan. p. 290. ^ Booty 1998, pp. 164, 171–172. ^ Accessed 9 November 2010. Archived 19 November 2008 at the Wayback Machine ^ "About the Reformed Episcopal Church". rechurch.org. Archived from the original on 19 March 2018. Retrieved 17 March 2018. ^ May 2007, pp. 54–55. ^ May 2007, pp. 55, 66. ^ "Eucharistic Doctrine, 1971". www.vatican.va. Archived from the original on 16 April 2020. Retrieved 15 March 2020. ^ "Resolution 2006-D084: Uphold Baptism as a Requirement of Receiving Holy Communion". The Acts of Convention. ECUSA. 21 June 2006. Archived from the original on 6 July 2014. Retrieved 31 January 2012. ^ "Home". Holy Cross Monastery. Archived from the original on 24 December 2007. Retrieved 16 January 2019. ^ [1] [dead link] ^ Mould 2007, p. 94. ^ Mould 2007, p. 177. ^ Achim, Maseko. Church Schism & Corruption. Lulu. ISBN 978-1-4092-2186-9. Archived from the original on 21 February 2024. Retrieved 22 January 2020. ^ "Archbishop of Canterbury". Anglican Communion. Archived from the original on 28 February 2023. Retrieved 31 July 2021. ^ Tominey, Camilla (12 May 2020). "Archbishop of Canterbury has been secretly volunteering in lockdown – as chaplain at St Thomas's Hospital". The Telegraph. Archived from the original on 10 January 2022. Retrieved 31 July 2021. the primate of all England and the head primus inter pares of the worldwide Anglican Communion ^ Nunley 2005, p. 133. ^ Zolner, Rev. Eric. "What is a deacon?". All Saints Anglican Church. Archived from the original on 25 February 2019. Retrieved 25 February 2019. ^ Moss 1943, p. 393. ^ Williams, Thomas J. (1950). Priscilla Lydia Sellon. London: SPCK. ^ "Major Branches of Religions". www.adherents.com. Archived from the original on 19 August 1999. ^ Legacy XS Youth Centre & Skatepark, St. George's, Benfleet ^ "Church Split over Homosexuality Would be a Failure – Welby". BBC News. 11 January 2016. Archived from the original on 21 February 2022. Retrieved 13 July 2016. ^ Heaney & Sachs 2019, pp. 223–229 ^ Nzwili, Fredrick (29 May 2019). "Citing inclusion of LGBT clerics, Anglican bishops in Africa to shun Lambeth Conference". Religion News Service. Archived from the original on 1 June 2019. Retrieved 1 June 2019. ^ Sherwood, Harriet (12 January 2016). "Anglican church risks global schism over homosexuality". The Guardian. London. Archived from the original on 1 June 2019. Retrieved 1 June 2019. ^ Hooker, Richard (1888). The Works of that Learned and Judicious Divine. Oxford, The Clarendon press. p. 313. ^ a b Maseko, Achim Nkosi (2011). Church Schism & Corruption. Lulu.com. p. 204. ISBN 978-1-4092-2186-9. ^ J. Wesley Bready, Lord Shaftesbury and social-industrial progress (1927). ^ Norman 1976, pp. 171–172. ^ Stephen Parker, "'Blessed are the Pacifists': E. W. Barnes of Birmingham and Pacifism, 1914–45", Midland History 34#2 (2009) 204–219. ^ Pat Starkey (1992). I Will Not Fight: Conscientious Objectors and Pacifists in the North West During the Second World War. Liverpool UP. p. 4. ISBN 978-0-85323-467-8. Archived from the original on 21 February 2024. Retrieved 13 April 2018. ^ Ingham, Michael. "No War, Just War, Just Peace: Statements by the Anglican Church of Canada 1934–2004". Archived from the original on 16 August 2018. Retrieved 16 August 2018. ^ "Just War Theory". Episcopal Church. 22 May 2012. Archived from the original on 16 August 2018. Retrieved 16 August 2018. ^ "Who We Are". Anglican Pacifist Fellowship. Archived from the original on 28 September 2017. Retrieved 27 September 2017. ^ "Resolution 16, The Life and Witness of the Christian Community – Marriage and Sex" (PDF). London: Anglican Communion Office. 2005. p. 7. Archived (PDF) from the original on 9 December 2023. Retrieved 29 December 2023. ^ The Lambeth Conference 1958: Resolutions and Reports. SPCK and Seabury Press. 1958. ^ "Resolution 22, Responsible Parenthood" (PDF). London: Anglican Consultative Council. 2005. p. 10. Archived (PDF) from the original on 4 January 2024. Retrieved 19 August 2023. ^ "Resolution 10, Human Relationships and Sexuality" (PDF). London: Anglican Communion Office. 2005. p. 8. Archived (PDF) from the original on 29 December 2023. Retrieved 29 December 2023. ^ "Resolution 1.14, Euthanasia" (PDF). London: Anglican Communion Office. 2005. p. 11. Retrieved 29 December 2023. ^ Miller 2011. ^ Butt, Riazat; Hooper, John (20 October 2009). "Roman Catholic Church to Receive Anglicans". The Guardian. London. Archived from the original on 22 October 2009. Retrieved 20 October 2009. Armentrout, Don S.; Slocum, Robert Boak, eds. (2000). "Alban, St. (d. c. 304)". An Episcopal Dictionary of the Church. New York: Church Publishing. p. 6. ISBN 978-0-89869-701-8. Baker, Jonathan (1996). "Churchmanship". In Bunting, Ian (ed.). Celebrating the Anglican Way. London: Hodder & Stoughton. ISBN 978-0-340-64268-9. Bays, Patricia (2012). This Anglican Church of Ours. Kelowna, British Columbia: WoodLake. ISBN 978-1-77064-439-7. Black, Vicki K. (2005). Welcome to the Book of Common Prayer. Harrisburg, Pennsylvania: Morehouse Publishing. ISBN 978-0-8192-2130-8. Boenig, Robert (2000). Anglo-Saxon Spirituality. New York: Paulist Press. ISBN 978-0-8091-0515-1. Booty, John (1998). "Standard Divines". In Sykes, Stephen; Booty, John; Knight, Jonathan (eds.). The Study of Anglicanism. London: SPCK (published 2004). pp. 176–187. ISBN 978-0-8006-3151-2. Brooke, Z. N. (1931). The English Church and the Papacy: From the Conquest to the Reign of John. Cambridge, England: Cambridge University Press. Retrieved 26 September 2017. Cairns, Earle E. (1996). Christianity Through the Centuries: A History of the Christian Church (3rd ed.). Grand Rapids, Michigan: Zondervan (published 2009). ISBN 978-0-310-82930-0. Campbell, Heather M., ed. (2011). The Ascent of the West: From Prehistory Through the Renaissance. A History of Western Civilization. New York: Britannica Educational Publishing. ISBN 978-1-61530-373-1. Carey, John (2006). "Christianity, Celtic". In Koch, John T. (ed.). Celtic Culture: A Historical Encyclopedia. Vol. 1. Santa Barbara, California: ABC-CLIO. pp. 431–435. ISBN 978-1-85109-440-0. Carpenter, Jane (2003). "Bertha, or Æthelbertha (fl. 567–601)". In Hartley, Cathy (ed.). A Historical Dictionary of British Women. London: Europa Publications (published 2005). pp. 94–95. ISBN 978-0-203-40390-7. Chadwick, Owen (1987). The Victorian Church. Part Two: 1860–1901 (2nd ed.). Eugene, Oregon: Wipf and Stock (published 2010). ISBN 978-1-60899-262-1. Cross, F. L.; Livingstone, E. A., eds. (2005). "Alban, St". The Oxford Dictionary of the Christian Church (rev. 3rd ed.). Oxford: Oxford University Press. p. 33. ISBN 978-0-19-280290-3. De Waal, Esther (1998). "Celtic Spirituality: A Contribution to the Worldwide Anglican Communion". In Wingate, Andrew; Ward, Kevin; Pemberton, Carrie; Sitshebo, Wilson (eds.). Anglicanism: A Global Communion. New York: Church Publishing. pp. 52–57. ISBN 978-0-89869-304-1. Edwards, David L. (1983). Christian England. Volume 2: From the Reformation to the 18th Century. London: Collins. —— (1984). Christian England. Volume 3: From the 18th Century to the First World War. London: Collins. Godfrey, John (1962). The Church in Anglo-Saxon England. Cambridge, England: University of Cambridge Press (published 2009). ISBN 978-0-521-05089-0. {{cite book}}: ISBN / Date incompatibility (help) Grafton, C. C. (1911). The Lineage from Apostolic Times of the American Catholic Church, Commonly Called the Episcopal Church. Milwaukee, Wisconsin: Young Churchman. Retrieved 25 September 2017. Green, Jonathon (1996). Chasing the Sun: Dictionary Makers and the Dictionaries They Made. New York: Henry Holt and Company. ISBN 978-0-8050-3466-0. Hardinge, Leslie (1995). The Celtic Church in Britain. TEACH Services. ISBN 978-1-57258-034-3. Heaney, Robert S.; Sachs, William L. (2019). The Promise of Anglicanism. London: SCM Press. ISBN 978-0-334-05844-1. Hexham, Irving; Rost, Stephen; Morehead, John W. II, eds. (2004). Encountering New Religious Movements: A Holistic Evangelical Approach. Grand Rapids, Michigan: Kregel Publications. ISBN 978-0-8254-2893-7. Hogue, Richard (2010). A Theological History of Christian Glossolalia. Mustang, Oklahoma: Tate Publishing. ISBN 978-1-61566-674-4.[unreliable source?] Hunter Blair, Peter (1966). Roman Britain and Early England, 55 B.C. – A.D. 871. New York: W. W. Norton & Company. ISBN 978-0-393-00361-1. —— (2003). An Introduction to Anglo-Saxon England (3rd ed.). Cambridge, England: Cambridge University Press. ISBN 978-0-521-53777-3. Kaye, Bruce (1996). "Anglican Belief". In Bunting, Ian (ed.). Celebrating the Anglican Way. London: Hodder & Stoughton. ISBN 978-0-340-64268-9. Kelly, Joseph F. (1999). "Great Britain". In Ferguson, Everett (ed.). Encyclopedia of Early Christianity (2nd ed.). New York: Routledge (published 2010). ISBN 978-1-136-61157-5. Kitson Clark, G. (1973). Churchmen and the Condition of England, 1832–1885: A Study in the Development of Social Ideas and Practice from the Old Regime to the Modern State. London: Methuen. ISBN 9780416132403. MacCulloch, Diarmaid (1990). The Later Reformation in England, 1547–1603. Basingstoke, England: MacMillan. —— (1996). Thomas Cranmer: A Life. New Haven, Connecticut: Yale University Press. ISBN 978-0-300-07448-2. Maltby, Judith (1998). Prayer Book and People in Elizabethan and Early Stuart England. Cambridge Studies in Early Modern British History. Cambridge, England: Cambridge University Press. ISBN 978-0-521-45313-4. May, Steven W. (2007). "'Tongue-tied our Queen?': Queen Elizabeth's Voice in the Seventeenth Century". In Hageman, Elizabeth H.; Conway, Katherine (eds.). Resurrecting Elizabeth I in Seventeenth-Century England. Madison, New Jersey: Fairleigh Dickinson University Press. ISBN 978-0-8386-4115-6. McAdoo, Henry Robert (1991). Anglican Heritage: Theology and Spirituality. Norwich, England: Canterbury Press. Miller, Duane Alexander (2011). "Anglicanorum Coetibus and the Church of Our Lady of the Atonement". Anglican and Episcopal History. 80 (3): 296–305. ISSN 0896-8039. JSTOR 42612608. Archived from the original on 12 August 2021. Retrieved 2 January 2013. Morris, Jeremy N. (2003). "Newman and Maurice on the Via Media of the Anglican Church: Contrasts and Affinities". Anglican Theological Review. 85 (4): 623ff. ISSN 0003-3286. Moss, Claude Beaufort (1943). The Christian Faith: An Introduction to Dogmatic Theology. Eugene, Oregon: Wipf and Stock (published 2005). ISBN 978-1-59752-139-0. {{cite book}}: ISBN / Date incompatibility (help) Mould, Alan (2007). The English Chorister: A History. London: Hambledon Continuum. ISBN 978-1-84725-058-2. Moyes, James (1907). "Anglicanism". In Herbermann, Charles (ed.). Catholic Encyclopedia. Vol. 1. New York: Robert Appleton Company. pp. 498–504 – via Wikisource. Nockles, Peter B. (1994). The Oxford Movement in Context: Anglican High Churchmanship, 1760–1857. Cambridge, England: Cambridge University Press. ISBN 978-0-521-38162-8. Norman, E. R. (1976). Church and Society in England, 1770–1970: A Historical Study. Oxford: Clarendon Press. ISBN 978-0-19-826435-4. Nunley, Jan (2005). "A Summary of the Report and Its Context". In Douglas, Ian T.; Zahl, Paul F. M. (eds.). Understanding the Windsor Report: Two Leaders in the American Church Speak Across the Divide. New York: Church Publishing. ISBN 978-0-89869-487-1. Parry, Graham (2008). Glory, Laud and Honour: The Arts of the Anglican Counter-Reformation. Woodbridge, England: Boydell Press. ISBN 978-1-84383-375-8. Percy, Martyn (2005). Engaging with Contemporary Culture: Christianity, Theology and the Concrete Church. Explorations in Practical, Pastoral, and Empirical Theology. Aldershot, England: Ashgate (published 2007). ISBN 978-0-7546-8255-4. Ramsey, Michael (1936). The Gospel and the Catholic Church. London: Longmans. Russell, Thomas Arthur (2010). Comparative Christianity: A Student's Guide to a Religion and its Diverse Traditions. Boca Raton, Florida: Universal-Publishers. ISBN 978-1-59942-877-2. Scruton, Roger (1996). A Dictionary of Political Thought. Macmillan. ISBN 978-0-333-64786-8. Sydnor, William (1980). Looking at the Episcopal Church. Morehouse Publishing. Sykes, Stephen W. (1978). The Integrity of Anglicanism. London: Mowbray. Taylor, Thomas (1916). The Celtic Christianity of Cornwall. London: Longmans, Green and Co. Retrieved 25 September 2017. Thomas, Charles (1981). Christianity in Roman Britain to AD 500. Berkeley, California: University of California Press. ISBN 978-0-520-04392-3. Timpson, T. (1847). British Ecclesiastical History, Including the Religion of the Druids, the Introduction of Christianity into Britain, and the Progress and Present State of Every Denomination of Christians in the British Empire (2nd ed.). London: Aylott and Jones. Retrieved 25 September 2017. Tyacke, Nicholas (1987). Anti-Calvinists: The Rise of English Arminianism, c. 1590–1640. Oxford: Clarendon Press. ISBN 978-0-19-822939-1. Wallace, Martin (2009). The Celtic Resource Book (2nd ed.). London: Church House Publishing. ISBN 978-0-7151-4186-1. Webber, Christopher L. (1999). The Episcopal Church: An Introduction to Its History, Faith, and Worship. Harrisburg, Pennsylvania: Church Publishing. ISBN 978-0-8192-2520-7. Wilken, Robert Louis (2012). The First Thousand Years: A Global History of Christianity. New Haven, Connecticut: Yale University Press. ISBN 978-0-300-11884-1. Wright, J. Robert (2008). A Companion to Bede: A Reader's Commentary on The Ecclesiastical History of the English People. Grand Rapids, Michigan: Wm. B. Eerdmans Publishing. ISBN 978-0-8028-6309-6. Woodhouse-Hawkins, M. (1988). "Maurice, Huntington, and the Quadrilateral: An Exploration in Historical Theology". In Wright, J. Robert (ed.). Quadrilateral at One Hundred. London: Mowbray. Worsley, Howard (2015). "Anglican Church Christian Education". In Kurian, George Thomas; Lamport, Mark A. (eds.). Encyclopedia of Christian Education. Vol. 1. London: Rowman & Littlefield. p. 50. ISBN 978-0-8108-8493-9. Zimmer, Heinrich (1902). The Celtic Church in Britain and Ireland. Translated by Meyer, A. Ballantyne, Hanson & Co. Anson, Peter F. (1955). The Call to the Cloister: Religious Communities and Kindred Bodies in the Anglican Communion. London: SPCK. Archbishops' Commission on Christian Doctrine (1938). Doctrine in the Church of England. London: SPCK. Armentrout, Donald S., ed. (1990). This Sacred History: Anglican Reflections. Cambridge, Massachusetts: Cowley Publications. ISBN 978-1-56101-003-5. Bess, Douglas (2006) [2002]. Divided We Stand: A History of the Continuing Anglican Movement. Berkeley, California: Apocryphile Press. ISBN 978-1-933993-10-2. Buchanan, Colin. Historical Dictionary of Anglicanism (2nd ed. 2015) excerpt Archived 1 April 2019 at the Wayback Machine Fitch, John (2009). Anglican Eirenicon: The Anglican Concept of Churchmanship in the Quest for Christian Unity. Cambridge, England: The Lutterworth Press. ISBN 978-0-7188-9212-8. Griffith Thomas, William Henry (1930). The Principles of Theology: An Introduction to the Thirty-Nine Articles. London: Longmans, Green & Co. Hein, David, ed. (1991). Readings in Anglican Spirituality. Cincinnati, Ohio: Forward Movement. ISBN 978-0-88028-125-6. —— (2009). "Thoughtful Holiness: The Rudiments of Anglican Identity". Sewanee Theological Review. 52 (3): 266–275. ISSN 1059-9576. Hein, David; Henery, Charles R., eds. (2010). Spiritual Counsel in the Anglican Tradition. Cambridge, England: James Clarke and Co. doi:10.2307/j.ctt16wdm91. ISBN 978-0-227-90349-0. JSTOR j.ctt16wdm91. Hein, David; Shattuck, Gardiner H. Jr. (2004). The Episcopalians. Westport, Connecticut: Praeger Publishers. ISBN 978-0-313-22958-9. Jasper, R. C. D. (1989). The Development of the Anglican Liturgy, 1662–1980. London: SPCK. ISBN 978-0-281-04441-2. More, Paul Elmer; Cross, Frank Leslie, eds. (1935). Anglicanism: The Thought and Practice of the Church of England, Illustrated from the Religious Literature of the Seventeenth Century. Milwaukee, Wisconsin: Morehouse Publishing. hdl:2027/umn.319510014971092. Neill, Stephen (1977). Anglicanism (4th ed.). London: Mowbrays. ISBN 978-0-264-66352-4. Nichols, Aidan (1993). The Panther and the Hind: A Theological History of Anglicanism. Edinburgh: T&T Clark. ISBN 978-0-567-29232-2. Norman, Edward (2004). Anglican Difficulties: A New Syllabus of Errors. London: Morehouse Publishing. ISBN 978-0-8192-8100-5. Ramsey, Michael (1991). Coleman, Dale (ed.). The Anglican Spirit. London: SPCK. ISBN 978-0-281-04523-5. Sachs, William L. (1993). The Transformation of Anglicanism: From State Church to Global Communion. Cambridge, England: Cambridge University Press. ISBN 978-0-521-39143-6. Tavard, George (1963). The Quest for Catholicity: A Study in Anglicanism. London: Burns & Oates. Williams, Rowan (2003). Anglican Identities. London: Darton, Longman & Todd. ISBN 978-1-56101-254-1. Wolf, William J., ed. (1982). Anglican Spirituality. Wilton, Connecticut: Morehouse-Barlow Co. ISBN 978-0-8192-1297-9.

Wikimedia Commons has media related to Anglicanism. Wikiquote has quotations related to Anglicanism. Anglican Communion website What it means to be an Anglican article Archived 30 August 2011 at the Wayback Machine Anglican History website Anglicans Online website Online Anglican resources Portal: Christianity Retrieved from "

This website contains affiliate links. As an Amazon Associate, I earn from qualifying purchases. The content on this website was created with the help of AI.

Bible translations vary widely, each with its own history and purpose. The King James Version and the Catholic Bible are two influential translations. They’ve shaped the Christian faith for centuries but have notable differences. Let’s explore these sacred texts and uncover key differences between these revered translations. We’ll examine their historical roots and impact on modern Christianity. Understanding these versions helps us appreciate their evolution and significance today. The King James Version, completed in 1611, was long considered the standard English translation. It held prominence in Protestant churches for nearly 400 years. The Catholic Bible, rooted in the 1582 Douay-Rheims translation, offers a different scriptural perspective. One of the major differences between the King James Version and the Catholic Bible is the number of books in each version. The King James Bible has 66 books. The Catholic Bible contains 73 books. This difference comes from the Catholic Bible’s inclusion of deuterocanonical books, also called the Apocrypha. These additional texts are not found in the King James Version. The King James Version contains 66 books, while the Catholic Bible has 73. Seven additional books, known as deuterocanonical or Apocrypha, are included in the Catholic Bible. The Council of Trent in the 16th century affirmed the inclusion of these extra books in Catholic Scripture. Both versions share core Christian beliefs but differ in content and interpretative traditions. Modern Catholic Bible versions include the New American Bible, used in Mass, and study editions like the Didache Bible. Two major translations shaped the Christian faith for centuries: the King James Version and the Catholic Bible. Each has its own unique journey through time. These texts have deep roots in Bible history. They’ve influenced how Christians understand their faith for generations. King James I of England started the King James Version with a royal decree. He picked 47 scholars to make a new English translation. The scholars finished their work in 1611. It became the go-to Bible for English-speaking Protestants for many years. The Catholic canon grew differently. It used the Septuagint and Latin Vulgate, adding more texts. This method showed how the Catholic Church values tradition alongside scripture. It made their Bible distinct from other versions. In 1546, the Council of Trent helped define the Catholic canon. It kept deuterocanonical books, making the Catholic Bible different from Protestant ones. VersionYearKey FeatureKing James Version161147 scholars commissionedCatholic Canon (Council of Trent)1546Included deuterocanonical booksNew International Version1978100+ scholars, decade-long project These events show how complex Bible translations are. Reading scripture isn’t just about words. It’s about exploring the rich history of faith traditions. The Catholic canon includes seven extra books called deuterocanonical books. These texts are vital in Catholic scripture and biblical history. They differ from the Protestant Bible in significant ways. The Catholic Bible has seven more books than the Protestant Bible. These are Tobit, Judith, 1 and 2 Maccabees, Wisdom, Sirach, and Baruch. It also includes longer versions of Daniel and Esther. These texts offer valuable insights into Jewish history and religious beliefs. They helped shape the context of the New Testament. Protestants often call these books the Apocrypha. They were part of the Septuagint, the Greek translation of the Hebrew Bible. Early Christians used this translation. In 1546, the Catholic Church restated their divine inspiration at the Council of Trent. These books provide unique views on faith and morality. They shed light on certain Catholic doctrines and practices. Protestants usually see these books as extra reading, not canonical scripture. This difference began during the Reformation when Martin Luther removed them. Yet, the first King James Version (1611) included these texts. Today, these books still spark debates about biblical authority. They influence discussions across Christian denominations about how to interpret scripture. Catholic ViewProtestant ViewDeuterocanonical books are inspired scriptureDeuterocanonical books are ApocryphaIncluded in the Catholic canonNot part of the Protestant BibleAffirmed by Church councilsRemoved during Reformation Bible translation balances literal and dynamic equivalence methods. The King James Version (KJV) aimed for word-for-word translation. Many Catholic translations use dynamic equivalence for contemporary language. Translation methods affect accuracy and readability. The Bible version comparison revealed interesting insights. The English Standard Version (ESV) targets a 10th-grade reading level. The Christian Standard Bible (CSB) balances formal and dynamic approaches for younger readers. Translators struggle with consistency. The CSB doesn’t always translate Greek terms the same way. The ESV tries to use the same English word for recurring Greek terms. The ESV’s translation of John 3:16 keeps traditional wording. This honors the text’s legacy while maintaining its meaning. “For God so loved the world” – This familiar phrase from John 3:16 in the ESV shows how some translations retain traditional wording to honor the text’s legacy. Each translation method aims to convey the original text’s meaning. Some prioritize literal accuracy, while others focus on readability. Understanding these approaches helps us appreciate different Bible translations. The King James Version (KJV) and the Catholic Bible are key Christian scriptures with unique features. Let’s explore the four main differences between these translations. We’ll look at their approaches to biblical canon and language. The Catholic Bible has 73 books, while the KJV has 66. Catholics include deuterocanonical texts, also called the Apocrypha. These extra books, like Tobit and Judith, are sacred to Catholics but not to most Protestants. The KJV mainly uses Greek manuscripts for translation. Catholic versions often rely on the Latin Vulgate. This difference in sources can lead to varied scriptural interpretations. Different manuscript traditions affect how certain passages are understood. The Waldensians, a pre-Reformation group, used texts similar to the KJV. Their Italic Bible, from around 150 A.D., shows how early text differences impact modern translations. The KJV is known for its grand, poetic language. It has shaped English literature for centuries. Catholic versions balance accuracy with modern readability. This affects how people engage with the text. AspectKing James VersionCatholic BibleNumber of Books6673Primary SourceGreek manuscriptsLatin VulgateApocryphaExcludedIncludedLanguage StylePoetic, archaicBalanced, contemporary Biblical translations shape Christian unity in significant ways. Different versions can highlight denominational differences. However, they also create chances for bridging gaps and nurturing shared faith. Various Bible translations reflect diverse theological perspectives. The King James Version and the Catholic Bible showcase distinct approaches to scripture. These differences can spark meaningful interfaith dialogue. Such discussions encourage Christians to explore their faith more deeply. They provide opportunities to learn from one another’s interpretations. Core Christian beliefs remain consistent across denominations, despite translation variations. This shared foundation serves as a unifying force. It reminds us of our common spiritual heritage. The focus on love, compassion, and salvation through Christ transcends linguistic nuances. These universal themes connect Christians across different translations. Today’s ecumenical movement sees diversity in biblical interpretation as enriching, not divisive. This approach fosters Christian unity through open dialogue. It promotes mutual respect among different faith traditions. AspectImpact on Christian UnityTranslation DifferencesSpark interfaith dialogueCore BeliefsProvide common groundEcumenical ApproachEmbraces diversityShared StudyDeepens understanding Focusing on shared faith and diverse translations can boost Christian unity. This approach respects denominational differences. It also strengthens our collective spiritual journey. Catholics rely on Scripture and tradition for biblical authority. Protestants, however, focus solely on the Bible as their ultimate guide. This difference shapes their approaches to sacred texts. Textual criticism is vital for authenticating biblical texts. Scholars study manuscript evidence to ensure accurate translations. This process has led to many changes over time. The English Revised Version, for instance, had over 30,000 changes in the New Testament alone. Such revisions show the ongoing effort to refine biblical translations. Canonical recognition varies between denominations. Catholics include seven additional books in their Old Testament, called the Deuterocanonical books. Protestants view these texts as apocryphal, not part of the official canon. This difference stems from varying views on biblical authority and tradition. It highlights the diverse interpretations within Christianity. AspectCatholic PerspectiveProtestant PerspectiveSources of AuthorityScripture and TraditionScripture AloneOld Testament Books4639InterpretationChurch MagisteriumIndividual Guided by Holy Spirit Both traditions value careful textual criticism and scholarly research. They aim to preserve the authenticity of biblical texts for future generations. This shared goal unites them despite their differences. Biblical translations have changed over time, reflecting shifts in language and scholarship. Today, we have many options for those seeking scriptural guidance. This variety helps people connect with the Bible in new ways. Catholic study Bibles have improved greatly in recent years. The New American Bible balances accuracy and readability well. Modern translations cater to today’s readers while preserving Catholic theology’s depth. The King James Version (KJV) remains important in Christian literature. It has influenced English literature and

education beyond religious circles. Many still value its poetic style, despite its challenging archaic language. We suggest using approved Catholic editions for Catholics wanting to deepen their faith. These include deuterocanonical books and align with Church teachings. The Catholic Study Bible is an excellent choice. It offers comprehensive notes and commentary for in-depth study. Bible VersionKey FeaturesRecommended ForNew American BibleModern language, Catholic approvedGeneral Catholic readershipKing James VersionPoetic language, historical significanceLiterary study, traditional readersCatholic Study BibleExtensive notes, deuterocanonical booksIn-depth Catholic study The Church allows reading various versions, but using the Mass translation can boost understanding. The main goal is connecting deeply with scripture, no matter which translation you choose. The King James Version and the Catholic Bible offer unique paths on our faith journey. Both translations stem from distinct historical contexts. The King James Version, published in 1611, has shaped scriptural wisdom for generations. The Catholic Bible includes seven additional books. Both versions serve as vessels for divine revelation. Translation differences highlight the complexity of biblical scholarship. Yet, God's love and salvation remain central to Christian unity. These translations remind us of God's Word's living nature. The King James Version offers a more literal reading. The New American Bible provides a dynamic interpretation. Both versions offer valuable insights for spiritual growth. Embracing this diversity deepens our appreciation for Scripture. It shows the Bible's enduring relevance in our lives. It also highlights our shared Christian heritage. Four key differences exist between these Bibles. The Catholic Bible has 73 books, while the King James Version has 66. Catholic Bibles stem from the Latin Vulgate, while the King James Version comes from Greek texts. Interpretations vary due to different manuscripts and traditions. The King James Version is known for its majestic language.The Catholic Bible includes seven additional books called deuterocanonical books or Apocrypha. The Council of Trent affirmed these books in the 16th century. We view these books as part of our sacred tradition.They offer insights into Jewish history and religious beliefs. These beliefs shaped the context of the New Testament.The King James Version aimed for a literal, word-for-word translation. It focused on preserving the majesty and poetry of the language. Many Catholic Bible translations use "dynamic equivalence" instead.This method balances literal accuracy with readability. It aims to convey the original text's meaning in modern language.We don't forbid Catholics from reading other versions, including the King James Version. However, we encourage the use of Catholic Bibles. These include versions like the Catholic Study Bible or the New American Bible.Catholic Bibles contain the deuterocanonical books and align with Catholic theology. We recommend using the translation used in Mass for consistency.Both Catholic and King James Bibles contain the essential truths of the Christian faith. We believe that what unites Christians is greater than what divides them. The different translations offer opportunities to deepen our understanding of God's revelation.Modern ecumenical perspectives encourage dialogue and recognition of shared core beliefs. They view diversity as richness rather than a threat.We authenticate biblical texts through manuscript evidence, historical usage, and Church authority. Both traditions value careful textual criticism and scholarly research. The main difference lies in our sources of divine revelation.The Catholic Church relies on both Scripture and sacred tradition. Protestants adhere to Scripture alone as the ultimate authority.The deuterocanonical books provide insights into Jewish history and religious beliefs. They illuminate certain Catholic doctrines and practices. Protestants consider these books as Apocrypha and view them as supplemental reading.We include them as an integral part of our biblical canon.Contemporary Catholic Bible versions balance accuracy with readability for modern readers. The King James Version remains influential but can be challenging due to its archaic language. Our modern Catholic versions aim to convey the original text's meaning in contemporary language.This reflects our understanding of Scripture as the living Word of God. We believe it speaks to each generation anew. The Catholic Bible and the King James Version (KJV) are two prominent translations of the Bible that have shaped the religious landscape for centuries. While both versions share similarities, they also exhibit significant variations in their texts. In this blog post, we will explore the nuanced differences and shed light on the historical and theological aspects surrounding these translations. This blog post contains affiliate links. When you click on a link on this page and make a purchase I may earn a small commission, at no additional cost to you. Thank you for your support. The Catholic Bible, also known as the Vulgate, encompasses a collection of sacred books recognized by the Catholic Church. It consists of several sections, including the Old Testament, Deuterocanonical books (also known as the Apocrypha), and the New Testament. The Catholic Bible draws upon ancient Hebrew, Aramaic, and Greek texts, providing a comprehensive spiritual guide for Catholic believers. The King James Version, also referred to as the Authorized Version, is an influential English translation of the Bible. Commissioned in 1604 by King James I of England, the KJV was crafted by a committee of scholars utilizing Hebrew, Aramaic, and Greek manuscripts. It aimed to provide a common, accessible English translation for Protestant Christians at the time. Experience the timeless beauty and profound wisdom of the King James Version (KJV) Bible. This classic translation has captivated readers for centuries with its elegant language and poetic rhythm. Immerse yourself in the rich history and spiritual depth of Scripture as you follow in the footsteps of countless believers who have found solace, inspiration, and guidance in its pages. The KJV Bible is perfect for those who appreciate the traditional language of the Bible and desire a deeper connection to its historical context. Whether you're a lifelong student of Scripture or new to the Word, this timeless text offers a wealth of spiritual insight and understanding. Experience the power of classic language: Let the words of the KJV transform your prayer life. Order your Bible now. One of the significant contrasts between the Catholic Bible and the KJV lies in their canons. The Catholic Bible includes additional books in the Old Testament known as the Deuterocanonical or Apocryphal books, such as Tobit, Judith, Wisdom, Sirach (Ecclesiasticus), Baruch, and First and Second Maccabees. These books are not present in the KJV, as it adheres to the narrower Protestant canon. Discover the comfort and clarity of the New American Bible, Revised Edition (NABRE) in a large print format. Designed with your reading ease in mind, this Bible features a larger font size that makes it easier on the eyes, allowing you to fully engage with the Word of God. The NABRE offers a modern translation that is faithful to the original text while being accessible to readers of all levels. Experience the power and relevance of Scripture as you encounter familiar stories and teachings in a fresh and inspiring way. The NABRE Large Print Bible is ideal for those who prefer a larger font size or have difficulty reading smaller print. It's also a wonderful choice for those who appreciate a contemporary translation that maintains the richness and depth of the original text. Enjoy effortless reading: Make Scripture a daily part of your life with the NABRE Large Print Bible. Order yours today. Another area where the Catholic Bible and the KJV differ is in the choice of source texts and translation methods. The Catholic Bible draws primarily from the Latin Vulgate, while the KJV leans on the Masoretic Text for the Old Testament and the Textus Receptus for the New Testament. As a result, certain verses may be worded differently or contain variations in meaning. Given the difference in historical contexts and linguistic nuances, the Catholic Bible and the KJV also exhibit distinct writing styles. The KJV employs archaic language and prose, reflecting the Jacobean era when it was translated. On the other hand, the Catholic Bible, especially in modern versions, tends to employ language that aligns with contemporary linguistic patterns. It's important to note that the variations between the Catholic Bible and the King James Version should not be seen as undermining the significance or validity of either translation. These differences arise from theological, cultural, and historical factors, shaping the religious traditions they serve. Ultimately, individuals choose their preferred translation based on personal preference, religious affiliation, or the desire to engage with a specific interpretation of the Scriptures. Both the Catholic Bible and the King James Version have profoundly impacted the lives of countless believers throughout history and continue to do so today. NOTE: This blog post aims to provide an objective overview of the topic at hand. It is always recommended to refer to authoritative religious sources or consult with clergy members for deeper theological insights. Image is for illustrational purposes only. Enhance your Bible study experience with this set of 8 vibrant, non-bleeding gel highlighters from Mr. Pen. Perfect for highlighting key verses and passages in your ESV Study Bible, these highlighters offer smooth application and long-lasting color. Elevate your Bible study with these must-have highlighters. Click link to purchase your set today. Thank you for visiting my Moody Catholic blog. If you enjoyed reading this article please tell others by clicking on the share button in the lower right hand corner, right underneath the scroll to top arrow. It is a true blessing to have God's Word, the Bible, available to us today. It is a precious gift, a treasure chest full of wisdom, comfort, and guidance for our lives. Just like a loving Father, God speaks to us through these sacred pages, showing His unwavering love and His desire for us to walk in His light. Perhaps you have heard of different Bible versions, like the King James Version and the Catholic Bible, and wondered about their differences. Questions like, "What sets them apart?" or "Does it truly matter which one I read?" are natural and important. This journey is not about choosing one over the other about understanding and appreciating the vast web of God's Word as it has come down to us through history. When we understand this journey, our hearts naturally fill with gratitude for God's faithfulness and His wonderful plan for each of us. This reflection is about celebrating God's boundless love and the powerful unity we share in Christ, even with our different traditions. We approach this with grace and understanding, focusing on what uplifts us and helps us grow spiritually. Our aim is to inspire and encourage, revealing the beautiful stories within these Bibles. Imagine England in the early 1600s, a time of great change and a deep spiritual longing. King James I had a beautiful vision: to give all Protestant Christians a common, accessible English Bible. He wanted to bring his people together and present God's truth clearly. So, in 1604, he gathered a team of 47 skilled scholars for this monumental task.[1] Published in 1611, the King James Version (KJV) was more than just a translation; it was a literary masterpiece that would shape the English language and touch countless lives for centuries.[1] For nearly 400 years, it was the definitive English Bible, used in churches and homes, inspiring generations with its grand, poetic language.[1] The KJV translators worked diligently, building on earlier efforts, to be faithful to the original Hebrew and Greek texts.[1] Its majestic language and lasting influence 1 show its deep impact, resonating with many who feel its traditional style brings them closer to the divine. In a different, yet equally powerful way, the Catholic Bible carries an ancient heritage, deeply rooted in the early Church's traditions. For centuries, the Latin Vulgate, a monumental translation by Saint Jerome in the late 4th century, was the official Bible for the Latin Church.[6] Think of the countless prayers and spiritual breakthroughs that flowed from these pages for over a thousand years, long before the King James Version existed.[9] The Catholic Church formally affirmed its collection of sacred scripture through important councils, like Hippo in 393 AD and Carthage in 397 AD, and later, the Council of Trent in the 16th century.[4] These councils didn't add new books formally recognized the sacred texts the Church had used and cherished for centuries. This affirmation drew from the Septuagint, the Greek Old Testament, and the Latin Vulgate.[4] This deep connection to ancient texts and continuous tradition makes the Catholic Bible a distinct and comprehensive spiritual guide.[5] The Catholic Bible's reliance on the Vulgate 4 and its affirmation by councils like Trent 4 show how religious authority is understood. It emphasizes continuity with ancient practices and the Church's ongoing role in interpreting God's Word.[4] Here we find a notable difference: the number of books. The King James Version has 66 books, Although the Catholic Bible has 73.[4] This includes seven additional books, plus longer sections in Daniel and Esther, in the Catholic canon.[4] These additional texts in the Catholic Bible are called "Deuterocanonical books," often known as "Apocrypha" in Protestant circles.[4] They include Tobit, Judith, 1 and 2 Maccabees, Wisdom, Sirach, and Baruch.[4] These books offer insights into Jewish history and beliefs. For Catholics, they are inspired Scripture, holding divine authority.[4] They were part of the Septuagint 4, the Greek Old Testament widely used by the apostles.[10] In fact, two-thirds of the Old Testament quotes in the New Testament come from the Septuagint.[10] This shows these books were part of the biblical world of Jesus and the early offering valuable context for all Christians. The difference in books comes from historical developments and different understandings of what is "inspired" Scripture. For Catholics, the Deuterocanonical books are deeply historical. They were part of the Septuagint, used by Jews before Jesus, and by Jesus and the apostles themselves.[4] The early Church accepted them.[10] Councils like Rome (382 AD), Hippo (393 AD), and Carthage (397 AD) affirmed their canonicity, a belief reaffirmed at the Council of Trent in 1546.[4] This shows how the Catholic Church values tradition alongside Scripture, seeing these books as a continuous part of God's revelation.[4] During the Protestant Reformation in the 16th century, leaders like Martin Luther sought to return to the "original" Hebrew canon of the Old Testament, which did not include these books.[15] They called them "Apocrypha".[15] Some passages, like in 2 Maccabees, seemed to support Catholic doctrines like praying for the dead, which the Reformers rejected.[10] It's interesting that even the first King James Version in 1611 included these books, though often in a separate section.[4] This difference is not just about a list of books; it shows distinct ways of understanding truth. The Protestant principle of Sola Scriptura ("by Scripture alone") 23 sees the Bible as the sole infallible authority.[24] In contrast, the Catholic Church sees tradition and Scripture as equal, forming one deposit of faith, interpreted by the Church's teaching authority.[24] This reveals a powerful difference in how divine truth is accessed and applied, stemming from deeply held theological principles. AspectKing James VersionCatholic BibleTotal Number of Books6673Old Testament Books3946New Testament Books2727Deuterocanonical Books (Included in Catholic, Excluded/Apocrypha in KJV)ExcludedIncludedTobitJudith1 Maccabees2 MaccabeesWisdom (or Wisdom of Solomon)Sirach (or Ecclesiasticus)BaruchAdditions to EstherAdditions to Daniel (e.g., Prayer of Azariah, Susanna, Bel and the Dragon) Bible translation is a sacred journey, bringing ancient wisdom into our modern world. Both the King James Version and Catholic Bibles have unique paths in how they translate God's Word. The King James Version translators aimed for a "word-for-word" translation, trying to render the original Hebrew and Greek texts as literally as possible.[4] For the Old Testament, they used the Masoretic Text, and for the New Testament, the Textus Receptus.[5] This precision was remarkable for its time.[1] But the Textus Receptus was compiled in the 16th century from a limited number of Greek manuscripts, some newer than those available to earlier translators.[9] Catholic versions, while also committed to accuracy, often use a "thought-for-thought" approach, especially in modern translations.[4] Their goal is to convey the meaning of the original passage in contemporary language, making it readable and understandable today.[4] Historically, Catholic Bibles like the Douay-Rheims relied on the Latin Vulgate.[4] But modern Catholic translations, like the New American Bible Revised Edition (NABRE), also draw directly from ancient Hebrew, Aramaic, and Greek texts.[5] This shows an ongoing discussion about the best way to convey divine truth. The KJV's literal approach and its reliance on the Textus Receptus contrast with modern Catholic versions' dynamic approach and broader use of ancient manuscripts.[4] The scholarly debate about source texts 9 reminds us that finding the "perfect" text is a continuous journey. Different translations, with their varied methods, all strive to bring God's message to life, reflecting a continuous effort to understand and transmit divine truth. Beyond the canonical differences, the feel of these Bibles can be quite distinct, each with its own beauty and impact. The King James Version is celebrated for its majestic and poetic language.[4] Its archaic words and grand prose have shaped English literature and instilled a deep sense of reverence for many.[1] For those who love traditional language and historical connection, the KJV offers a powerful spiritual experience 5, capable of transforming one's prayer life. Modern Catholic versions, while honoring the sacredness of the text, prioritize balancing accuracy with contemporary readability.[4] Their aim is to use language that fits today's patterns, making the Scriptures more accessible and easier to understand.[5] This clarity ensures God's message touches hearts and minds without archaic barriers, allowing timeless truths to shine through in a fresh, relevant way.[29] The KJV's "poetic, archaic" style 4 is seen as "dignified, authoritative, and inspiring", while modern Catholic versions prioritize "contemporary language" and "readability".[4] Research suggests that translation style influences emotional experience.[25] A formal style might evoke reverence, while a contemporary style fosters immediate connection. The best translation depends on individual spiritual needs, whether seeking awe or a clear message for daily life. This reminds us that God uses various ways to motivate us, shaping our spiritual journey. AspectKing James VersionCatholic Bible (Modern Versions)Primary Source LanguagesGreek manuscripts (NT), Masoretic Text (OT) Latin Vulgate (primary), ancient Hebrew, Aramaic, Greek texts Translation PhilosophyWord-for-word (Formal Equivalence) Balanced, often Dynamic Equivalence (Thought-for-thought) General Language StylePoetic, archaic, majestic Balanced, contemporary, clear Apocrypha/Deuterocanonical BooksExcluded (though included in early editions in a separate section) Included The presence or absence of the Deuterocanonical books is not just a historical note; it influences how different Christian traditions understand certain teachings and practices. For Catholics, these books offer valuable insights and support for integral doctrines. For example, 2 Maccabees speaks to praying for the dead, connected to the Catholic understanding of purgatory and the communion of saints.[10] The intercession of the belief that those in heaven pray for us, is also illuminated by these texts.[14] These books are seen as inspired by the Holy Spirit, offering divine guidance for faith and morality.[4] Protestants generally do not consider these books canonical Scripture. They see them as helpful historical or moral writings not divinely inspired like the 66 books of their canon.[4] As discussed, the Reformation led to a focus on the Hebrew canon, and some Reformers, like Martin Luther, questioned books that seemed to support doctrines they were reforming, such as purgatory.[10] This aligns with Sola Scriptura ("by Scripture alone") which holds the Bible as the sole infallible authority.[24] This difference points to a fundamental theological distinction: the source of religious authority. The Protestant stance asserts the Bible as the sole infallible source, Although the Catholic Church regards tradition and Scripture as equal, interpreted by the Magisterium.[24] This reveals powerful differences in how divine truth is accessed and applied, stemming from deeply held theological principles. Here is a beautiful truth: despite these differences in history, translation, and even the number of books, the core of Christian faith remains gloriously consistent across denominations.[4] All believers affirm God the Father, His Son Jesus Christ, who came to earth, died for our sins, and rose again, offering salvation and eternal life. The belief in the Holy Spirit, who empowers us daily, is also universal. The central focus on love, compassion, and salvation through Christ transcends any linguistic nuances or canonical variations.[4] These universal themes are the unbreakable threads that connect Christians worldwide, reminding us that we are all part of God's amazing family, united in His love. This emphasis on common ground shifts our focus from differences to unifying spiritual truth, encouraging us to find strength in shared faith. In fact, the diversity in biblical interpretation can actually enrich our spiritual journey, not divide us.[4] Just as a diamond sparkles differently from every angle, exploring God's Word through various lenses can reveal new facets of His truth and grace. This diversity invites us to a deeper understanding, a broader perspective, and a greater appreciation for God's vast wisdom. It encourages us to focus on the heart of the message—God's unconditional love and His desire for us to live a victorious life in Him—rather than getting caught up in minor disagreements. This journey is ultimately about growing in love for God and for one another, a truth that shines through every version of His Holy Word.[31] As we conclude this reflection on God's Word, remember this powerful truth: God's Word is alive and active! The most important thing is not which specific version you choose that you choose to engage with God's Word regularly. Whether it is the timeless King James Version, a modern Catholic Bible, or another translation that speaks to your heart, the ultimate goal is to let its truths transform your life. I encourage you to select a Bible that you will truly read, one that helps you connect with God's voice and understand His amazing plan.[29] God's Word is our guide, our source of strength, and a wellspring of hope. It is meant to empower us to overcome challenges, step into our divine destiny, and live a life filled with joy and purpose. Differences in translations should not be seen as barriers; instead, they can be a beautiful reminder of God's vast wisdom and His desire to reach every heart. Keep reading, keep believing, and keep declaring God's promises over your life. For when we immerse ourselves in His living Word, we truly position ourselves for a life of victory and abundance. You are a victor, not a victim! The Catholic Bible and the King James Bible are two significant versions of the Christian scriptures, each with its own distinct characteristics. The Catholic Bible, also known as the Douay-Rheims Bible, includes additional books known as the Deuterocanonical books, which are not found in the King James Bible. These books, such as Tobit, Judith, and Wisdom, are considered canonical by the Catholic Church but are not recognized as such by Protestant denominations. On the other hand, the King James Bible, also known as the Authorized Version, is an English translation that was commissioned by King James I of England and is widely regarded for its literary beauty and influence on the English language. While both versions share the same core texts, their differences lie in the inclusion of additional books and the language used in translation. The Catholic Bible and the King James Bible are two widely recognized versions of the Holy Scriptures. While both are considered sacred texts by Christians, they differ in various aspects, including their origins, translations, and the inclusion of certain books. In this article, we will explore the attributes of these two Bibles, shedding light on their unique characteristics and the impact they have had on religious communities.Origins and TranslationsThe Catholic Bible, also known as the Vulgate, traces its origins back to the 4th century when it was translated into Latin by Saint Jerome. This translation became the official Bible of the Roman Catholic Church and was widely used for centuries. On the other hand, the King James Bible, also referred to as the Authorized Version, was commissioned by King James I of England in the early 17th century. It was translated into English by a group of scholars and published in 1611.One of the key differences between these two versions lies in their translations. The Catholic Bible, being originally written in Latin, underwent a translation process from the original Hebrew and Greek texts. The King James Bible, however, was directly translated from the original Hebrew and Greek manuscripts into English. This distinction in translation methods can lead to variations in wording and phrasing between the two versions.Books and CanonAnother significant difference between the Catholic Bible and the King James Bible is the inclusion of certain books. The Catholic Bible contains additional books known as the Deuterocanonical books, which are not found in the King James Bible. These books include Tobit, Judith, Wisdom, Sirach (Ecclesiasticus), Baruch, and First and Second Maccabees, as well as additional portions of the books of Esther and Daniel. These books are considered canonical by the Catholic Church but are not recognized as such by Protestant denominations.On the other hand, the King James Bible follows the Protestant canon, which consists of 66 books, including the Old and New Testaments. This canon excludes the Deuterocanonical books present in the Catholic Bible. The decision to include or exclude these books has historical and theological implications, reflecting the different traditions and beliefs of the Catholic and Protestant faiths.Language and StyleOne of the notable differences between the Catholic Bible and the King James Bible is the language and style used in their translations. The Catholic Bible, being originally written in Latin, has a more formal and traditional language. The King James Bible, on the other hand, is renowned for its poetic and majestic style, which has greatly influenced English literature and language over the centuries.The King James Bible is often praised for its eloquence and beauty of language, with its translators striving to maintain a balance between accuracy and literary excellence. This emphasis on literary quality has made the King James Bible a beloved and enduring translation, particularly among English-speaking Protestant communities.Acceptance and UsageBoth the Catholic Bible and the King James Bible have been widely accepted and used by their respective religious communities. The Catholic Bible is the official Bible of the Roman Catholic Church and is used in liturgical worship, religious education, and personal study by Catholics worldwide. It holds a central place in Catholic theology and spirituality.The King James Bible, on the other hand, has become the most influential English translation in Protestant Christianity. It has been embraced by various Protestant denominations and has played a significant role in shaping Protestant theology and worship practices. Its impact extends beyond religious circles, as it has also left a lasting imprint on English literature, language, and culture.ConclusionIn conclusion, the Catholic Bible and the King James Bible differ in their origins, translations, inclusion of books, language, and acceptance within religious communities. While the Catholic Bible has a longer history and includes additional books, the King James Bible is renowned for its poetic language and widespread influence. Both versions have shaped the beliefs, practices, and cultural heritage of millions of Christians around the world. Regardless of the version one chooses to read, the Bible remains a sacred text that continues to inspire and guide believers in their faith journeys. Comparisons may contain inaccurate information about people, places, or facts. Please report any issues. Understanding the differences between the King James Version (KJV) of the Bible and the Bible used by Catholics is crucial for anyone interested in biblical scholarship or the history of Christianity. While both aim to convey the Christian message, key distinctions exist in their composition, translation approaches, and theological underpinnings. This article will explore these differences in a clear and approachable manner. Table The most significant difference between the KJV and the Catholic Bible lies in the inclusion of the Deuterocanonical books. These seven books – Tobit, Judith, 1 and 2 Maccabees, Wisdom, Sirach (Ecclesiasticus), Baruch, and longer versions of Esther and Daniel – are present in the Catholic Bible but absent from the KJV, where they are often relegated to an appendix labeled "Apocrypha." This discrepancy stems from contrasting views on biblical canonicity, the process of determining which books are considered divinely inspired and thus part of the official scripture. The Catholic Church, drawing upon the Septuagint (a Greek translation of the Hebrew Bible dating back to the 3rd century BC) and the decisions of the Council of Trent (1545-1563), affirms the Deuterocanonical books as inspired scripture. The Septuagint, widely used in early Christianity, already included these books. The Protestant Reformation, however, saw a shift in perspective. Martin Luther and other reformers, emphasizing sola scriptura (scripture alone) and focusing primarily on the Hebrew canon, generally rejected the Deuterocanonical books. This rejection subsequently influenced many Protestant Bibles, including the KJV. Translation Approaches and Theological Implications Beyond the number of books, the KJV and Catholic Bibles differ in their translation philosophies. The KJV is known for its literal, word-for-word approach, resulting in a majestic yet often archaic style. This approach, while aiming for accuracy, can sometimes sacrifice clarity and readability for modern readers. Catholic translations, on the other hand, frequently employ dynamic equivalence. This method prioritizes conveying the meaning of the original text in contemporary language, aiming for both accuracy and accessibility. They also draw upon a wider range of source manuscripts, including the Septuagint, offering potentially diverse interpretations. These divergent translation approaches can lead to variations in wording and, consequently, in interpretation, particularly in passages concerning crucial theological concepts such as justification by faith, the role of Mary, and the authority of the Church. For instance, certain passages related to Mary might have different nuances depending on the translation used. Historical Context and Ongoing Dialogue The historical contexts of the KJV and the Catholic Bible's development are also noteworthy. The KJV, commissioned in 1604 by King James I, reflects the theological landscape of the Protestant Reformation. Its creation aimed to provide a definitive English translation for the Protestant Church of England. The Catholic Bible's development, in contrast, is a longer, more gradual process, reflecting the evolving tradition and authority of the Catholic Church. The Latin Vulgate, a translation by St. Jerome in the 4th century, served as the primary Catholic Bible for centuries, before being updated to the Nova Vulgata in the 20th century. Contemporary Catholic translations are available in many languages, often reflecting modern scholarship and linguistic sensibilities. Despite their differences, it's important to emphasize that both the KJV and Catholic Bible share the core message of salvation through Jesus Christ. Modern biblical scholarship increasingly bridges denominational divides, acknowledging the complementary strengths of different translations and traditions. While the KJV, due to its exclusion of the Deuterocanonical books and its distinct theological leanings, isn't formally used in Catholic liturgies, its historical and literary importance is widely recognized and appreciated. Catholics may appreciate its poetic language, even while maintaining their own canonical perspective. The ongoing ecumenical dialogue between Catholics and Protestants reflects a shared commitment to understanding and interpreting the living Word of God, regardless of the specific Bible used. Ultimately, the goal is to encounter the divine message within the scriptures and allow it to transform our lives. Frequently Asked Questions: King James Version (KJV) vs. Catholic Bible What is the main difference between the King James Version (KJV) and the Catholic Bible? The most significant difference lies in the number of books. The KJV contains 66 books, while the Catholic Bible includes 73. This discrepancy stems from the inclusion of seven additional books in the Catholic Bible, known as the Deuterocanonical books (Tobit, Judith, 1 and 2 Maccabees, Wisdom, Sirach, Baruch, and longer versions of Esther and Daniel). These books are either absent or placed in an appendix ("Apocrypha") in the KJV. Why is there a difference in the number of books? This difference arises from differing views on biblical canonicity – which books are considered divinely inspired and therefore part of Scripture. Catholics, referencing tradition and the Council of Trent (1546), accept the Deuterocanonical books as inspired scripture. Protestants, emphasizing sola scriptura (scripture alone) and primarily relying on the Hebrew canon, generally exclude them. What is the significance of the Council of Trent? The Council of Trent formally affirmed the inclusion of the Deuterocanonical books in the Catholic Bible's canon. This solidified the Catholic Church's position on the matter and contrasted with the Protestant Reformation's emphasis on a narrower canon. What are the Deuterocanonical books? The Deuterocanonical books, also sometimes referred to as the Apocrypha in Protestant Bibles, are: Tobit, Judith, 1 and 2 Maccabees, Wisdom, Sirach (Ecclesiasticus), Baruch, and longer versions of Esther and Daniel. How do the translation methods differ? The KJV aims for a more literal, word-for-word translation, resulting in a style that is both majestic and archaic. Catholic translations often prioritize dynamic equivalence, aiming to convey the meaning of the original text in contemporary language while staying faithful to the source. This leads to variations in wording and interpretation. Do these differences affect the core Christian message? No. While the differences in canon and translation style exist, they do not fundamentally alter the core message of salvation through Jesus Christ. Both versions contain the essential truths of the Christian faith. Can Catholics read the KJV? Yes, Catholics are not prohibited from reading the KJV. However, the Church officially uses Catholic editions that include the Deuterocanonical books. While the KJV is not used in Catholic liturgy, its historical and literary significance is acknowledged. What is the significance of the Septuagint? The Septuagint, a Greek translation of the Hebrew Bible, is a crucial source for the Catholic tradition, influencing the inclusion of the Deuterocanonical books. The KJV primarily relies on Hebrew manuscripts. Are there modern Catholic Bible translations? Yes, many modern Catholic Bible translations exist, such as the New American Bible, which aim for both accuracy and readability in contemporary language. What is the overall significance of these differences? The differences between the KJV and Catholic Bibles reflect broader theological disagreements on the role of tradition in interpreting scripture and the authority of the Church. However, these differences also provide opportunities for ecumenical dialogue and a richer understanding of the Christian faith. Both traditions share the ultimate goal of encountering the living Word of God and being transformed by it.

- ladeni
- https://tskrea.com/userfiles/file/vunanupisudikap.pdf
- http://onlinetalk.jp/app/webroot/img/fckfiles/file/1a78818b-c900-40b9-b048-76d1709ef89e.pdf
- change password at next logon
- http://www.bluewhaleline.com/image/upload/File/64532848220.pdf